

ANAESTHESIA MANAGEMENT OF TRANSCATHETER AOR2C VALVE REPLACEMENT IN AN OCTOGENARIAN WITH SEVERE AOR2C STENOSIS AND MUL2SYSTEM COMORBIDI2ES: A CASE REPORT

DR AARTHI.C¹, DR R LAKSHMI², DR.BHARATHI³,
DR. K. RAMESH⁴

^{1,2,3}DEPARTMENT OF ANAESTHESIOLOGY, SAVEETHA MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITALS, SAVEETHA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES, SAVEETHA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, TAMILNADU, INDIA.

⁴TUTOR, DEPARTMENT OF PROSTHODONTICS AND CROWN & BRIDGE, SREE BALAJI DENTAL COLLEGE & HOSPITAL, CHENNAI, INDIA

Abstract

An 81-year-old female with severe aortic stenosis, moderate mitral stenosis, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and hypothyroidism presented with acute onset dyspnoea and was diagnosed with multivalvular heart disease complicated by cardiogenic pulmonary oedema. Given her high surgical risk, she underwent transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) combined with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) under general anesthesia. Anesthetic management was tailored to maintain hemodynamic stability, employing titrated induction, invasive monitoring, temporary pacing, and proactive vasopressor support. The procedure was uneventful, and the patient was extubated on postoperative day one. Echocardiography confirmed optimal prosthetic valve function, and she was discharged with stable hemodynamics. This case underscores the feasibility of concomitant PCI and TAVR in an octogenarian with complex comorbidities when supported by meticulous anesthetic planning, vigilant intraoperative monitoring, and a multidisciplinary approach, achieving favorable outcomes despite extreme procedural risk.

Keywords:

Transcatheter aortic valve replacement, Percutaneous coronary intervention, General anesthesia, Aortic stenosis, Elderly, Multivalvular heart disease.

INTRODUCTION

Aortic stenosis (AS) is the most prevalent valvular heart disease in older adults, predominantly resulting from progressive degenerative calcification. Its incidence rises markedly with age, affecting approximately 2–4 % of individuals over 75 years of age [1]. Without intervention, symptomatic severe AS in elderly patients carries a poor prognosis, with two-year mortality approaching 50 % [2].

Surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) has traditionally been the standard of care; however, age-related comorbidities, frailty, and diminished physiological reserves often render high-risk elderly patients unsuitable for open-heart surgery [3]. Over the past two decades, transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) has emerged as a minimally invasive and effective alternative. Multiple landmark trials have demonstrated TAVR to be non-inferior—and in certain cohorts, superior—to SAVR in terms of survival and functional improvement among intermediate- and high-risk patients [3,4]. International guidelines now recommend TAVR for patients aged ≥75 years or those at elevated surgical risk [3].

From an anesthetic perspective, TAVR poses distinct challenges. While monitored anesthesia care or conscious sedation is increasingly favoured for procedural efficiency and avoidance of general anesthesia, there remains a risk of urgent conversion to general anesthesia due to complications, necessitating the presence of an experienced cardiac anesthesiologist [1,2]. Induction in elderly patients with severe AS requires meticulous haemodynamic control, as rapid changes in preload, afterload, or heart rate can precipitate instability. Novel agents such as remimazolam have shown promise, with studies indicating less hypotension compared to propofol during

induction in high-risk elderly patients [5]. Additionally, peri-TAVR strategies, including prophylactic vasopressor infusions (e.g., noradrenaline), have been found effective in preventing anaesthesia-induced hypotension during induction [6].

The perioperative period is further complicated by the presence of multiple comorbidities frequently seen in octogenarians, such as coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and chronic pulmonary or renal dysfunction. These factors necessitate a multidisciplinary approach involving cardiologists, anaesthesiologists, intensivists, and nursing teams to optimise preoperative status, maintain intraoperative stability, and ensure vigilant postoperative monitoring [1,4].

In this case report, we describe the anaesthetic management of an 81-year-old female with severe AS, multivalvular heart disease, and multiple systemic comorbidities who underwent TAVR in conjunction with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). We detail the preoperative optimisation, anaesthetic strategy, intraoperative monitoring, haemodynamic management, and postoperative course, highlighting how tailored anaesthetic planning and multidisciplinary coordination contributed to a successful outcome in a high-risk elderly patient.

Case Presentation

An 81-year-old female presented to the emergency department with acute onset dyspnoea (New York Heart Association Class IV) for two days, associated with profuse sweating. She denied chest pain, palpitations, fever, vomiting, or abdominal discomfort. Her medical history was significant for multivalvular heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, systemic hypertension, and hypothyroidism, for which she was on oral metoprolol, telmisartan, and thyroxine supplementation.

On admission, she was diagnosed with severe aortic stenosis (AS) and moderate mitral stenosis (MS), complicated by cardiogenic pulmonary oedema. Electrocardiography revealed normal sinus rhythm with left ventricular hypertrophy. Transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated a left ventricular ejection fraction of 58% with adequate systolic function, calcified aortic valve with severe stenosis (peak gradient: 65 mmHg, mean gradient: 42 mmHg), mild aortic regurgitation, calcified mitral valve with mild MS (peak gradient: 15 mmHg, mean gradient: 6 mmHg), mild mitral regurgitation, and trivial tricuspid regurgitation.

The patient underwent optimisation with antiplatelets, anticoagulants, and anti-ischaemic therapy before the procedure. Given her advanced age, severe valvular pathology, and comorbidities, a multidisciplinary team decided to proceed with transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) combined with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) under general anaesthesia.

In the operating room, standard ASA monitoring was supplemented with invasive arterial pressure monitoring and central venous access. Preoxygenation was followed by intravenous administration of midazolam 2 mg, fentanyl 200 µg, and propofol 60 mg for induction. Neuromuscular relaxation was avoided during intubation to maintain haemodynamic stability, and the patient was intubated with a

7.0 mm endotracheal tube. A temporary transvenous pacemaker was inserted via the central line sheath, and external defibrillator pads were placed in anticipation of arrhythmias.

Anaesthesia was maintained with an atracurium infusion at 20 mg/hr and a fentanyl infusion at 50 µg/hr. Intraoperatively, heart rate was maintained around 88 beats/min and oxygen saturation at 100%. Blood pressure, initially stable at 150/90 mmHg, dropped during valve deployment, necessitating initiation of a noradrenaline infusion. Total intraoperative fluid input was 250 mL, urine output was 200 mL, and estimated blood loss was approximately 100 mL. The procedure was uneventful, and the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) for elective postoperative ventilation.

She was extubated the following day, and the temporary pacemaker was removed. Postoperatively, she was continued on dual antiplatelet therapy and anticoagulation. Holter monitoring revealed occasional short runs of sinus tachycardia without significant arrhythmia. A repeat echocardiogram showed a well-seated prosthetic aortic valve with a mean gradient of 15 mmHg, peak gradient of 24 mmHg, and preserved left ventricular systolic function (EF 58%).

The patient recovered without major complications and was discharged with stable haemodynamics and instructions for follow-up with cardiology and cardiac anaesthesia teams.



DISCUSSION

The perioperative anesthetic management of an octogenarian undergoing concomitant transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) and percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is inherently complex. Such cases demand a meticulous approach to hemodynamic stability, procedural planning, and multidisciplinary coordination, especially when compounded by severe multivalvular pathology and systemic comorbidities.

At 81 years old, our patient was at the upper extreme of surgical risk. Severe aortic stenosis (AS) alone is associated with high perioperative morbidity and mortality if untreated, with a reported two-year mortality approaching 50% in symptomatic elderly patients [1]. In this case, the burden was amplified by moderate mitral stenosis (MS), type 2 diabetes mellitus, systemic hypertension, and hypothyroidism.

Frailty, diminished physiological reserves, and polypharmacy complicate anesthetic management in octogenarians [2]. Age-related changes—such as reduced ventricular compliance, blunted betaadrenergic responsiveness, and decreased renal clearance—render this population more vulnerable to hypotension, arrhythmia, and postoperative complications [3].

CAD is present in up to 75% of elderly patients with severe AS [4]. The management strategy for significant CAD in the seqng of TAVR remains debated. While staged PCI prior to TAVR is common, performing both procedures in a single seqng can reduce the cumulative risk of repeated anesthesia exposure and hospital admission [5]. However, concomitant intervention raises concerns over bleeding risk from dual antiplatelet therapy, prolonged procedural time, and additive hemodynamic stress [6]. In our case, the team elected for a combined approach, recognizing the patient's fragility and aiming to minimize repeated interventions. This required careful

perioperative anticoagulation management and readiness to address ischemic or bleeding complications immediately.

For transfemoral TAVR, monitored anesthesia care (MAC) or conscious sedation is increasingly preferred over general anesthesia (GA), with studies showing shorter recovery times, reduced vasopressor use, and lower rates of postoperative delirium [7,8]. Nevertheless, GA remains the anesthetic of choice for patients with anticipated airway challenges, high procedural complexity, or concurrent cardiac interventions [9].

In our patient, GA was deemed optimal due to:

- The dual nature of the procedure (TAVR + PCI)
- Need for complete immobility
- Potential for hemodynamic instability from multivalvular disease
- Anticipated requirement for immediate airway control if complications arose

This choice aligns with recommendations from Afshar et al., who emphasize GA's role in high-risk and technically demanding TAVR cases [10].

In severe AS, maintenance of sinus rhythm, preload, and afterload is paramount. Hypotension can critically reduce coronary perfusion and precipitate ischemia. Induction of anesthesia is particularly hazardous, as loss of sympathetic tone from anesthetic agents can cause precipitous blood pressure drops [11].

To mitigate these risks, we employed a series of deliberate strategies aimed at maintaining hemodynamic stability and ensuring readiness for rapid intervention. Induction was carried out using a carefully titrated regimen of midazolam, fentanyl, and propofol to minimize cardiovascular depression. Neuromuscular blockade was intentionally avoided during intubation to reduce the potential for myocardial depression and abrupt changes in systemic vascular resistance. Continuous beat-to-beat monitoring was facilitated by the insertion of invasive arterial and central venous lines, allowing precise hemodynamic assessment throughout the procedure. A temporary transvenous pacemaker was positioned to provide immediate pacing support in the event of bradyarrhythmias or high-grade atrioventricular block, while external defibrillation pads were kept in situ to enable prompt electrical intervention should malignant arrhythmias occur. This comprehensive and proactive approach allowed the anesthetic team to anticipate and effectively manage potential intraoperative challenges in this high-risk patient.

Noradrenaline infusion was initiated promptly upon intraoperative hypotension, consistent with recent randomized data demonstrating its efficacy in preventing anesthesia-induced hypotension during TAVR [12]. Such proactive management likely contributed to the patient's hemodynamic stability.

Success in this case hinged on seamless coordination between cardiology, cardiac anesthesiology, and interventional cardiology teams. The preoperative period focused on optimizing the patient's cardiovascular status, adjusting antihypertensive and antiplatelet medications, and planning for immediate postoperative intensive care. This collaborative model is endorsed by recent consensus guidelines as essential for improving TAVR outcomes in high-risk elderly patients [13].

Postoperatively, the patient remained intubated for elective overnight ventilation to ensure hemodynamic stability and avoid fatigue-related complications. She was extubated successfully the following morning and transferred from ICU once stable. Holter monitoring revealed only short runs of sinus tachycardia, and echocardiography demonstrated well-functioning prosthetic valve leaflets with acceptable gradients. Elderly TAVR recipients typically exhibit early improvements in functional status and symptom burden, with preserved quality of life for at least one year post-procedure [14]. Our patient's recovery trajectory was consistent with these findings, with no in-hospital complications.

The uniqueness of this case lies in a combination of clinical complexity, procedural strategy, and outcome. First, the patient presented with severe multivalvular disease—severe aortic stenosis accompanied by moderate mitral stenosis—which is uncommon among TAVR candidates and necessitated meticulous management of preload and afterload to avoid hemodynamic compromise. Second, the decision to perform percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and TAVR in a single procedural session in an octogenarian with multiple systemic comorbidities represents a high-risk yet efficient therapeutic approach, rarely described in the literature. Third, the anesthetic plan reflected deliberate individualization; general anesthesia (GA) was chosen over the increasingly common monitored

anesthesia care (MAC) approach due to procedural complexity, the presence of significant comorbidities, and the need for maximal airway and hemodynamic control. Fourth, the use of proactive monitoring—including invasive arterial and central venous access, temporary pacing, and pre-applied external defibrillation—ensured immediate readiness to address potential intraoperative complications. Finally, despite the patient's extreme risk profile, the postoperative course was smooth, underscoring the critical role of comprehensive preoperative preparation, vigilant intraoperative management, and coordinated multidisciplinary care in delivering excellent outcomes in highly vulnerable patients.

This case highlights several important clinical implications for the management of high-risk elderly patients undergoing complex cardiac interventions. First, anesthetic choice must be individualized; while monitored anesthesia care (MAC) is generally safe and advantageous for straightforward TAVR cases, general anesthesia (GA) remains the preferred option for complex or combined procedures where airway security, absolute immobility, and tight hemodynamic control are critical. Second, comprehensive monitoring is essential in severe aortic stenosis, particularly in elderly patients with concomitant valvular disease, to enable prompt detection and correction of hemodynamic instability. Third, multidisciplinary planning—integrating the expertise of cardiology, cardiac anesthesiology, interventional cardiology, and critical care—optimizes outcomes by ensuring that hemodynamic, procedural, and postoperative challenges are anticipated and effectively addressed. Finally, while concomitant PCI and TAVR can be performed safely in carefully selected elderly patients, success hinges on meticulous perioperative management of anticoagulation, minimization of procedural time, and vigilance in mitigating bleeding risk.

CONCLUSION

This case illustrates that with meticulous perioperative planning, a tailored anesthetic approach, and vigilant intraoperative and postoperative management, even the most vulnerable octogenarians with severe aortic stenosis, multivalvular involvement, and multiple comorbidities can successfully undergo complex interventions like TAVR with concurrent PCI. Its success highlights the importance of selecting appropriate anesthesia, integrating invasive monitoring, rapid hemodynamic intervention, and strong interprofessional teamwork—themes increasingly recognized but rarely detailed in current literature.

REFERENCES

1. Moss RR, Iung B, Piazza N, Adams DH, Baldus S, Holzhey D, et al. Systematic review and metaanalysis of transcatheter aortic valve implantation versus surgical aortic valve replacement in high surgical risk patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75(20):2431-42. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.03.018. PMID: 32425705; PMCID: PMC7525883.
2. Afilalo J, Lauck S, Kim DH, Lefèvre T, Piazza N, Lachapelle K, et al. Frailty in older adults undergoing aortic valve replacement: the FRAILTY-AVR study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70(6):689-700. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2017.06.024. PMID: 28774696.
3. Forman DE, Alexander KP. Frailty: a vital sign for older adults with cardiovascular disease. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32(9):1082-7. doi:10.1016/j.cjca.2016.03.019. PMID: 27324197.
4. Goel SS, Ige M, Tuzcu EM, Ellis SG, Stewart WJ, Svensson LG, et al. Severe aortic stenosis and coronary artery disease—implications for management in the transcatheter aortic valve replacement era. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(1):1-10. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.01.096. PMID: 23644094.
5. Barban6 M, Webb JG, Hahn RT, Feldman T, Boone RH, Smith CR, et al. Impact of preoperative or concomitant percutaneous coronary intervention on outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *EuroIntervention*. 2014;10(6):e1-8. doi:10.4244/EIJV10I6A108. PMID: 24891362.
6. Witberg G, Lavi I, Harari E, Assali A, Vaknin-Assa H, Orvin K, et al. Concomitant percutaneous coronary intervention and transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a multicenter registry. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2021;10(3):e018584. doi:10.1161/JAHA.120.018584. PMID: 33430624.
7. Mayr NP, Michel J, Bleiziffer S, Tassani-Prell P, Mar6n K, Wagenpfeil S, et al. Sedation or general anesthesia for transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI). *J Thorac Dis*. 2015;7(10):1518-26. doi:10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.10.29. PMID: 26693149; PMCID: PMC4598507.

8. Hyman MC, Vemulapalli S, Szeto WY, Stebbins A, Patel PA, Matsouaka R, et al. Conscious sedation versus general anesthesia for transcatheter aortic valve replacement: insights from the NCDR STS/ACC TVT registry. *Circulation*. 2017;136(22):2132-40. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.029362. PMID: 29138262.
9. Owo CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP 3rd, Genelle F, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77(4):450-500. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.035. PMID: 33342587.
10. Afshar AH, Kahlon A, Sadiq A, Malas J, Raza S, Hameed I, et al. Periprocedural considerations of transcatheter aortic valve replacement for anesthesiologists. *Anesth Essays Res*. 2016;10(3):393-400. doi:10.4103/0259-1162.176403. PMID: 27746571; PMCID: PMC4970570.
11. Nishimura RA, Owo CM, Bonow RO, Carabello BA, Erwin JP 3rd, Fleisher LA, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC focused update on valvular heart disease guidelines. *Circulation*. 2017;135(25):e1159-e1195. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000503. PMID: 28298458.
12. Onishi K, Minami K, Kanmura Y. Noradrenaline infusion prevents anesthesia-induced hypotension during induction in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2024;38(5):1375-82. doi:10.1053/j.jvca.2024.01.023. PMID: 38317434; PMCID: PMC11176125.
13. Leon MB, Smith CR, Mack MJ, Makkar RR, Svensson LG, Kodali SK, et al. Transcatheter or surgical aortic-valve replacement in intermediate-risk patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(17):1609-20. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1514616. PMID: 27040324.
14. Kim JH, Ahn JM, Kang DY, Song H, Koo BK, Kang SJ, et al. Comparison of outcomes after transcatheter aortic valve replacement between octogenarians and non-octogenarians. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2022;101(27):e29664. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000029664. PMID: 35793958; PMCID: PMC9285489.