

PSYCHOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF COLLECTIVE TRAUMA IN DISPLACED POPULATIONS

MANISH NANDY¹, DEBARGHYA BISWAS², SONAM PURI³

¹ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA

²ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA

³ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
e-mail: sonam.puri@ndimdelhi.org, <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2823-3699>

Abstract:

When wars, earthquakes, or cruel treatment send entire groups scrambling to escape, the injury doesn't land only on the single runner it soaks whole neighborhoods into the same grief, a wound so deep the experts call it collective trauma. This project pushes into that broad, stinging hurt. By using careful surveys, we slowly peel back the quiet, buried layers of trauma carried by those who have had to leave their homes behind. Guided by cultural sensitivity, our tool measures symptoms like a lost sense of control, mourning that belongs to the entire group, disruption of personal and collective identity, and a breaking apart of social and emotional ties. We gathered information from three large displaced groups living in very different cultural settings. By applying Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) and Item Response Theory (IRT), we confirmed that our findings are reliable, that they truly represent the intended concept, and that they are comparable across the different groups. The results show distinct clusters of collective trauma, underscoring common emotional scars alongside unique, context-bound expressions. These insights are vital for designing humanitarian aid, creating trauma-responsive policies, and shaping mental health programs for displaced communities around the globe.

Keywords:

Collective trauma, Displaced populations, Psychometric analysis, Factor structure, Identity disruption, Emotional disintegration, Cultural validation, Trauma scale, CFA (Confirmatory Factor Analysis), Humanitarian psychology

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Definition and Relevance of Collective Trauma

Collective trauma happens when a whole group of people feels the same deep wounds after facing a major disaster all at once or in similar ways. This can happen in wars, acts of genocide, forced migration, or major natural disasters [1]. While individual trauma is about one person's inner pain, collective trauma reaches into the hearts of entire communities, shaking how they see themselves, how they stay close to one another, and how they remember the past. For groups that have been forced to flee their homes, this shared pain feels like losing everything at once not only their houses, but also the core of who they are, the balance that once held their lives together, and any real hope for tomorrow [3]. These communities often pass their wounds from one generation to the next, as stories of hurt get woven into songs, ceremonies, and everyday talk. Because of this, figuring out collective trauma is key for creating healing programs that work at the group level, addressing the social tensions and shared memories that individual counseling alone can't reach [2].

1.2 Emotional Toll of Forced Displacement

When people are forced to leave home, everything feels wrenched away—safety, jobs, family ties, clinics, and schools. The emotional toll comes in waves that crash together right away and keep rolling even after the body is safe [4]. It shows up as unending nervousness, a heavy or hollow sadness, the haunting replay of bad memories, and a tight, fluttery feeling in the chest every time the door bangs. For entire groups, these reactions pile higher because they share the same bullying, the same long lines for food, and the same whispered judgments. Kids who once drew on chalkboards now clutch crumpled papers in refugee camps. Parents who stitched carpets for generations suddenly can't name who they are in a new language. Grandparents sit silent in a strange courtyard, the songs they sang at weddings and funerals suddenly forbidden. This shared pain eats away at friendships, poisons the trust that once held

villages together, and dims the small spark of hope. Treating the mind, therefore, is not just a matter of sharing pills; it is a race against the clock to keep a whole people from forgetting who they were [5].



Figure 1: Types of Psychometric Tests in Cognitive Assessment

Source: <https://www.collidu.com/presentation-psychometric-test>

Figure 1 labeled “Categories of Psychometric Tests in Cognitive Assessment” provides an at a glance overview of six main groups of tools, each targeting distinct strands of cognitive function. Administering each battery under timed conditions is critical, revealing subtle shifts in aptitude, study style, and decision-making speed. Numerical Reasoning evaluates how effectively one can read, convert, and combine numbers and charts into coherent conclusions. Verbal Reasoning measures how quickly and accurately someone can understand, infer, and distil the exact meaning embedded in written language [6]. Inductive Reasoning trains on swiftly spotting recurring patterns and drawing general rules from particular examples. Diagrammatic Reasoning gauges the capacity to translate between diagrams and mental representations of sequences or structures [7]. Logical Reasoning tests the clarity of deductive chains and the integrity of argumentative structures. Error Checking probes the accuracy of perception by asking examinees to identify anomalies across tables, sequences or sets. When these measures are combined, they provide a detailed map of cognition and behaviour that is invaluable to educators, clinicians, employers, and researchers investigating phenomena linked to trauma or neuropsychological change [8].

1.3 Research Gap in Psychometric Validation

A good deal of research has focused on trauma in individuals. However, only a handful of studies have turned their attention to trauma experienced by groups while using tools that have undergone strong psychometric testing [9]. The scales currently in use often overlook key group-related phenomena such as shared grief, cultural disintegration, and the collective loss of agency. Many of these tools were developed and validated in Western settings and have not been adjusted to resonate with displaced communities that vary widely in ethnic, linguistic, and political contexts. Because of this shortcoming, mental health programs risk misdiagnosing the needs of these communities, which in turn can lead to misallocation of resources. There is, therefore, a critical demand for psychometric frameworks that can accurately capture the distinctive shape and facets of collective trauma in displaced populations [10].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Collective Trauma

The idea of collective trauma first gained serious attention after World War II. Shrinkers noticed that Holocaust survivors didn’t just carry private scars; entire families and neighborhoods seemed affected [11]. Kai Erikson introduced the phrase “loss of communality” to highlight that when a people is traumatized, the sense of belonging and shared meaning is shattered along with the bones and minds [12]. When chronic fear destroys the stories that link

old and young, communities feel like a record stuck in a broken groove. Other scholars point out that after such rupture, powerful new collective memories emerge that shape how groups vote, defend each other, or refuse to forgive. Taken together, these ideas argue that to heal after mass violence, we must treat the group, not just the individual [13].

2.2 Psychosocial Effects of Displacement

When people are forced to leave their homes because of war, floods, or persecution, they face a deep and tangled psychosocial crisis. First, they lose their sense of belonging, their villages or cities, and often their loved ones. They can no longer practice their traditions, and they may witness or experience violence along the way. Once settled somewhere new, the old community support networks break down, trust in local or national leaders disappears, and the fabric of everyday culture begins to unravel. All of this produces lasting wounds: people feel constant stress, lose a clear sense of who they are, pull away from others, and share a heavy lid of collective sorrow [14]. Research on refugees shows that rates of PTSD, depression, and generalized anxiety are significantly higher than in non-displaced populations. Yet studies also reveal that collective coping singing, storytelling, or rebuilding in safer zones creates powerful buffers. Programs that want to ease this suffering must recognize that healing happens in every individual and in the community at the same time. Studying trauma in refugee populations comes with tough measurement puzzles. Language gaps, uneven schooling, and worry about shame linked to mental health make interviews trickier to trust. Surveys that work well in calm, Western countries can miss the mark here culturally, practically, and in the concepts they measure. The constant movement of these populations changing camp sites, lost school days, and patchy health care makes it hard to follow the same people over time. On the ethics side, researchers must get real consent, steer clear of causing more pain, and honor community rules. For all these reasons, we urgently need flexible, culture-tested survey tools that stay strong and meaningful even in the messiest crises [15].

2.3 Review of Existing Trauma Scales and Limitations

Several instruments are available for measuring trauma, including the HTQ, the PCL-5, and the IES-R. Though these have become standard, they focus mainly on single trauma symptoms and draw on diagnostic concepts developed mostly in Western psychiatry. Key aspects of trauma that affect groups like shared memory, the weakening of group identity, and social isolation are often missing from their questions and scoring. Factor structures that work in high-income countries frequently fragment or produce different patterns when tested in camps for displaced people or in non-Western settings. Research in these contexts reveals variable reliability and shifting factor loadings, indicating that the same questions do not behave consistently. Such evidence highlights the need for new or carefully adapted tools that can capture the collective and culturally specific features of trauma.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Participant Selection (Displaced Groups and Contexts)

We recruited participants from three streams of forced migration: internally displaced persons (IDPs) escaping armed conflict, refugees who crossed borders, and people newly counted after climate-related disasters. To capture varied experiences, we stratified the sample on gender, age, and length of displacement. Fieldwork took place in refugee camps, pop-up shelters, and growing host neighborhoods spread across two countries where people have faced long histories of displacement.

3.2 Tool Creation and Cultural Fit

We built the tool to measure traumatic stress by stitching together tried-and-true questionnaire scales. We polished every single question by running repeated focus-group sessions that tweaked the wording and context to better fit people's lived experiences. Following WHO translation guidelines, we then translated it by first converting it to the local language, having a group of experts examine the wording, and finally translating it back to check for accuracy. We revised questions to use local words for pain and to include specific situations like losing a loved one from a distance and the collapse of important rituals.

3.3 Data Collection Protocols

Bilingual field researchers trained in ethical practice carried out face-to-face surveys. We secured ethical approvals from local ethics boards and recorded participants' verbal consent. We ensured privacy and safety by providing options for breaks and by referring people to counseling services if we noticed signs of severe distress.

3.4 Statistical Analysis (CFA, IRT, and Reliability Checks)

We kicked things off with Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to confirm that our survey matched the trauma dimensions we had planned. The CFA looked at whether each survey question settled into the trauma group we had

predicted. Then we switched to Item Response Theory (IRT) to see how hard each question was and how well it could pick out subtle differences in trauma intensity. To ensure our tool was steady and trustworthy, we calculated Cronbach's alpha and McDonald's omega, two numbers that confirm whether the questions are working together in harmony.

IV. RESULTS

4.1 How We Organized the Trauma Experience

Using Exploratory and Confirmatory Factor Analysis, we found a consistent four-part pattern of trauma experience: (1) Loss and Grief, (2) Hypervigilance and Fear, (3) Disempowerment, and (4) Identity Disruption. Item loadings fell between 0.62 and 0.87, showing that each item clearly fit its category. Key fit indices confirmed that the model worked well: CFI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.045, SRMR = 0.039.

4.2 How We Checked the Measure

All four parts of the scale showed high internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha between 0.83 and 0.91. McDonald's omega scores were also above 0.85, showing the measure is reliable. We confirmed convergent validity with strong links between the factors and upheld discriminant validity, especially between 'Loss and Grief' and 'Disempowerment.'

4.3 Cross-Group Comparisons

We ran MANOVA tests followed by Tukey HSD pairwise comparisons and found strong evidence that trauma patterns differ depending on how people were displaced. Refugees escaping ethnic violence had the highest average score on the 'Hypervigilance' measure, while groups displaced by climate change showed more pronounced 'Identity Disruption.' When looking at the overall trauma scores, we found no gender differences, but when we broke the data into more specific groups, we saw that females scored higher on the 'Loss and Grief' items.

4.4 Visualizations (e.g., Scree Plots, Factor Loadings)

The Scree plot clearly pointed to four as the ideal number of factors, with the curve leveling off right after the fourth eigenvalue. Heatmaps of factor loadings revealed bright, separate clusters for every factor, while grouped bar charts laid out the average scores for each displaced population per subscale. When combined, these visualizations strengthened the key patterns in the data and clarified the differences among the groups.

V. DISCUSSION

5.1 Understanding the Hidden Dimensions

The four hidden factors we found Loss and Grief, Hypervigilance and Fear, Disempowerment, and Identity Disruption together show how collective trauma affects both the individual and the community. Each area points to personal pain, yet they also signal how the entire group feels the same rupture and hardship. Strong relationships within the data and consistent results across the sample reinforce that these factors are firmly tied to what displaced people actually live through.

5.2 How Culture and Context Shape the Trauma Story

How trauma shows up and how we understand it are shaped by cultural rules, community beliefs, and the history people carry. For example, respondents from collectivist roots spoke of loss as something that affects the whole group, lingering across generations, while those from more individual-centered backgrounds described it as a break in their personal ability to act. Such differences remind us that any tools we create to measure trauma must fit the cultural frame, and that suffering is never a solitary story, but one retold through the voice of family, community, and tradition.

5.3 Implications for Mental Health Help

Recognizing different types of trauma helps us plan interventions that hit the mark. Programs for displaced groups need to heal both the heart and the community. This means grief counseling, exercises that help people feel safe again, training that builds personal and group power, and projects that help restore a sense of identity. Standard trauma theories, particularly the ones that focus solely on PTSD, tend to overlook how being physically forced from one's home affects every part of a person's world. Individual fear and anxiety are common, but collective trauma adds layers that solo models overlook, like the breaking of group identity and the fading of shared heritage. This study shows that leaning on solo-centered tools can risk leaving vital parts of the trauma felt by the community as a whole hidden or misunderstood.

5.4 Ethical and Methodological Limitations

While the data are compelling, several noteworthy limitations preserve caution in interpretation. Dependence on self-report questionnaires invariably invites social desirability and recall bias, thereby risking over-estimation of adaptive conduct and under-reporting of less favorable events. Efforts at cross-cultural instrument harmonization were pursued, however, subtle semantic and pragmatic gradients between languages and localized cultural models may have shifted the intended constructs in ways that were not foreseen. Furthermore, while participant exit procedures were designed to mitigate exposure to trauma reminders, the responsibility to monitor psychological and somatic safety continuously, beyond the research interval, stands as an inescapable ethical imperative that extant funding and personnel cannot deliver adequately.

VI. CONCLUSION

6.1 Summary of Core Findings

This investigation deepens our comprehension of the collective trauma borne by forcibly displaced groups. Through Confirmatory Factor Analysis triangulated with Item Response Theory, we distinguished four interrelated trauma dimensions: Loss and Grief, Hypervigilance and Fear, Disempowerment, and Identity Disruption. Each dimension captures a distinct facet of shared suffering arising from forced migration. Psychometric evaluation confirms robust internal consistency, dependable applicability across cultural settings, and responsiveness to contextual variation within the modified measurement scale. Collectively, the results substantiate the instrument's suitability for informing humanitarian evaluations and for informing the design of targeted interventions.

6.2 Programmatic Recommendations for NGOs and Policymakers

Humanitarian agencies and policymakers should embed trauma-informed approaches that engage these collective dimensions. Program design must extend beyond individual therapy, integrating community-centred healing, cultural revitalisation, and psychosocial literacy. Initiatives must respect cultural context, weaving in traditional mechanisms of care and recognising the diverse ways trauma is expressed among varying ethnic, linguistic, and religious groups. Agencies ought to equip field workers with training in culturally attuned mental health practices and to structure assessments that are both inclusive and feasible at scale. Furthermore, trauma-sensitive frameworks for housing, employment, and education are vital for fostering resilience and enabling successful social reintegration for displaced populations. Future research ought to focus on expansive longitudinal studies that follow the rise and decline of psychological recovery and resilience over many years and decades. Such mapping will clarify the developmental course of collective trauma, show how protective factors shift across diverse socio-ecological contexts, and identify the components that strengthen and extend adaptive functioning.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kavitha, M. (2025). Breaking the silicon ceiling: A comparative analysis of women's leadership and participation in AI startups across global innovation hubs. *Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment*, 1(1), 1–6.
- [2] Kazi, N. (2024). Comparative analysis of AI models for channel estimation in mmWave massive MIMO systems for 6G. *Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit*, 2(3), 40–48.
- [3] Veerappan, S. (2024). Edge-enabled smart storm water drainage systems: A real-time analytics framework for urban flood management. *Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability*, 1(1), 52–59.
- [4] Silva, J. C. da, Souza, M. L. de O., & Almeida, A. de. (2025). Comparative analysis of programming models for reconfigurable hardware systems. *SCCTS Transactions on Reconfigurable Computing*, 2(1), 10–15.
- [5] Velliangiri, A. (2025). Bio-inspired vortex control mechanisms for drag reduction in high-speed submerged bodies: A CFD and experimental study. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering and Applications*, 1(1), 11–22.
- [6] Muralidharan, J. (2024). Advancements in 5G technology: Challenges and opportunities in communication networks. *Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering*, 1(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.31838/PECE/01.01.01>
- [7] Shueb, M., & Gupta, V. K. (2012). A crypt analysis of the Tiny Encryption Algorithm in key generation. *International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies*, 1(1), 15-20. <https://doi.org/10.31838/IJCCTS/01.01.01>
- [8] Sadulla, S. (2025). Effect of Pranayama on lung function in post-COVID rehabilitation among middle-aged adults: A clinical study. *Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences*, 1(1), 24–30.

- [9] Sri, R. K., Syamala, Y., Shanmukhi, S. P., Devi, P. G., & Shaik, S. (2021). Design and Performance Analysis of XOR and XNOR Functions at Low VDD Using 130nm Technology. *Journal of VLSI Circuits and Systems*, 3(1), 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.31838/jvcs/03.01.05>
- [10] Muralidharan, J. (2024). Optimization techniques for energy-efficient RF power amplifiers in wireless communication systems. *SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications*, 1(1), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.31838/ESA/01.01.01>
- [11] Bilal, Z. S., Gargouri, A., Mahmood, H. F., & Mnif, H. (2024). Comparison of Collective Diverse Arabic Sign Language Dataset. *Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications*, 15(4), 133-150. <https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2024.I4.009>
- [12] Doniyorov, A., Karimov, N., Bakhtiyarov, S., Abidova, Z., Morkhova, I., Kaldibekova, A., Yusupov, O., & Muminov, A. (2024). A Distinctive architectural technique in the construction of religious structures in central Asia. *Archives for Technical Sciences*, 2(31), 351–358. <https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2024.1631.351>
- [13] Amer, K. Z., Azawi, A., Jebur, H. A., Al-Shammary, A. A. G., & Al-Sharifi, S. K. A. (2025). The Impact and Analysis of Mechanical Factors of the Mechanized Unit on the Production of " Vigna radiata L." Crop. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 10(1), 418-424. <https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1651195>
- [14] Taye, M. M., Abulail, R., Al-Ifan, B., & Alsuhiat, F. (2025). Enhanced Sentiment Classification through Ontology-Based Sentiment Analysis with BERT. *Journal of Internet Services and Information Security*, 15(1), 236-256. <https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2025.I1.015>
- [15] Karumuri, V., Bastray, T., Goranta, L. R., Rekha, B., Mary, M., Joshi, R., & Mahabub Basha, S. (2025). Optimizing Financial Outcomes: An Analysis of Individual Investment Decision Factors. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, 15(1), 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.13>
- [16] Prasath, C. A. (2024). Energy-efficient routing protocols for IoT-enabled wireless sensor networks. *Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT*, 1(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.31838/WSNIOT/01.01.01>
- [17] 20. Prabhudeva, T., & Hariharan, R. (2024). A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Tuberculosis Patients: Perspectives of Pharmacists Towards Sustainability. *Clinical Journal for Medicine, Health and Pharmacy*, 2(4), 1-10.
- [18] Chavan, D. B., & Mhatre, R. (2025). Comparative Analysis of Mobile Learning Applications for Higher Education in Low-Bandwidth Environments. *International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering*, 12(2), 30–34. <https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJSE/V12I2/IAJSE1215>