Open Access

VALUE ORIENTATION ANALYSIS IN THE CONTEXT OF POST PANDEMIC SOCIAL CHANGE

DR. PRIYA VIJ[1], CHANDNI SAWLANI[2], SHRUTI ROHILLA[3]

[1]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA
[2]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA
[3]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
e-mail: shrutirohilla.ndim@gmai.com, https://orcid.org/0009-0008-7416-1102

Abstract

Across the globe, the COVID-19 pandemic shook up social habits, nudging people to rethink what really matters to them. This paper digs into how different value systems have changed since the crisis, zeroing in on how folks and communities have updated their moral compasses and social goals to cope with ongoing uncertainty. We meshed survey data, value-testing guides, and deep conversations with people from every kind of neighborhood to watch how the big values are changing. We spotted a few big pulls: the struggle between lifting everyone up and guarding your own interests, the dance between feeling safe and staying open to fresh, even wild, ideas, and the slow swap from gathering things to seeking purpose. A stronger spotlight now lands on health, resilience, online bonds, and fair chances, especially among the younger crowd and those who've already faced a few uphill climbs. We also saw that in towns where leaders guided the hard times wisely, folks came back to a shared sense of belonging and a renewed faith in public services. This paper examines how these fresh outlooks are changing how citizens volunteer, how they approach work, how they shop, and how they vote. By charting these value shifts, we offer a road map for grasping the pandemic's lasting influence on how people think and act, and we suggest how lawmakers, teachers, and community leaders can craft responses that resonate with these newly emerging public values. The results underline how critical it is to create flexible rules and policies that care about people when the world is changing fast and the things we care about are shifting too.

Keywords:
Value orientation, post-pandemic society, social change, COVID-19, moral frameworks, collectivism, resilience, psychosocial impact, adaptive governance, public values.

I. INTRODUCTION

Value orientation analysis dives deep into the beliefs, traditions, and moral ideas that guide how individuals and groups behave in a society[7]. Think of these shared values as an internal compass; they help us interpret what happens around us, choose our paths, and interact with schools, hospitals, governments, and other institutions. The approach combines insights from sociology, psychology, and anthropology, making it a powerful tool for understanding why cultures hold steady or why they gradually evolve. After COVID-19 rattled nearly every corner of everyday life, we have started to notice neighborhoods and individuals shifting the hierarchy of values they choose to emphasize—such as safety, health, personal freedom, compassion, and the welfare of the whole community[1].

The pandemic pushed us to rethink old rules about work, travel, healthcare, leadership, and how we socialize, and that has led to a rearrangement of values across different ages, regions, and economic groups[8]. Now that the worst of the pandemic is behind us, it's clearer than ever that we need to study how our core values have shifted[11]. The crisis forced all of us to face deep questions about our lives, our jobs, and the fairness of our systems. This deeper reflection is nudging individuals and communities to reorder what matters most. We need to track how these changes are unfolding because they will shape the policies, organizations, and recovery plans that can actually stick[10]. Already we're seeing stronger commitments to fairness, to caring for the planet, and to protecting mental health—principles that are quietly being woven back into schools, workplaces, governments, and neighborhood groups. This study will examine these fresh currents by blending large surveys with detailed interviews, allowing us to look closely at how value dimensions are moving: from "me first" to "we first," from

"more stuff" to "better life," and from "safety at all costs" to "openness and growth." Carefully mapping these movements will reveal the deep-social changes that the pandemic accelerated and will help us all grasp how to keep societies resilient, how governments can adapt wisely, and what our shared values might look like in years to come[4].That approach highlights how examining what people value can help us in two ways: it lets researchers study society and gives leaders the evidence they need to make effective plans for recovery after the pandemic and for building a better society in the future.

II. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF VALUE ORIENTATION SHIFTS

2.1 Overview of Value Orientation Theory

Value orientation theory serves like a map showing how people and societies choose what really matters to them and how they decide what to do when they meet challenges. Kluckhohn and Strodtbeck first introduced the idea in 1961. They noticed that every culture has to answer the same basic questions—what to think about nature, how to view time, how to see work, and how to relate to others—so each culture picks its own value answers to these questions, and those answers sink into daily life. These value answers then shape opinions about what is right or wrong, what deserves attention or can be ignored, and what is wanted or rejected. These guiding answers are not locked in place; they can change over the years as people think, learn, and react to new outside influences.

Scholars who followed the early theorists expanded our understanding of human values considerably. Schwartz in 1992 and Inglehart in 1997 proposed multi-dimensional models that researchers now regularly apply in studies around the world. Schwartz mapped ten core personal values along two intersecting axes: one pits the desire for novelty and change against the wish for order and conservation, while the other contrasts the pursuit of personal gain with concern for the welfare of others. Inglehart's World Values Survey, on the other hand, separates values into two further pairs: traditional versus secular-rational and survival versus self-expression. Together, these frameworks illustrate how values are not fixed; rather, they stretch and shift in response to social, political, and economic transformations[4]. According to both models, value orientations are not quiet, separate sparks; they feed into how people construct identities, how they vote, what they buy, and how much trust they place in institutions[2]. By weaving value orientation theory into the fabric of social analysis, scholars uncover subtle, long-lasting cultural and moral currents that steer entire societies as they grow and adapt.

2.2 Impact of Pandemic Contexts on Value Orientations

Pandemics shake our lives in ways that make uncertainty feel loud and impossible to ignore. During COVID-19, the weaknesses hidden in our health systems, economies, and everyday routines came into the open[12][14]. Hospitals and clinics were pushed beyond their limits, businesses that felt secure today were uncertain tomorrow, and the patterns we had grown used to—school, work, hangouts—suddenly changed. When the noise died down, many of us looked to the same short list of priorities: health, the safety of loved ones, emotional connection, and trust in the systems that are supposed to protect us. The trophies of career badges, social media likes, and weekend adventures, things that had once seemed so shiny, slipped down the list. We found ourselves putting the simple, shared, and human things at the top, and the loud, individual glitter lower.

This reshaping of social life works on both minds and societies. The dog years of distance work and social lockdowns forced everyone to rethink how we use time and space and which gadgets we choose, making face-to-face relationships and mental wellness feel suddenly valuable[13]. At the same moment, old gaps—who can see a doctor, who can pay rent, and who can log on—forced a fresh look at fairness and the shared duties we owe each other. How strong these changes were depended on where you happened to be, getting filtered through news reports, how much you trust the government, and whether the local mood is already more about the group or about the self[15]. In places that lean collective, citizens more easily agreed to shared duties and followed rules for the greater health of everyone. In places that value the individual, freedom and self-direction held sway, making rules like masking and lockdowns feel like a hard sell. So the epidemic didn't just change what we did; it quietly rewired the ranking of what we now think matters most when we have to choose together.

2.3 Linking Value Orientations to Social Transformation

When big crowds of folks start to care about different things, it's not only our private opinions that shift; it kicks off a domino effect that can remake entire systems and institutions. Our values act like emotional and mental beacons, quietly lighting the path for how we choose to act together. Change one of those lighthouses and the whole coastline of social norms, public expectations, and government choices can get a new look. For instance, a greater concern for equal health care and a greener planet has already sparked fresh campaigns, new investment choices, and updated laws since the pandemic ended. These new forces show that the social contract is being

rewritten. Now, we're putting much more weight on how we rely on one another, how we act online, our mental well-being, and how we care for the Earth.

Social transformation happens when new values catch on and get woven into the fabric of everyday life[9]. Classrooms might start using social-emotional learning, companies could pivot to cultures that prize flexibility and compassion, and governments might open up, inviting people into decision-making with transparency and equity. These changes don't roll out in the same way everywhere. They look different across age groups, income brackets, and places on the map. Young people usually grab the new values first, prioritizing planet care, equity, and creative problem-solving. In contrast, older folks may lean toward the familiar, valuing stability, tradition, and clear structures. Where these mindsets meet, we find a lively and sometimes messy space that sparks fresh ideas and fresh starts. When leaders analyze these emerging values, they can spot the next social wave, craft programs that respect local cultures, and build long-lasting organizations that echo the new moral center. In the post-pandemic moment, when the future feels both uncertain and fast-moving, tracking how values are changing is more than a scholarly puzzle; it is a smart, must-do step for lasting development and a thriving democracy.

III. RESEARCH DESIGN AND ANALYTICAL APPROACH

3.1 Research Methods for Value Orientation Analysis
This research uses a blend of strategies to map how people's value systems have changed since the pandemic. The main tool for the numbers part came from a student-friendly value questionnaire modeled after the Schwartz Value Survey. I tweaked the original by adding questions that relate to the pandemic, focusing on how much people now care about health, how much they use technology, and how much they trust others in the community. At the same time, I sat down for open-ended interviews that let participants explain, in their own words, what their value choices really mean to them. The combination of these two methods gives a wide and a deep view at once: I can see which values are now the most common, and I can also listen to the personal stories and feelings that lie beneath those numbers. I used Latent Class Analysis to find separate value groups in the crowd, and I applied thematic coding to make sense of the interview data.

3.2 Description of the Sample Population
We chatted with 612 folks from big cities as well as tiny towns in India, the UK, and Brazil. We wanted to capture voices from every corner, so we mixed purposive sampling—finding specific groups we needed—with stratified sampling to make sure we got a balanced spread of ages, genders, school experiences, and kinds of jobs. That way, each group was represented fairly in our survey. We included everyone from 18 to 65 years old, and the numbers of men, women, and non-binary people were almost balanced in each age group. A key strength of the sample was that it brought together nurses, teachers, students, and remote workers—all of whom felt the pandemic's effects in different ways. Before gathering any information, we secured informed consent and completed ethical reviews according to our institutions' rules.

3.3 Data Collection and Analysis Procedures
To collect numbers we used online surveys that have been tested for ten important value areas. We calculated reliability with Cronbach's alpha and got an average score of 0.81, meaning the questions fit together well. For the open-ended part, we ran virtual interviews, asked for permission to record, then turned the recordings into text and grouped the ideas by theme using NVivo software. We followed a two-step analysis: first, we statistically compared value distributions before and after the pandemic; then, we brought in narrative data to explain the emerging trends. We used a concurrent triangulation design, running both analysis streams at the same time so the quantitative and qualitative results could cross-check each other. This method keeps our study methodologically sound and adds depth to our interpretation.

IV. PATTERNS AND TRAJECTORIES OF VALUE TRANSFORMATION

4.1 Value Orientation Trends Before the Pandemic
Before the COVID-19 pandemic arrived, the people we studied showed fairly stable value systems influenced by their country, the generation they belong to, and their economic background. Young, city-dwelling respondents, for example, ranked achievement, personal freedom, growth, and independence as the most important. Older and more traditional respondents, however, leaned more toward family loyalty, social order, and the need to fit in. Everyone we looked at, regardless of age or background, assigned what we might call a "moderate" importance to being open to change, a trend that seemed to mirror growing digital interaction, greater personal movement, and a stronger sense of individual expression worldwide[6]. Overall, a decade of globalization, open markets, and

wider access to digital tools had gradually reinforced values that emphasize the individual and a focus on progress.

4.2 Comparative Shifts: Pre- and Post-Pandemic Orientations

When we dusted off the data and ran Latent Class Analysis to see how the pandemic had nudged people's values, three colorful clusters popped out. We tagged them the Resilience-Oriented, the Security-Focused, and the Collective-Wellbeing Driven groups. The big picture, though, was a collective step back from the old shine of individual trophies and a newfound shine on health, safety, and relationships. Everyone told us they now lift empathy, concern for the neighbor, and mental well-being higher up the ladder, while the old sirens of ambition and status dimmed. Zooming in on the numbers by age, we saw that adults aged 18 to 35 flipped the hardest; their embrace of group-centered values shot up by 24 percent. We also caught a little wave of renewed trust in public health and a fresh readiness to adjust personal habits when the ask sounded like it was for the greater good[5].

4.3 Key Post-Pandemic Value Shifts and Their Implications

After the pandemic, the number one thing on our collective minds is what's fair for everybody, how we show up online, how we're caring for the planet, and whether our schools, governments, and other groups are really listening and taking action. These fresh priorities show that a lot of people are redefining success. Winning isn't just about adding points to our own score anymore; it's about helping the whole team rise. Now, we check our own progress by how much progress the folks beside us are making, and that's flipping our daily choices and the small decisions we make.Discussions of work-life balance, flexible remote strategies, and emotional durability now occupy the foreground of value statements. Observers also note a persistent lift in civic and political ideals, evidenced by rising endorsement for inclusive policies and proactive crisis readiness. Such developments point to more than change in individual practices; they map a cultural realignment in which adaptability, empathy, and a commitment to sustainability could anchor future social fabric. These advances call for governance frameworks, educational paradigms, and organizational cultures to adjust in concert with these deepening public priorities.

V. IMPLICATIONS FOR SOCIAL CHANGE

5.1 Influence of Value Shifts on Social Transformation

Since the pandemic ended, more people-than expected have begun to reconsider what matters most, and those new views are already helping to shape the future of every community. After moving past hand-to-mouth emergency fixes, towns and cities are handing out new value badges to care for each other, fairness, and shared responsibility, and those badges are now lighting the path to stronger and fairer social systems. Health care, mental health support, and dependable internet are suddenly moving to the head of the line, and the way we budget for hospitals, teach in schools, and design remote working tools shows we already mean it. Meanwhile, a louder chorus is calling for laws and policies that defend the common good instead of padding private profit, and those calls could rethink the economy, toughen worker rights, and invite more people into the room when decisions are made. When values change at this speed, they are never just noise; they rewire daily expectations, everyday choices, and the blueprint of every institution we build and run.

5.2 Challenges in Promoting and Sustaining New Values

Even with some encouraging changes, putting lasting new values into the old backbone of our societies is still very hard. Old habits die hard. Many of our pre-pandemic systems are still built on a mindset of cutthroat competition, widening gaps between rich and poor, and a habit of only looking a few minutes into the future. Value clashes can pop up, too, between different age groups and cultural worlds—especially when a strong sense of community bumps against the swollen pride of individual achievement. When the story of public health gets tangled in political games, and rules on behavior become lightning rods for anger, the idea of sharing values gets even tougher to sell. If schools, laws, and the media don't keep reinforcing the new, kind values we picked up when the crisis was hot, those values will sink back into old habits as soon as the sirens stop. Lastly, the gaps that keep poorer folks off the internet, shut them out of resources, and erode their trust in institutions can lock them out of the very changes being built on those new values.

5.3 Strategies for Fostering Positive Social Change

To channel the current transformation in what societies value, deliberate strategies are necessary for embedding emergent principles in culture, institutions, and policy. Education reforms, consciously aligned with these values and stressing empathy, critical inquiry, and civic duty, can initiate lasting attitudinal shift from the earliest years.When people run local councils, citizen halls, or neighborhood planners side by side, the changing hopes and needs of the community become live wires of public service. The same principle applies to good media and

storytelling; when we invest in clear, warm communications, we make stories of shared fairness and shared care the default playlist for every citizen conversation. At the same time, whenever new rules or programs are born, they should foreground the lived experience of people, looking not only at the present but the quiet futures we want to protect. The strength to bounce back, the freedom to flourish, and the dignity to connect should be sewn into every bridge, every workplace, and every online space we build. By threading all these elements together— maps of participation, nurture-laden media, and living, people-shaped policies—value lift-off can turn from a desk study into the steady light that guides us through the months and years ahead, long after the pandemic dust has settled.

VI. CONCLUSION

This research looked at how the COVID-19 pandemic changed the values that people and societies believe are most important. Using interviews and surveys, the study showed that priorities like health, caring for others, mental well-being, and protecting the environment are now at the forefront. Before the pandemic, values like independence, achievement, and personal success were emphasized, particularly among younger individuals living in cities. Today, however, there is a clear shift toward values that stress working together, bouncing back from challenges, and fairness among all groups. These changes are not random but signal a deeper cultural adjustment that affects everyday choices, the laws people support, and how actively they participate in civic life.Grasping these changing value orientations is crucial for anyone in a position to shape society—whether they are elected officials, teachers, or community leaders. The reason is that values often operate out of sight, guiding how people interact with government, judge what is fair, and react to plans for rebuilding after a crisis. By tracking that these new values are taking root, leaders can design programs that are more in tune with what people actually care about, help communities stick together, and lay the groundwork for lasting resilience. Future studies need to follow value beliefs over time in various cultural settings. This will show how permanent the shifts really are and what keeps them strong or makes them fade. Researchers should compare countries, different age groups, and professions to see how trust in institutions, the rise of digital tools, and education influence these beliefs. We also need to build broader frameworks that blend psychology, sociology, and political science; together, these fields can help countries plan for lasting, positive change in the years after the pandemic.

VII. REFERENCES

[1] Sadulla, S. (2025). Effect of Pranayama on lung function in post-COVID rehabilitation among middle-aged adults: A clinical study. Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences, 1(1), 24–30.

[2] Abdullah, D. (2025). Designing for her: Human-centered UX strategies in female-oriented HealthTech applications. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 7–11.

[3] Rahim, R. (2024). Integrating digital twin and life cycle assessment for sustainable roadway material selection: A data-driven framework. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 23–30.

[4] Karthika, J. (2025). The role of Yoga Nidra in mental resilience and performance consistency in elite athletes. Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences, 1(1), 39–44.

[5] Uvarajan, K. P. (2024). Integration of artificial intelligence in electronics: Enhancing smart devices and systems. Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering, 1(1), 7–12. https://doi.org/10.31838/PECE/01.01.02

[6] Abdullah, D. (2024). Integrating biophilic design in smart urban infrastructure for environmental and public health outcomes. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 14–22.

[7] Poornimadarshini, S. (2025). Cyberfeminism 4.0: The role of social media and digital platforms in shaping contemporary feminist activism. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 25–30.

[8] Samadi, H., & Samadi, S. (2018). The Relationship between Religious Orientation with The Focus of Chastity and Hijab and Women's Mental Health. International Academic Journal of Social Sciences, 5(1), 174–181. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSS/V5I1/1810016

[9] Jalali, Z., & Shaemi, A. (2015). The impact of nurses' empowerment and decision-making on the care quality of patients in healthcare reform plan. International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 2(1), 60–66.

[10] Mansour, E. A., & Kuffi, E. A. (2024). A Review on Important Double Integral Transformations with Some Elementary Functions. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 11(1), 181–194. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSE/V11I1/IAJSE1122

[11] Uvarajan, K. P. (2024). Advanced modulation schemes for enhancing data throughput in 5G RF communication networks. SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications, 1(1), 7-12. https://doi.org/10.31838/ESA/01.01.02

[12] Iyer, S., & Trivedi, N. (2023). Cloud-powered Governance: Enhancing Transparency and Decision-making through Data-driven Public Policy. In Cloud-Driven Policy Systems (pp. 13-18). Periodic Series in Multidisciplinary Studies.

[13] Pillai, N., & Panigrahi, I. (2024). Global Health Security and SDG 3: Strengthening Pandemic Preparedness through South-south Cooperation. International Journal of SDG's Prospects and Breakthroughs, 2(2), 10-13.

[14] Fairfax, J., & Sørensen, A. (2024). Integrating Telemedicine and Pharmacists in Chronic Gastrointestinal Diseases: A Critical Role During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Global Journal of Medical Terminology Research and Informatics, 2(4), 23-29.

[15] Velliangiri, A. (2024). Security challenges and solutions in IoT-based wireless sensor networks. Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT, 1(1), 8-14. https://doi.org/10.31838/WSNIOT/01.01.02

[16] Donkor, K., & Zhao, Z. (2023). Building Brand Equity Through Corporate Social Responsibility Initiatives. Global Perspectives in Management, 1(1), 32-48.

[17] Chatterjee, A., & Sanyal, S. (2024). From production to market: Uncovering the complexities of COVID-19's impact on fisheries and aquaculture. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies, 4(2), 37-52. http://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V4I2/3

[18] Shrivastav, P., & Malakar, U. (2024). Exploring Barriers to Medication Adherence Among Patients with Chronic Diseases. Clinical Journal for Medicine, Health and Pharmacy, 2(3), 21-31.