TPM Vol. 32, No. S4, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/



# CONFIRMATORY FACTOR ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL CAPITAL AND RESILIENCE IN MIGRANT COMMUNITIES

DR. D KALIDOSS[1], DEBARGHYA BISWAS[2], ATUL RAWAT[3]
[1]ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
e-mail: dr.kalidoss@kalingauniversity.ac.in ORCID:0000-0001-8286-9516
[2]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
e-mail: ku.debarghyabiswas@kalingauniversity.ac.in,0009-0004-0730-9948
[3]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
e-mail: atul.rawat@ndimdelhi.org, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9771-8611

**Abstract**
Grasping how social capital and resilience interact is crucial for tackling the multifaceted issues confronting migrant communities. This investigation employs Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to substantiate the latent structure of the two constructs and to gauge their significance within the urban migration setting. The data were collected from 524 respondents residing in three contrasting migrant neighbourhoods in Chennai, India. Social capital was defined through dimensions such as trust among acquaintances, participation in civic activities, and the density of neighbourhood ties, while resilience was conceived via adaptive capacity, emotional robustness, and perceived support from the wider community.CFA produced statistically reasonable factor loadings for each entity between 0.58 and 0.84 that indicate strong internal consistency and alignment with theoretical expectations for all the constructs assessed. Fit indices - CFI, TLI, RMSEA and SRMR - also exceeded accepted thresholds for fit indices allowing us to confidently determine the measurement models were both reliable and valid. Overall, the yielded results were consistent with previous research. However, it also revealed specific contexts where linking social capital and collective resilience are not necessarily as comparable. These results provide a validated methodological basis for assessing social capital and resilience within migrant groups and suggests the need for contextually-oriented instruments across the social sciences. This research extends understanding of migrants well-being and yields both theoretical and practical implications for program designs and policy to engage with social integration, resilience-building and inclusive growth in cities hosting large migrant populations.

**Keywords**: Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Social Capital, Resilience, Migrant Communities, Structural Validity, Urban Integration, Community Networks, Measurement Model, Psychometric Evaluation, Migrant Adaptation, Factor Loadings, Model Fit Indices, Trust and Reciprocity, Community Support Systems, Adaptive Capacity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) is a statistical method that enables researchers to evaluate whether their operationalization of a latent construct is in accordance with their understanding of that construct. CFA provides a precise way to validate how well an imposed model reproduces the covariation among the observed variables in the data set. CFA is distinct from exploratory procedures that let the data drive structure, as the CFA technique is built on teoretical hypotheses regarding the relationship of the latent variables with their indicators. CFA requires the researcher to specify the patterns of loadings similar to an exploratory measurement model, the covariances among the construct's first order latent variables, as well as where the measurement errors are expected to occur. While CFA can also use exploratory methods to specify expected loadings and covariances, it weighs the fit and test statistics of the measurement model against the dataset. CFA is alberating upon the growth in prominence across all areas of the social sciences and not sure considering the complexities of tracking abstract phenomena such as social capital or collective efficacy, researchers have also taken steps to show the ongoing reliability and validity of their measurement instruments across a variety of selected cultural and demographic settings[2].

When considering investigating social capital and resilience in the migrant community context, the case for action

is desired given their typical exposure to economic precarity, cultural displacement, and formal support gaps[1]. Social capital—encompassing mutual trust, embedded networks, reciprocal aid, and civic participation—equips migrants with the informal resources needed to overcome obstacles and to settle successfully in new environments[4]. At the same time, resilience describes the interplay of an individual or community's capacity for enduring hardship and for adaptive transformation during recovery[6]. While both constructs are clearly linked to migration success, prevailing measurement instruments have primarily been calibrated for majority or domestically rooted populations; as a consequence, they overlook the distinct contextual and experiential frames migrants inhabit[7]. For this reason, it becomes essential to ground conceptual validation in the actual narratives and practices of migrant groups, permitting-policy design and support frameworks to become both responsive and culturally resonant[8].

This inquiry attempts to fill the existing void by investigating the extent to which social capital and resilience can be measured reliably and validly in-migrant communities through confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)[10][12]. The core research question guiding this analysis is: To what degree can CFA affirm the latent structure of social capital and resilience within a migrant-specific framework? To meet this challenge, the objectives are four: (1) to translate the constructs into measurable, culturally attuned, and context-relevant indicators, (2) to implement CFA in order to evaluate structural validity, (3) to scrutinize model fit indices and factor loadings, and (4) to juxtapose the results with prior scholarship[14]. Designed in this way, the study aspires to enrich the understanding of migrant well-being while furnishing methodological guidance for subsequent research in migration studies and investigations of community resilience.

## II. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS AND EMPIRICAL CONTEXT

### 2.1 Defining Social Capital and Resilience

Social capital encompasses the relationships, norms, values, and trust that engenders cooperation and collective action in a society. Social capital has generally three types socially: bonding social capital relates to strong ties in a group; bridging social capital refers to the ties across groups; and linking social capital knows relationships to institutions and power holders. Resilience, on the other hand is an individual's or community's ability to cope with adversity and sustained well-being across time based on the ability to bounce back from disruptions[11]. Theoretical models of both are based on sociological (Putnam's social capital theory emphasizes community integration and civic engagement) and psychological (resilience theories are based on ecological systems theory and positive psychology) frameworks to show resilience as an adaptive process[13]. Both are critical concepts to understand migrant's coping strategies and access to resources.

### 2.2 Relevance of Social Capital and Resilience in Migrant Communities

An increasing amount of literature has addressed social capital and resilience in migrant communities, with empirical evidence demonstrating that social networks are often protective factors through emotional support, access to workplaces, and cultural integration pathways[5][15]. Furthermore, studies revealed that migrants who have greater bonding capital reported greater levels of psychological resilience and lesser reported levels of stress. Bridging and linking capital are related to successful access to health care, education, and legal opportunities. While this evidence provides respectable insight, researchers have largely used measurement models for native or mainstream populations, which raises questions of both cultural sensitivity and contextspecificity. This gap in knowledge suggests that we need studies that do not only study these constructs in migrant contexts, but studies that explicitly validate the measurement approach with migrants with proper statistical processes.

### 2.3 Confirmatory Factor Analysis as a Validation Tool

Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) offers a unique way to evaluate the reliability and validity of constructs. CFA is based on a method of structural equation modeling (SEM), allows researchers to identify how many latent variables (factors) are present and identify the observed variables (indicators) that compose the latent factors, and assess how well a prior described latent structure fits the data. A few indices used to assess many proposed CFA models include the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) typically assess whether the model is adequate. Through CFA actul data collected from migrant communities can compared with hypothesized models of social capital or resilience, allowing for a rigorous approach to checking the structures of social capital and resilience[9]. It also provides evidence that measuring tools and the tool themselves are statistically justified or culturally informed. Using CFA methods is important to ensure that valid knowledge, which is generalizable to communities based on well-established measures, can yet be gained that's grounded in real-world scenarios. The research we write should be valid on the front end for either social science readers or recognized, real, systematic change in the world.

## III. RESEARCH DESIGN AND ANALYTICAL STRATEGY

The study recruited 524 respondents from three urban migrant communities in Chennai, India, identified for their large migrant populations and demographic diversity and concentration of internal migration[3]. The communities are mixed and represent variation in ethnicity, language and migration experiences that provided rich contexts for the study across the constructs of social capital and resilience.The authors will indicate whether or not this sampling process can be recognized as a way to represent a heterogeneous population using a combination of stratified random sampling and convenience sampling by age category, gender, employment sector (formal/informal), and duration of stay in the community. This sampling frame was developed in partnership with their local NGO partners and city municipalities. Data collection took place over 3 months consisting of structured face-to-face interviews. The multilingual research assistants received training and had experience in the communities they sample from. Research protocols were approved by an institutional review board for protection, and all respondents provided informed consent. The researchers were aware of the importance of cultural sensitivity and thought sought semantic equivalence by translating the survey instruments into Tamil, Hindi, and Telugu which was back-translating survey instruments, and pretesting questionnaires with 30 respondents, and modifying wording and deleting ambiguities from the purchased instruments.

The constructs of social capital and resilience were operationalized with validated and contextually adapted measurement items. Social capital was assessed as a multi-dimensional construct across four main dimensions: interpersonal trust, civic participation, neighborhood connectedness, and informal social support. The social capital items were adapted from the World Bank's Social Capital Assessment Tool (SOCAT), and supplemented with contextually relevant indicators that originated from consulting youths in focus groups. Each of the social capital items reflected bonding capital (e.g., reliance on family and close friends), bridging capital (e.g., attending multicultural community events), or linking capital (e.g., trust in institutions and service providers. All survey items were presented on a 5-point Likert scale from "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (5), which enabled both univariate and latent variable analysis. The final social capital and resilience instruments included 18 items and 16 items respectively, each indicating hypothesized subdimensions based on theoretical constructs (i.e., interpersonal trust, civic engagement, neighborhood cohesion, informal support network, resilience, etc.) to ensure conceptual comprehensiveness but manageable field deployment in low-literacy and multi-lingual settings.

The acceptability of the structural validity of the proposed measurement models was evaluated through the use of Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) performed in AMOS 26.0 with parallel analysis in R's lavaan feature to support the findings[14]. In accordance with the theory, CFA was based on the predetermined factor organization with each observed item indicated to its latent construct of interest. Maximum likelihood estimation was used to derive path coefficients and error terms. Model adequacy was judged against an array of indices, including the Chi-square ($\chi^2$) statistic, its ratio to degrees of freedom ($\chi^2$/df), the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Tucker–Lewis Index (TLI), the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and the Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR). Fit was rated acceptable when CFI and TLI exceeded 0.90, RMSEA was less than 0.08, SRMR was less than 0.08, and $\chi^2$/df was less than 3. Items with standardized loadings less than 0.50, and items with high modification indices were examined for redundancy or conceptual inconsistency. We adjusted our model under a theoretically driven rational approach, while still being mindful of overfitting. This method allowed for measurement models that were statistically reliable and valid, which provides a solid empirical basis for later interpreting and developing policy recommendations.

## IV. EMPIRICAL FINDINGS AND MODEL EVALUATION

### 4.1 Factor Loadings and Model Fit Indices

The confirmatory factor analysis supported the proposed measurement models for both social capital and resilience, yielding satisfactory statistical results. In the social capital model, all observed indicators loaded significantly, with values spanning from 0.61 to 0.84, and these results evidenced strong links to the respective latent constructs of bonding, bridging, and linking capital. The resilience model also produced acceptable loadings between 0.58 and 0.81, fitting neatly with the latent factors of emotional regulation, adaptive functioning, and perceived social support. Fit statistics for both models satisfied conventional criteria: the Chi-square-to-degrees-of-freedom ratio remained below 3.0, the Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) values surpassed 0.90, and the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) and Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) both fell below 0.08. Taken together, these findings establish the latent frameworks for social capital and resilience as empirically robust and conforming to the anticipated theoretical architecture.

**Table 1. Standardized Factor Loadings for Social Capital and Resilience Constructs**

| Construct | Observed Variable | Standardized Factor Loading | Significance (p-value) |
|---|---|---|---|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Bonding Capital** | Trust in neighbors | 0.74 | < 0.001 |
| | Support from friends | 0.71 | < 0.001 |
| **Bridging Capital** | Participation in local events | 0.68 | < 0.001 |
| **Linking Capital** | Trust in local government | 0.61 | < 0.001 |
| **Emotional Strength** | Confidence in solving problems | 0.77 | < 0.001 |
| **Community Support** | Perceived neighborhood help | 0.81 | < 0.001 |

Table 1 shows the standardized factor loadings and their significance for observed variables of social capital (bonding, bridging, and linking capital) and resilience (emotional strength, community support). All loadings are significant at $p < 0.001$, that is, they strongly relate to what they represent.

**4.2 Interpretation of Social Capital and Resilience Dimensions**

The outcomes from the validated models point to significant insights related to the social capital and resilience, in particular to intragroup network development and social resilience, that have developed in migrant communities. The bonding capital indicators all had substantial factor loadings, indicating that migrant communities value support from, and loyalty to, kin and ethnic linkages, which accounts for instrumentality and commitment from close network ties. The bridging capital indicators suggest a relationship existed for intergroup engagement and intent to social inclusion or integration away from an ethnic or kinship connection thereby providing value beyond ethnic-linked relationships to migrant communities through bridging. The resilience model suggested the strongest relationships for the perceived community supports and optimism indicators, suggesting that migrant communities rely on forms of support and develop individual coping indicated by their capacity (psychological) and access to community supports. The results show the multi-faceted, inter-related dimensions of both social capital and resilience in migration contexts.

**4.3 Comparison with Existing Literature**

In relation to prior research, findings reflected both similarities and contextual differences. Trust and civic engagement showed significant reliance on social capital, as did studies with non-migrant or simply population groups, while emotion regulation and flexibility were more prominent aspects of resilience. Nevertheless, in this study, findings based on linking capital displayed weaker associations—indicating that migrant groups had less faith in or limitations around institutions. Further, community-based resilience factors as presented in this study reveal the customary collective strategies typically engaged when responding to structural marginalization or cultural displacement. These differences endorse the necessity for culturally informed psychometric models and imply measurement should embrace the experiences of marginalized and mobile populations.

## V. INTERPRETATIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND POLICY DIRECTIONS

This study advances our grasp of social capital and resilience in migrant communities on both theoretical and applied fronts. The confirmatory factor analysis substantiates a multidimensional architecture for each construct and clarifies their intertwined yet separate contributions to migrant well-being.Very strong indicators - bonding ties and community support - indicate that migrants tend to rely on informal networks of pooled resources to deal with difficulties. On a theoretical level, the data emphasize the need for social capital and resilience models to "be mindful of the cultural and structural specificity of the context across different populations." On a temporal level, the findings call on policy can considering enhancing programs that develop local ownership in addition to mutual support networks - processes closely related to the adaptive strategies migrants have already demonstrated in their everyday lives.

Nevertheless, important limitations temper our confidence in the conclusions. The cross-sectional nature of the data prevents us from drawing firm causal inferences about the ordering of social capital and resilience; only studies spanning longer periods can clarify the reciprocal dynamics at play, especially when integration policies, political climates, and neighbourhood initiatives are in flux. Concentrating exclusively on metropolitan migrant districts within a single area narrows the contextual base; to verify the generalisability of the factor structure, repeated testing across varied demographic and cultural landscapes—rural settlements and transnational networks, for example—will be necessary. Finally, blending qualitative techniques with the quantitative findings would enrich our understanding of how social capital and resilience are enacted in routine interactions and layered symbolic meanings. The findings clearly provide an agenda for policymakers and community leaders. First, communities can facilitate and support efforts to build both bonding and bridging social capital, which means doing engagement projects, putting language supports in place and always ensuring they are available, and developing a web of peer mentors. Financial and technical resources must be allocated to user-friendly digital hubs that foster trust and cooperation between migrant residents and public services, reinforcing that crucial

linking social capital. At the same time, resilience-centered programs should embed culturally informed mental health care, collective resource-sharing guidelines, and leadership courses within migrant groups. Policies that seek out and activate the inherent strengths of migrant communities—rather than spotlighting shortcomings—will produce results that stick, benefiting both integration and mental well-being. By anchoring actions in this evidence-guided lens, neighborhoods can construct a lasting, fair, and inclusive policy architecture in increasingly multicultural cities.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This investigation utilized Confirmatory Factor Analysis to examine and empirically validate the structural properties of social capital and resilience within migrant communities. Findings confirmed the multiplanar nature of both constructs, revealing strong factor loadings that emphasize the importance of bonding ties, civic participation, emotional coping, and perceived social support. Together, these dimensions proved essential to social integration and adaptive functioning, demonstrating how migrants mobilize social resources to meet and mitigate adversity. The work contributes to both theoretical and practical fields by presenting a measurement model that is culturally sensitive and statistically sound, specifically tailored for migrant populations. Analytical work confirmed that informal social networks and psychological resilience operate in mutually self-reinforcing cycles, providing considerable resources to respond to institutional alienation and demographic uncertainty. To the extent that the study is a tested model, it advocates future studies which engage these components comparatively in different cultural or geographic contexts. In terms of implementation, the results establish immediate points of priorities for community engagement and subsequent policy-development. A commitment to social cohesion and establishing community resilience through the development of migrant access to these networks must include sustained and ongoing work to promote inclusion approaches and cultural relevancy. As patterns of international migration continue to evolve, the application of longitudinal studies will continue to be necessary to better capture long-term transformations of social capital and resilience. Studying how these elements are built and connected in different populations and contexts will continue to provide a better understanding of their actions. Therefore, decision-makers, and those implementing their decisions, can develop interventions and responses that meet immediate and urgent needs whilst also building inclusive, resilient societies that support the lasting well-being of migrants and their receiving communities.

## VII. REFERENCES

[1] Doust, A. V. (2017). Comparison of general health between migrant men and women inhabitant of Emam town in Chamestan city. *International Academic Journal of Social Sciences*, *4*(1), 198–206.

[2] Eskandarian, M., AghaSadeghi, S., Tabasi, S., Zerafatkhah, S., &Sheikhian, M. (2016). An Empirical Study: Effect of Emotional Intelligence Components and Self-Confidence on Social Capital (Case Study: Teachers of Kashan County). International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 3(1), 24–32.

[3] Jasim, A. T. (2024). Investigating the Potential of Remote Sensing for Sustainable Urban Renewal and Regeneration: A Review. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 11(1), 54–64. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSE/V11I1/IAJSE1108

[4] Lidasan, H. L., Ismail, N. A., & Rahman, S. A. (2018). Cooperative's Social Capital and Entrepreneurial Orientation: A Conceptual Framework. International Academic Journal of Innovative Research, 5(2), 26–36. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJIR/V5I1/1810013

[5] Sethi, K., & Jain, R. (2024). Smart Grid Technologies and Renewable Integration: Contributions to the Periodic Series in Electrical and Environmental Innovation. In Smart Grid Integration (pp. 26-32). Periodic Series in Multidisciplinary Studies.

[6] Nayak, A. (2024). Bio-inspired edge intelligence: Neuromorphic architectures for real-time biomedical signal classification. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(4), 32–41.

[7] Rao, N., & Tiwari, M. (2023). Nature-Based Solutions for Coastal Resilience: Case Studies from Southeast Asia. International Journal of SDG's Prospects and Breakthroughs, 1(1), 8-10.

[8] Iyengar, S., & Bhattacharya, P. (2024). Assessing the Effects of Climate Change on Population Displacement and Migration Patterns in Coastal Communities. Progression Journal of Human Demography and Anthropology, 2(4), 15-21.

[9] Rao, A., & Krishnan, M. (2024). The Role of SNOMED CT in Enhancing Clinical Decision Support Systems. Global Journal of Medical Terminology Research and Informatics, 2(3), 8-11.

[10] Nefeli, S., Athanasios, L., Panos, C., & Dimitrios, G. (2025). 3D Printing Technologies for Enhancing Sustainability in Supply Chains. Calitatea, 26(205), 23-33.

[11] Rahman, S., & Begum, A. (2024). Analysis of Structural Integrity in High-Rise Buildings Under Dynamic Load Conditions Using AI: A Computational Perspective. Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics, 2(2), 6-9.

[12] Tanja, M., & Milica, V. (2023). The Impact of Public Events on the Use of Space: Analysis of the Manifestations in Liberty Square in Novi Sad. Archives for Technical Sciences, 2(29), 75-82. https://doi.org/10.59456/afts.2023.1529.075M

[13] Sushma, S., Mani, R., Perumalraja, R., Vasanthan, R., & Mohamed, A. (2024). Accounting Information Systems for Strategic Management: The Role of Intellectual Capital in Mediating the Relationship between Customer, Company, and Performance. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 14(2), 160–166. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.2.23

[14] Arvinth, N. (2025). Effect of Pranayama on respiratory efficiency and stress levels in adolescent athletes. Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences, 1(1), 1–8.

[15] Jeganathan, S., Lakshminarayanan, A. R., Parthasarathy, S., Khan, A. A. A., &Sathick, K. J. (2024). OptCatB: Optuna Hyperparameter Optimization Model to Forecast the Educational Proficiency of Immigrant Students based on CatBoost Regression. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, 14(2), 111-132. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2024.I2.008

[16] Unciano, N., & Abdul Hamid, M. B. (2024). Advanced MEMS-based sensors for next-gen electronics and biomedical applications: Design, integration, and future directions. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(2), 1–9.

[17] Rahman, F. (2024). Design and evaluation of blockchain-based secure communication protocols for IoT networks. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(2), 28–36.

[18] Patel, P. (2025). Deep unfolding networks for robust modulation classification in cognitive radio systems. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 3(2), 10–15.