TPM Vol. 32, No. S4, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

STRUCTURAL MODELLING OF PUBLIC TRUST AND CRISIS COMMUNICATION EFFECTIVENESS

AAKANSHA SOY[1], VENU ANAND DAS VAISHNAV[2], MANVI PANT[3]
[1]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
e-mail: ku.aakanshasoy@kalingauniversity.ac.in,0009-0002-1955-6909
[2]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
[3]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
e-mail: manvi.pant@ndimdelhi.org, https://orcid.org/0009-0002-4239-5146

Abstract:
This study digs into why trust really matters when spread-the-word moments hit during big emergencies. We stitched together what researchers say about the way folks size up danger, what stories or videos they quilt together in the media, and the vibes they share about big organizations in town or in charge. Using all that, we put together a structural equation model (SEM) that shows the step-by-step paths by which trust, fear, and media stories pass the ball until the right facts land in people's hands. The model shows that clear messaging, trustworthy sources, emotional connection, and confidence in public institutions are not separate boxes; they feed into each other and together determine how effectively crisis information is received by the public. We used survey data collected from a wide range of demographic groups during the most recent public health and environmental emergencies to feed the model. We found that when messengers are honest and really show they care, people's trust goes up. When people already believe in institutions, everything about crisis communication gets a little easier. We discovered that the level of trust people already have in institutions shapes how credible they see different information sources. That sense of credibility then influences whether they listen to the advice and whether they share that information with others. This study sheds fresh light on how people react to crises and offers clear guidance for creating public info plans that stick even in the toughest situations. We encourage lawmakers and emergency communication teams to weave trust-building into every step, from planning drills to handling real emergencies.

Keywords:
Public Trust, CrisisCommunication, Structural Equation Modelling (SEM), MessageCredibility, InstitutionalTrust, RiskPerception, Emergency Response.

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 What Public Trust Means and Why It Matters in Crises

Public trust is the belief people have that governments, agencies, and experts will be honest, capable, and clear, especially when the future is uncertain. During serious events like outbreaks, floods, or political turmoil, trust becomes essential. In this way, trust acts like an invisible glue that keeps society working together and an inner compass that guides each person toward the same goal[1].

1.2 Why Examine Structural Relations with SEM

Trust and communication don't just twist and turn; they weave and thrum, making them tricky to untangle[2]. Structural Equation Modelling, or SEM, gives us a sharp pair of statistical scissors to slice right to the heart of hidden, or latent, variables and their tangled strands. Inside SEM, we can see how a crystal-clear message, a touching emotional note, a believable source, and a trustworthy institution nudge each other in straight lines or subtle loops. Old-style linear models can only draw simple arrows, missing the depth of how trust hovers, shapes, and is shaped. SEM, however, lets us sketch, test, and tighten models rooted in theory, showing how trust and crisis-comms strategies play an open, two-way game. Plus, it patiently tolerates those little inaccuracies we always find in real data and lets us hush all the pathways in one sweep.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theories of Trust in Institutional and Media Contexts

Scholars have long examined how faith in societal anchors, governments, and news media is built and how it crumbles. Institutional Trust Theory tells us that citizens latch onto institutions when those institutions demonstrate skill, honesty, and fairness over time. In parallel, Media Credibility Theory suggests that audiences will embrace a news story only if they regard the outlet as knowledgeable and reliable; during crises, when time is tight, people translate that faith into hasty impressions drawn from surface cues, like the name of the agency, the reputation of the outlet, or their gut read of the spokesperson's credibility. Social Capital Theory reminds us that our general trust in the web of social life, the networks, friendships, and norms we carry around, shades our reaction to the signals institutions send. When those signals can tip the balance between life and death, these layers of trust are not mere abstracts; they are the scaffolding on which effective crisis communication is built.

2.2 Communication Models During Crisis Situations

Several solid models help us understand how to communicate when disasters hit. Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) sorts out how organizations should respond based on what kind of crisis it is and who people think caused it. The aim is to stop trust from falling apart. The CERC Model for communicating during tough times says the best moves are to reply fast, tell the truth, and show you care. If you do, people will stay steady and follow the steps they need to take. Transactional Models of Communication remind us that talking is two-way; when the public gives feedback, when false information is corrected, and when posts go viral on social media, all these things change how messages are heard[3]. Still, most of these models describe what happens step by step rather than explain why, so we need more research to show how specific message choices actually lead to trust and action[4].

2.3 Missing Links in Quantitative Modeling of Trust–Communication Links

Even with plenty of theoretical studies, we still lack solid quantitative models that connect communication tactics and public trust[6]. Most past work treats trust like a finished product instead of a moving target shaped by message details, emotional tones, and people's earlier beliefs. Not many research projects combine several secret ingredients: transparency, perceived empathy, and message consistencyinto one tidy package using Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). When this puzzle piece is missing, it leaves leaders and communication experts without the predictive tools they need to help trust flourish during a crisis. We can fix this by stitching together psychology, sociology, and communication theories into a single, practical diagram that shows how the pieces fit[5].

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Sampling and Participant Demographics

For this study, we used a cross-sectional survey design to gather a broad mix of respondents from both city and nearby suburban areas. We chose participants through stratified random sampling so we could reflect differences in age, gender, education, and media exposure. In total, 620 adults between the ages of 18 and 65 completed the survey, with roughly equal numbers of men (51%) and women (49%) [7]. To participate, respondents had to report recent exposure to a public health or emergency campaign in the past year. We then divided the sample into three groups: high, medium, and low media literacy, so we could explore how trust varied with different levels of ability to process information [9].

3.2 Survey Design

The survey was built to measure both what people openly report and what we infer about their responses to crisis communication and public trust. We designed a survey grounded in a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree) to target several focal areas: Message Clarity: Respondents rated the messages' comprehensibility, the level of specificity they provided, and the organization of the content. Perceived Trust: In this section, we explored how respondents evaluated the authority of the source, the degree of internal consistency they noticed, and whether they believed the information was truthful. Emotional Tone: Here we examined how the message influenced feelings, paying particular attention to whether it fostered reassurance, provoked anxiety, evoked empathy, or stimulated fear. How believable a message seems really came down to two things: how trustworthy the speaker felt and how trustworthy the media outlet felt, along with how knowledgeable they each claimed to be [8]. The survey next wanted to know whether people would actually act on the health tips. So, it asked how likely they were to follow the advice and whether they planned to share it with anyone else [11]. Before the final version was prepared, we tested the instrument with a sample of 50 individuals to ensure readability and coherence with our theoretical framework. Their responses led to only small refinements, which we incorporated [10].

3.3 Detailed Methods: Putting CFA and SEM to Work

All of our modeling used IBM SPSS AMOS and R. First, we applied Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to make sure that our signs of trust, message clarity, and emotional tone stacked up the way we had predicted. We looked at fit indices like RMSEA (target below 0.08), CFI (target above 0.90), and SRMR (target below 0.08) to see how well the models worked [12]. Once the CFA models fit well, we used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to see whether the communication factors actually caused changes in public trust. Our SEM models included direct paths and ones that passed through mediators, with bootstrapping used to quantify those indirect effects. We also tested for measurement invariance to make sure our results were consistent across different demographic groups [13].

IV. RESULTS

4.1 Reliability and Validity Checks

We first checked the measurement model for reliability and validity. The Cronbach's alpha scores for trust, message clarity, emotional tone, and source credibility all came in over 0.80, showing that the items within each construct hang together tightly [14]. Composite Reliability (CR) values stayed above 0.85, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) numbers topped the 0.50 mark, which confirms that our items share a strong common variance. We also checked for discriminant validity using the Fornell–Larcker rule and found that each construct's AVE square root was larger than its correlations with any other construct [15].

4.2 Structural Model Path Coefficients

Our Structural Equation Model (SEM) fits the data really well. We got an RMSEA of 0.061, a CFI of 0.94, and an SRMR of 0.045, all of which tell us the model holds. The results show a clear link: people trust clearer messages ($\beta = 0.42$, $p < 0.001$) and messages with an appealing emotional tone ($\beta = 0.36$, $p < 0.01$). Once trust is there, it strongly pushes people toward action ($\beta = 0.55$, $p < 0.001$), meaning they really mean to comply or engage. In a nutshell, clear information and a good emotional tone build trust, and trust builds intention to act.

4.3 Moderation and Mediation Analysis

The moderation test showed that media literacy sharpened the connection between trust and behavioral intention (interaction $\beta = 0.17$, $p < 0.05$); when media literacy was high, the effect grew stronger. In the mediation test, trust was found to completely mediate how emotional tone drives behavioral intention and to partially mediate the effect of message clarity, highlighting trust as a key ingredient for effective communication.

V. DISCUSSION

5.1 Understanding the Model Results

Our findings back the original model, showing that trust converts message features into civic action. Clear messages help people grasp the information, and an emotional tone hits viewers in the feelings; together, they strengthen public trust.

5.2 Trust as the Secret Ingredient for Great Communication

Trust acts like an unseen bridge, letting our words leap straight into acts. When listeners think we mean it, the info we share hits harder and feels true. This is super important during a crisis, when snap decisions carry high stakes. The evidence is stark: even the smartest, straightest message will trip and stall if trust is not already there.

Table 1: Structural Equation Model (SEM) Results and Theoretical Implications for Public Trust in Crisis Communication

Message Attribute	Impact on Trust (β)	p-value	Implication
Message Clarity	0.42	< 0.001	Improves understanding of crisis information
Emotional Tone	0.36	< 0.01	Fosters emotional connection and receptivity
Source Consistency	0.4	< 0.01	Builds perception of credibility and reliability

T**able 1** above presents standardized path coefficients (β) obtained from the SEM analysis, highlighting how core message attributes, clarity, emotional tone, and source consistency influence public trust. All three attributes show statistically significant associations with trust, yet message clarity emerges as the strongest contributor. Corresponding p-values validate the significance of these relationships. The accompanying implications indicate that clearer messages improve comprehension, emotionally engaging language fosters connection, and consistent sources bolster credibility. These findings assist communication teams in designing public messages that are not only more effective but also more likely to cultivate trust during crisis situations

5.3 Theoretical and Applied Implications

This research adds to what we know about crisis communication by combining how people feel and how they think into one structural equation model that people can use. From a practical point of view, it shows that when creating messages during a crisis, simply presenting data isn't enough. Communicators must also focus on trust by using a caring tone and making sure the messages stay the same from one source to the next.

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Summary of Findings

Our study found that how clearly a message is delivered and the feelings packed into it strongly shape the public's trust. That trust, in turn, decides how people will act during a crisis. Trust works like a link that also changes how the message is received.

6.2 Practical Guidance for Crisis Communication Teams

Crisis plans must focus on messages that are simple, open, and in harmony with how people already feel. Spokespeople should sound believable, caring, and steady from the first word to the last. Keeping open lines for public questions and sharing information on many different channels builds trust day after day.

6.3 Future Research Directions

Future studies should track how trust evolves across different phases of a crisis and apply the model in varied cultural and geopolitical settings. Adding real-time sentiment analysis and trust adjustment tools will help fine-tune communication that adapts on the fly.

REFERENCES

[1] Poornimadarshini, S., Sindhu, S., Veerappan, S., & Arvinth, N. (2024). JOWUA: Bibliometrics Analysis of Research Publication Between 2019 and 2024. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 15(4), 348-357. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2024.I4.023

[2] Raman, A., Arokiasamy, A. R., Batumalai, C., Kuppusamy, M., Balakrishnan, R., & Louis, S. A. (2024). A Trust-based Security and Privacy Protection for Data Collection in Smart City. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, 14(3), 18-28. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2024.I3.002

[3] Krishnan, K., Yap, C. K., Hew, T. Y. A., Roslan, M. A. H. B. M., Chew, J. M., Okamura, H., ... & Cheng, W. H. (2025). Public Perception of Air Pollution in Malaysia Before and After Movement Control Order: A Case Study. Natural and Engineering Sciences, 10(1), 14-30. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1523895

[4] Tanja, M., & Milica, V. (2023). The Impact of Public Events on the Use of Space: Analysis of the Manifestations in Liberty Square in Novi Sad. Archives for Technical Sciences, 2(29), 75-82. https://doi.org/10.59456/afts.2023.1529.075M
[5] Rahman, F. (2024). Edge-aware federated learning-based channel equalization for robust communication in 6G-enabled IoT networks. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(4), 52–58.
[6] Sulfath, K. K., Ramakrishnan, P. R., Shareef, P. M., & Shanmugam, H. (2025). Enhancing IT Service Management in Indian IT Organizations: A Technological Integration of ISO 20000 with AI, Blockchain, Predictive Analytics, and Zero Trust Security. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 15(1), 267–273. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.34
[7] Kavitha, M. (2024). Carbon-neutral pavement materials using recycled industrial waste and nanotechnology enhancements. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 1–13.
[8] Abdullah, D. (2025). Topology optimization of composite structures for enhanced crash energy absorption: Mitigating non-uniform stress distributions in crashworthy designs. Advances in Mechanical Engineering and Applications, 1(2), 1–10.
[9] Prabhakar, C. P. (2024). Digital twin-based optimization models for intelligent industrial systems. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(3), 9–17.
[10] Husadha, C., Hasanuddin, A. I., Uzliawati, L., & Soleha, N. (2025). Corporate Governance and Cash Holdings Through Financial Performance on the Value of Food and Beverage Companies in Indonesia. Quality-Access to Success, 26(205).
[11] Muralidharan, J. (2023). Innovative RF design for high-efficiency wireless power amplifiers. National Journal of RF Engineering and Wireless Communication, 1(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.31838/RFMW/01.01.01
[12] Yang, C. S., Lu, H., & Qian, S. F. (2024). Fine-tuning SSP algorithms for MIMO antenna systems for higher throughputs and lesser interferences. International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies, 12(2), 1-10. https://doi.org/10.31838/IJCCTS/12.02.01
[13] Pallavi, C. H., & Sreenivasulu, G. (2024). A Hybrid Optical-Acoustic Modem Based on MIMO-OFDM for Reliable Data Transmission in Green Underwater Wireless Communication. Journal of VLSI Circuits and Systems, 6(1), 36–42. https://doi.org/10.31838/jvcs/06.01.06
[14] Usikalua, M. R., & Unciano, N. (2025). Memory reconsolidation and trauma therapy: A new frontier in PTSD treatment. Advances in Cognitive and Neural Studies, 1(1), 1–10.
[15] Rimada, Y., Mrinh, K.L., & Chuonghan. (2024). Unveiling the printed monopole antenna: Versatile solutions for modern wireless communication. National Journal of Antennas and Propagation, 6(1), 1–5.
[16] Khan, M., & Taha, A. (2023). Simulating Complex Structures with Structural Engineering Software. Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics, 1(1), 26-37.
[17] Iyer, R., & Deshpande, N. (2024). Nanotechnology and its Applications in Chiral and Achiral Separating Mechanisms. Engineering Perspectives in Filtration and Separation, 1(1), 7-13.
[18] Castillo, M. F., & Al-Mansouri, A. (2025). Big Data Integration with Machine Learning Towards Public Health Records and Precision Medicine. Global Journal of Medical Terminology Research and Informatics, 2(1), 22-29.