TPM Vol. 32, No. S4, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

LUNG CANCER DETECTION USING RESNET50-CNN MODEL IN IMAGE PROCESSING

SRINIVASAN M.L[1], M. MALATHI[2]
[1]RESEARCH SCHOLAR, ANNA UNIVERSITY, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, RAJALAKSHMI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHENNAI, seenaitech@gmail.com
[2]PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
RAJALAKSHMI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHENNAI, malathiyoga08@gmail.com

Abstract

Lung cancer poses a major public health concern, leading to the deaths of around one million people worldwide every year. Due to prevailing clinical cases, identifying lung cancer on chest CT scans has become crucial. Despite the necessity for accurate detection, automated testing systems fall short, and lung cancer screenings remain costly. Furthermore, utilizing numerous complex data sets in clinical settings demands substantial time and expertise. Nevertheless, dealing with the extensive and rapidly expanding cancer-related databases presents challenges in analysis, resulting in a lack of accuracy. To address this issue, we introduced a Resnet-50 Convolutional Neural Network (ResNet50-CNN) technique to enhance the accuracy of classifying lung cancer or non-cancer images. We preprocess lung cancer images using the Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) to maximize the distance between the object and the background. We analyze the global best position and select features using an Enhanced Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm. The proposed ResNet50-CNN model using a Deep Learning (DL) algorithm can accurately distinguish lung cancer images from non-cancer images. Furthermore, the performance evaluation of the proposed method can be analyzed in terms of accuracy, F1 score, sensitivity, and specificity. Moreover, when comparing the proposed ResNet50-CNN technique with previous methods, the accuracy is enhanced to 95.6%.

Keywords: Lung cancer, Image processing, DL, ResNet50-CNN, GMM, feature selection, and CT image dataset,

1. INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer affects both men and women, accounting for nearly 25% of all cancer-related fatalities, making it the deadliest malignancy in the world. Medical doctors detect the condition using histopathological photos of biopsy tissue from afflicted lung regions. Furthermore, numerous tests are performed to identify cancer cells, and imaging technologies such as X-rays and CT scans are used to rule out other possible causes. A trained pathologist also studies microscopic histopathology slides, conducts a biopsy to confirm the diagnosis [1-2], and categorizes lung cancer types and subtypes. The disease has two types: non-small cell lung cancer and non-small cell lung cancer. Smoking increases the chance of sickness, thus early identification is crucial for saving lives. Lung cancer cells can spread to other regions of the body if not detected early. Low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening has been shown to effectively reduce lung cancer mortality.

Furthermore, symptoms of lung cancer might include changes in voice, coughing, chest pain, wheezing, and other unpleasant indicators [3]. In recent years, improvements in Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CAD) have shown promising outcomes for medical image interpretation.Deep learning (DL) methods, notably transfer learning, have proven to be effective tools for enhancing pre-existing models and optimizing the efficacy of DL models.

The lung imaging database consortium is creating an accessible online image database to serve as a global research resource. Compile a database to guide CAD procedures using CT scans to assess lung cancer stages. Additionally, the database was developed to help identify and classify pulmonary nodules, combining geographic and temporal data with the effectiveness of CAD technology. In addition, they perform analysis for subsequent processing during image processing by improving image clarity through edges, boundaries, and brightness. Therefore, [4] these frequency responses or spatial multiplexing techniques are used for image enhancement.

Early detection of pulmonary problems, via fast and effective therapy, is critical to minimizing risk. Manual diagnosis of pneumonia is difficult, prone to subjective discrepancies, and may result in treatment delays. In addition, areas of pneumonia may be obscured by x-ray scans. Since it is difficult to detect abnormalities with X-rays, its evaluation accuracy is significantly lower than other diagnostic approaches. Therefore, there is a need to promote effective DL methods that provide substantial accuracy in image classification efforts [5].

Even though CT scans are the most advanced imaging technology accessible to medical experts, they can be difficult to evaluate and diagnose cancer from. Furthermore, early detection and treatment may reduce the risk of the condition and its mortality rate. [6] Variations in CT scan intensity, as well as erroneous anatomical interpretations by radiologists and medical professionals, may make identifying cancer cells challenging.

This paper's contribution is to assess lung cancer characteristics utilizing the Chest CT-Scan image database, which was initially acquired via Kaggle. Furthermore, it describes a method for preparing lung cancer photos with GMM to enhance the distance between the item and the background. It also introduces the EPSO method for determining the best global location for feature selection. In addition, the research offers a ResNet50-CNN model to increase the accuracy of predicting lung cancer and non-cancer pictures with the DL method. Finally, the approach can analyse lung pictures based on performance evaluation.

1.1 Lung Cancer

In recent years, lung cancer fatalities have declined dramatically, owing mostly to advances in treatment choices. Some of the possible treatment options include surgery, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiation therapy, and targeted drug therapy. Lung cancer is produced by uncontrolled cell division in the lungs as a result of errors in the normal cell replication process.When these mutated cells multiply, they lead to the development of lung cancer. Various treatment approach’s purpose to target and combat these abnormal cells and improve outcomes for those analyzed with the disease.



Figure 1. Lung Cancer Diagram

As shown in the lung cancer diagram in figure 1, alveoli begin in the lungs' bronchioles or small air sacs within the alveoli.

1.2. Lung Cancer Types

Although many different types of cancer can affect the lungs, the phrase "lung cancer" is commonly used to refer to both small cell and non-small cell lung cancers.

A. Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC)

Non-small cell lung cancer accounts for more than 80% of all lung cancer cases, making it the most prevalent kind. The two most frequent forms of non-small cell lung cancer are adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. The less common NSCLC subtypes Aden squamous carcinoma and sarcomatous carcinoma are also present.

B. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC)

Small cell lung cancer is harder to treat because it grows faster than non-small. It is usually considered a small lung tumor that spreads to other body parts. In addition, a specific type of SCLC consists of a small cell cancer known as oat cell carcinoma.

1.3 Symptoms of Lung Cancer

The majority of lung cancer symptoms are similar to those seen in other less severe conditions.In addition, lung cancer may not show symptoms until late in the disease, although early signs are analyzed.



Figure 2. The Structure of Lung Cancer Symptoms

Certain patterns, such as a chronic cough that does not increase over time, can aid in the identification of potential lung cancer symptoms.As shown in figure 2, common symptoms include dyspnea, tachypnea, and superior vena cava syndrome. Early diagnosis and timely medical intervention are critical to manage the condition effectively.

1.4 Analyzing Computed Tomography Images Using Deep Learning

Computed tomography imaging can be used to create detailed pictures of the human body to diagnose and monitor diseases such as cancer, heart disease, and trauma. The integration of CT imaging in modern medicine equips experts with precise tools for visualizing internal organs, aiding in informed diagnosis and treatment. Additionally, CT scans offer in-depth body imaging data, enabling the detection of abnormalities beyond traditional techniques. Leveraging deep learning in CT image processing presents numerous benefits. Different CT image datasets with varying resolutions, noise levels, and features can be produced by DL algorithms, capable of processing a broad range of input data. This enables real-time CT image analysis and enhances procedural efficiency.

2. LITERATURE SURVEY

The offered an overview of advancements in lung cancer detection methods and CT imaging. Furthermore [7], image processing methods employed for preprocessing can be examined for noise reduction, improvement, and segmentation. One of the most serious cancers that can seriously impair a person's health is lung cancer.Although histology is the gold standard for staging and classifying lung cancer, novice clinicians can find it challenging to analyse broad histopathology images. [8]. Clinical image analysis of lung cancer utilizing the DL algorithm aims to compare various techniques based on their performance, advantages, and limitations. Additionally, it provides insight into approaches to lung cancer analysis and classification [9]. Moreover, discussed various limitations in adapting current DL-based models for the automated identification of cancer patients through pre-trained models [10].

Since computer vision and medical image processing depend on image processing, several technological stages must be carried out to improve the performance of medical testing[11]. Th novel [12] suggest an advanced Machine Learning (ML) model that uses CT scan pictures to stage lung cancer and offers a reliable diagnosis tool. However, traditional diagnostic methods often lead to over-interpretation and vague interpretation when analyzing early detection and measurement. The [13] For the detection of lung and colon cancer, the Tuna Swarm Algorithm with DL (BICLCD-TSADL) is a novel biomedical image analysis model. The BICLCD-TSADL method uses biological picture analysis to identify and categorize lung and colon cancers.

Using the best optimization techniques with histopathology pictures, this study [14] improved the pre-trained CNN algorithm for lung cancer detection. Additionally, CNN performance was assessed using the LC25000 histopathology image dataset.Feature extraction in image acquisition, thresholding, and image preprocessing using Deep Neural Network (DNN) technology for lung cancer detection. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that lung CT image segmentation techniques can extract specific features [15]. Although employing Convolutional Auto Encoder (CAE) models to analyze histopathology images has improved lung and colon cancer detection and prognostic assessment, diagnosing these cancers from histopathological images still presents a challenging task in clinical diagnosis [16].

Table 1. Deep Learning for the Identification of Lung Cancer

Author	Year	Technique	Limitation	Lung Cancer Image
Naseer, S [17]	2023	AlexNet-SVM	Automation has an impact on the effectiveness of cancer excision techniques when malignancies are connected to other organs.	CT scan images
R. Mahum [18]	2023	Lung-RetinaNet	Early diagnosis of lung cancer remains a challenge.	CT scan
S. Oh [19]	2021	EfficientNet, and ResNet	A thorough analysis of different clinical factors is necessary to diagnose lung cancer.	Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
W. Cao [20]	2020	CNN	Pulmonary nodular lesions are often asymptomatic, making diagnosis very difficult.	Low-Dose Computed Tomography (LDCT)
Y. Xie [21]	2019	ResNet-50	Detecting malignant nodules is challenged by the absence of large-scale datasets for training.	CT images
Li, Y [22]	2021	DNN	Determining the prognosis of lung cancer with low values in positive specimens is a difficult task.	Lung image
W. Shao [23]	2020	Ordinal Multi-Modal Feature Selection (OMMFS)	Combining histopathology images with single-gene data approaches has its limitations.	Histopathological Images
Lakshmanaprabu S.K [24]	2019	Optimal Deep Neural Network (ODNN)	Early detection of cancer increases survival rates	CT Image
H. Guo [25]	2020	CNN	All-cause mortality risk in a population is complex to predict	Chest Radiographs (X-ray)
Song [26]	2022	Faster R-CNN	Locating and analyzing CT images can be difficult due to their varying locations and intensities.	CT Image

Nasrullah N [27]	2019	Gradient Boosting Machine (GBM)	Early diagnosis of lung cancer is a significant challenge for survival.	Chest X-ray
Venkatesh, C [28]	2024	CNN	In rare cases, benign tumours in the lungs can be life-threatening.	CT image

Table 1 describes the analysis of lung cancer images using DL techniques derived from previous methods and their limitations.

The author proposed a Rider Optimization Algorithm (ROA) based on reinforcement ML to predict lung and colorectal cancer. Furthermore, it is indicated that the classification consequences are significantly improved on the LC25000 dataset [29].

Table 2. Lung Cancer Based on Image Processing Using Machine Learning

Author/Ref No	Year of Publication	Dataset	Classification	Accuracy
Raza, R [30]	2023	IQ-OTH/NCCD	EfficientNet	93.6%
N. Kumar [31]	2022	LC 25000	Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)	91.06%
A. H. Chehade [32]	2022	LC 25000	XGBoost, LightGBM	92%
S. Tummala [33]	2023	LC25000 histopathology images	EffcientNetV2	92.3%
Salama, W.M [34]	2022	CXR lung images	ResNet50	93.4%
Sim Y [35]	2019	Chest CT scan	DCNN	95%
Said, Y [36]	2023	Decathlon lung dataset	Self-Supervised Neural Network	93.7%
Xu Y [37]	2019	Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), CNN	91.4%
Sethy PK [38]	2023	LC2500	Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT), AlexNet	93%
Jamshidi [39]	2024	CT scan image	Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)	94.6%

As shown in table 2, image dataset classification techniques using ML to analyze lung cancer based on image processing can improve its accuracy by accessing reference years of previous publications.

The CNN method proposed by [40] analyses advanced performance measures based on CT scan images and histopathology images. While several transformation methods can effectively reduce features to enhance data representation, the need for significant computing power and resources could potentially harm the well-being of lung cancer patients [41]. The suggested solution [42] extracted and selected features using a highly random tree classifier with a pre-trained VGG16 model. Performance was assessed using fuzzy metrics such as F1 score, sensitivity, and accuracy.

Table 3. Lung Cancer Based on Feature Selection and Classification Method

Author	Year	Feature Selection	Classification	Performance Evaluation	Achieved Accuracy
M. Mohsin [43]	2020	wrapper-based feature selection	Adam-Cuckoo Search-Based Deep Belief Network (Adam-CS DBN)	Specificity, Sensitivity	90%

Gudur, A. [44]	2023	Ant Colony Optimization (ACO)	SVM	AUC-ROC, Accuracy	92.14%
Wang, Y [45]	2023	Weight-Based Feature Selection (WBFS)	Bayesian Network (BN)	Accuracy	87.5%
Dr. P [46]	2022	Contrast Limited AHE (CLAHE)	SVM, ANN	Sensitivity, Specificity	88%
Morgado, J [47]	2021	Region Of Interest (ROI)	Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) and Logistic Regression (LR)	Area Under the Curve (AUC)	73%
Omar Abdelwahab [48]	2022	Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE)	SVM, RF	False Positive Rate (FPR)	91%
Liangyu Li [49]	2024	Gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM)	SVM, Radial Base Function (RBF)	FPR, Accuracy, sensitivity, specificity	93.2%
Teresa Kwamboka Abuya [50]	2024	Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm (GWOA)	CNN	FPR	0.023%
Mahto, R [51]	2023	Spider Monkey Optimization for Cuckoo Search (CSSMO)	Minimal Redundancy Maximum Pertinence	Precision, F1-Score	90.7%
Negar Maleki [52]	2023	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	Gradient Boosting (GB), RF, and SVM	Accuracy	94.9%
Michael Mary Adline Priya [53]	2020	Whale Optimization-Based Feature Selection Technique	K-Nearest Neighbour (KNN)	Recall	90.3%

As indicated in table 3, the performance evaluation using the feature selection and classification techniques derived from previous methods can predict lung cancer with improved accuracy.

Using lung images directly as input images and performing experiments on LDNNET with other combined parameter settings can improve both dataset’s accuracy to 94.9% [54]. In addition, the CNN model's hyperparameters enable an autonomous lung nodule identification technique that uses transfer learning to improve the optimizer, block size, and number of epochs [55].

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

In this part, we look examine how a Kaggle dataset of chest CT scan pictures may help increase lung cancer diagnostic accuracy. The GMM model may also be used in picture preprocessing to improve subject-background separation.Moreover, the EPSO algorithm can assess the global best position for precise feature selection in cancer images. Finally, the proposed ResNet50-CNN model can classify lung cancer images into cancerous and non-cancerous categories and has shown significant performance improvements in assessing lung cancer images.



Figure 3. The Proposed Resnet50-CNN Technique Based Architecture Diagram

Figure 3 shows how the proposed ResNet50-CNN model improved the accuracy of categorizing lung cancer pictures into cancer and non-cancer categories by utilizing the ResNet50-CNN technology-based feature map. The ResNet50-CNN approach considerably enhanced the performance evaluation of lung cancer pictures. The findings suggest that the suggested medical image processing technology might improve lung cancer detection and therapy.

3.1 Dataset Collection

This section demonstrates how the suggested strategy may enhance accuracy using a dataset of chest CT scan pictures from Kaggle. In addition, they divided 1,000 lung pictures from the chest CT scan image collection into three separate files (Training - 708, Testing - 292, and Validation). Data is gathered in three categories (70%, 20%, and 10%): training, testing, and validation sets in order to improve accuracy. The lung cancer dataset may be viewed at https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/mohamedhanyyy/chest-ctscan-images.



(a) Test

(b) Train

(c)Validation

Figure 4. Dataset Lung Cancer CT Image Collection

As shown in Figure 4, accuracy may be raised by training, testing, and confirming the lung cancer CT scans collected from the dataset.

3.2 Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)

In this section, images can be preprocessed using a Gaussian mixture model to maximize the distance between the subject and the background. Lung images are obtained using the GMM method, which analyzes clusters of pixels in the image as a multivariate Gaussian distribution. In addition, the Bhattacharya metric, which uses multiple metrics to calculate distance, provides better results than similar metrics. Additionally, lung cancer images can be analyzed using metric metrics and a simple analysis design. Thus, Bhattacharya distance can be used to measure differences between distributions using the GMM method.

As illustrated in Equation 1 and 2, Gaussian mixture models can be utilized to estimate the mean and covariance matrices of high-dimensional random vectors by summing the densities of the density-weighted components. Let's assume $\vec{m}$ −Dimension random vector,$j_a(m)$ −component densities, $\vec{\mu}_a$ −mean vector, $\sum_a$ −coverence matrix, F-Variate Gaussian function.

$$U(\vec{m}|\lambda) = \sum_{a=1}^{x} U_a j_a(\vec{m}) \tag{1}$$

$$j_a(m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{F/2}|\sum_a|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\vec{m}-\vec{\mu}_a)' \sum_a^{-1}(\vec{m}-\vec{\mu}_a)\right\} \tag{2}$$

As shown in equations 3 to 4, calculate the distance between the object and background distributions using the mean and distribution variance. Let's assume μ_O −mean object, $\sum_O$ −varience distribution object, $\mu_J, \sum_J$ −bacground parameter, U-pixel value, A-Image, G-random number, Y-number of images.

$$U(A) = U(A/G_K) + U(A/G_J) \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{gathered} U(A/G_K) \sim Y(\mu_K, \textstyle\sum_K) \\ U(A/G_J) \sim Y(\mu_J, \textstyle\sum_J) \end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

The mean distance between object and background distributions can be estimated based on the distribution variance of pixel value in lung cancer image preprocessing.

3.3 Enhanced Particle Swarm Optimization (EPSO)

In this section, the features can be selected to provide the overall optimum using a modified particle swarm optimization algorithm. Further, these populations are analyzed into clusters, and each point is considered a particle. Particles are randomly initialized in the objective function's search space. Each particle can be evaluated using a series of iterations between the population's suitable state and a stochastic search for the best particle solutions. Furthermore, in the EPSO method, each particle can be simultaneously evaluated in the search space, and its velocity is dynamically adjusted based on the experience of particle companions, which corresponds to two properties: velocity vector and position vector.

Algorithm: EPSO

Input: Distance between pixels values U

Output: Global best position R

Start

1. Compute the particle position

2. Initialize the best particle position← W

3. Update the optimal position of the swarm

    For each $d(w) < d(r)$

        Calculate the velocity of the particles

            Compute the maximum iteration value or threshold

                Enhance the particle velocity and position

$$Q_{af}(z+1) = L * Q_{af}(z) + e_1 * g_1 * \left(w_{af}(z) - m_{af}(z)\right) + e_2 * g_2 * \left(w_{rf}(z) - m_{af}(z)\right) \quad (5)$$

$$m_{af}(z+1) = m_{af}(z) + Q_{af}(z+1) \quad (6)$$

Compute the optimal position of particles and swarm

For each $d(m_a) < d(w_a)$

For each $d(w_a) < d(r)$

End for each

End for each

End for each

Evaluate the global best position← R

Return R

End

The speed of each particle in the search space is assessed as a dynamic parameter that is adjusted to calculate the optimal position of the particles and swarm accurately. By fine-tuning the velocity, the particles can move more efficiently through the search space, leading to improved swarming results. Let's assume $Q_{af}(z+1)$ and $m_{af}(z+1)$ −velocity of particle, z-iteration, w_{rf} −paricle global position, the $w_{af}(z)$ −best position of the particle, L-weight, e-acceleration coefficients weight, g-random number, d-function, R-global, w-particle.

3.4 ResNet50-Convolutional Neural Network (ResNet50-CNN)

In this section, a lung imaging dataset is utilized to improve the accuracy of lung cancer vs non-cancer diagnosis using the RESNET-50-CNN network model. ResNet50 reduces gradient vanishing in DNNs and tackles network mapping issues by using residual connections. The 50 layers of the ResNet50-CNN architecture—which include convolutional, pooling, fully connected, and shortcut layers—improve the pre-trained weight model's effectiveness and dataset adaptability. Furthermore, all input neurons are coupled to convolutional layers, allowing for the study of large feature vectors via regularization across several convolutional layers. Furthermore, these layers are linked to fully connected networks, which enable flattened data from prior pooling or convolution phases on lung cancer pictures to be processed in a completely connected layer.

In the ResNet50 approach, the concatenation bypasses certain layers' training and goes right to the output. Weighting the layers allows us to estimate the dependency value in the input lung picture. Compute the result of the function's latest activation, n, as stated in Equation 7. Assume m inputs, d(m) activation functions, and a S(m) network layer.

$$\begin{gathered} S(m)=Rd(l_m+j) \\ S(m)=d(m) \end{gathered} \quad (7)$$

Equation 8 evaluates the increased output value of the CNN connected to the network.

$$S(m) = d(m) + m \quad (8)$$

Calculate the feature map values by applying the filter and iterating the same filter on the input as specified in equation 9. Let's assume d-input, R-feature map, x and y- index of row and column matrix, s-kernel, O-kernel convolution, a and b-values,

$$R[x,y] = (d * s)[x,y] = \sum_b \sum_O s[b,O]\, d[x-b, y-O] \quad (9)$$

A schematic solution for filter sizing to calculate the padding width is shown in Equation 10. Let's assume U-padding, d-Filter dimension.

$$U = \frac{d-1}{2} \quad (10)$$

Equation 11 shows that lung cancer can be characterized by analyzing lines and complements and estimating the output matrix's dimensions. Where H-stride, Y_o −output matrix, Y_i −input matrix.

$$Y_o = \left[\frac{Y_i + +2_u d}{H} + 1\right] \quad (11)$$

The dimensional of the output matrix is used to classify lung cancer by applying input filters and value-based weighting layers to calculate feature map values.

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The chest CT scan image dataset gathered from Kaggle can serve as the foundation for performance analysis utilizing the suggested ResNet50-CNN approach for lung cancer prediction.Furthermore, the accuracy of images of lung cancer can be increased by contrasting the suggested method with traditional techniques. Predictions of precision, accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, F1 score, error, mean square error, ROC, DSC, MHD, and IoU are also possible using the DL algorithm-based performance evaluation. In addition, accuracy assesses the ability to identify lung image results with current information features.

4.1 Comparison Result

The Alex Net-SVM, ODNN, and CSSMO methods from the previous study are contrasted with the ResNet50-CNN method's accuracy in predicting lung cancer using the dataset of breast CT scan images.

Table 4. Simulation Parameter

Simulation	Variable
Dataset Name	Chest CT-Scan images Dataset
Total Images	1000
Training	708
Testing	292
Language	Python
Tool	Jupyter

As shown in table 4, the training and testing of whole lung images to implement simulation parameters and evaluate lung cancer images can be identified in a Jupyter notebook based on Python language.

Table 5. Confusion Matrix

Matrices	Equations
Accuracy	$\frac{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative} + \text{False Positive} + \text{False Negative}}$
Sensitivity	$\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Negative}}$
Specificity	$\frac{\text{True Negative}}{\text{True Negative} + \text{False Positive}}$
Precision	$\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive}}$
F1-Score	$\frac{\text{2Truue Positive}}{\text{2Truue Positive} + \text{False Positive} + \text{False Negatitive}}$
Error	$\frac{\text{False Positive} + \text{False Negatitive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative} + \text{False Positive} + \text{False Negatitive}}$
Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC)	$\frac{\text{2Truue Positive}}{\text{2Truue Positive} + \text{False Positive} + \text{False Negatitive}}$
Jaccard Score (Js)	$\frac{\text{DSC}}{2 - \text{DSC}}$
Modified Hausdorff Distance (MHD)	$\frac{1}{N_a}\sum_{a \in A} \min_{b \in B} \lVert a - b \rVert$
Intersection Over Union (Iou).	$\frac{\text{Truue Positive}}{\text{Truue Positive} + \text{False Positive} + \text{True Negative}}$

The use of confusion matrix approaches, including true positive, true negative, false positive, and false negative, to improve and evaluate lung cancer pictures is demonstrated in Table 5.

Table 6. Analysis of Performance Evaluation Method

Reference No	Method	Performance Evaluation
17	AlexNet-Support Vector Machine (AlexNet-SVM)	Sensitivity, Specificity
24	ODNN	Accuracy, Specificity
51	Cuckoo Search Spider Monkey Optimization (CSSMO)	Recall, Precision, F1-score

Performance evaluation can be identified through various references and techniques, as demonstrated in Table 6.

Table 7. Comparison Model of Performance

Methods	SARS-COV-2 Ct-Scan Dataset			CT Scan Images for Lung Cancer			IQ-OTH/NCCD			Chest CT-Scan images Dataset		
AlexNet-SVM	Tra	Tes	Acc	Tra	Tes	Acc	Tra	Tes	Acc	Tra	Tes	Acc
ODNN	1457	1024	76.3	1489	785	79.2	872	423	80.3	877	123	83.4
CSSMO	1494	987	78.6	1378	896	81.6	941	354	84.6	769	231	87.2
CNN	1699	782	82.4	1530	744	83.2	1,059	236	88.9	822	178	91.6
ResNet50-CNN	1921	569	85.3	1171	1103	86.1	974	321	90.7	853	147	95.7

The lung cancer prediction accuracy by testing and training using the proposed approach and different datasets such as SARS-COV-2 CT scan, IQ-OTH/NCCD, lung cancer CT scan images, and thoracic CT scan images are described in table 7.



Figure 5. Enhanced Lung Cancer Chest CT-Scan images Dataset

Figure 5 illustrates how lung cancer pictures gathered from a dataset of chest CT scan images may be analyzed by optimizing the training, testing, and validation of three image classifiers.



Figure 6. Analysis of Specificity

As demonstrated in Figure 6, the suggested ResNet50-CNN technique can be used to analyze specificity to determine lung cancer image accuracy. Furthermore, the accuracy of the proposed ResNet50-CNN approach improves to 75.4% when compared to previous AlexNet-SVM, ODNN, and CSSMO methods. Analysis of the method specification derived from the last method showed significant improvements of 69.3%, 72.6%, and 74.7% accuracy.



Figure 7. Analysis of Sensitivity

In Figure 7, the accuracy of lung cancer images can be assessed by conducting sensitivity analysis using the presented ResNet50-CNN approach. Comparing the suggested ResNet50-CNN approach to the earlier Alex Net-SVM, ODNN, and CSSMO methods, the accuracy increased to 83.6%. Sensitivity analysis of the method obtained from the last approach shows significantly improved accuracy of 74.6%, 77.9%, and 82.3%, respectively.



Figure 8. Analysis of Precision

By utilizing the proposed ResNet50-CNN technique for precision analysis, the accuracy of figure 8 lung cancer images can be assessed. The exactness of the proposed ResNet50-CNN technique has increased to 88.2%, exceeding the accuracy of previous methods. Achieving a significant improvement over previous methods like ODNN and CSSMO (77%, 83%, and 85.9%), AlexNet-SVM provides accurate analysis identification.



Figure 9. Analysis of F1-Score

In Figure 9, the precision of lung cancer images using the proposed ResNet50-CNN technique is assessed by F1 score analysis. The F1 scores obtained from the analysis show significant improvement in accuracy, with scores of 79.3%, 83.2%, and 88.4% for previous AlexNet-SVM, ODNN, and CSSMO methods and a marked improvement to 91.4% for the proposed ResNet50-CNN method. The F1-score indicates a substantial enhancement in accuracy compared to the earlier methods.



Figure 10. Analysis of Accuracy

The disclosed ResNet50-CNN approach may be utilized to perform a sensitivity analysis on the lung cancer pictures shown in Figure 7. The accuracy study reveals a substantial improvement in accuracy when compared to earlier Alex Net-SVM, ODNN, and CSSMO approaches, with scores of 82.9%, 85.7%, and 91.3%, respectively, and a significant improvement to 95.6% for the proposed ResNet50-CNN method. The accuracy performance shows a substantial increase in outcomes over previous techniques.

5. CONCLUSION

Finally, the Kaggle collection of chest CT scan pictures will improve lung cancer diagnosis accuracy. GMM models may also be used for picture preprocessing in order to enhance object-background separation. Furthermore, the EPSO algorithm can determine the globally optimal site for reliable feature selection in cancer pictures. Lastly, to increase the precision of classifying images of lung cancer as either malignant or non-cancerous, the suggested ResNet50-CNN model uses ResNet50-CNN feature maps. The performance evaluation of lung cancer images has been significantly improved by the ResNet50-CNN technique.The suggested method's results show that clinical imaging can help with lung cancer detection and therapy. Compared to the prior techniques, which yielded accuracy analyses of 82.9%, 85.7%, and 91.3%, respectively, the accuracy analysis of the proposed ResNet50-CNN methodology significantly improved to 95.6%.

REFERENCE

1. Hatuwal BK (2020) Lung cancer detection using convolutional neural network on histopathological images. Int J Comput Trends Technol 68(10):21–24.
2. Sajja TK, Devarapalli RM, Kalluri HK (2019) Lung cancer detection based on CT scan images using deep transfer learning. Int Inf Eng Technol 36(4):339–344.
3. Saha A, Ganie SM, Pramanik PKD, Yadav RK, Mallik S, Zhao Z. VER-Net: a hybrid transfer learning model for lung cancer detection using CT scan images. BMC Med Imaging. 2024 May 24; 24(1):120. doi: 10.1186/s12880-024-01238-z. Erratum in: BMC Med Imaging. 2024 May 31; 24(1):128. doi: 10.1186/s12880-024-01315-3. PMID: 38789925; PMCID: PMC11127393.
4. Sivasankaran, P., Dhanaraj, K.R. (2024). Lung cancer detection uses image processing techniques through a deep learning algorithm. Revue intelligence Artificielle, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 297-302. https://doi.org/10.18280/ria.380131.
5. Abhir Bhandary, G. Ananth Prabhu, V. Rajinikanth, K. Palani Thanaraj, Suresh Chandra Satapathy, David E. Robbins, Charles Shasky, Yu Dong Zhang, João Manuel R.S. Tavares, N. Sri Madhava Raja, "Deep-learning framework to detect lung abnormality – A study with chest X-Ray and lung CT scan images," Pattern Recognition Letters, Volume 129, 2020, Pages 271-278, ISSN 0167-8655, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2019.11.013.
6. Hussain, L.; Alsolai, H.; Hassine, S.B.H.; Nour, M.K.; Duhayyim, M.A.; Hilal, A.M.; Salama, A.S.; Motwakel, A.; Yaseen, I.; Rizwanullah, M. Lung Cancer Prediction Using Robust Machine Learning and Image Enhancement Methods on Extracted Gray-Level Co-Occurrence Matrix Features. Appl. Sci. 2022, 12, 6517. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12136517.
7. Usharani C, Revathi B, Selvapandian A, Kezial Elizabeth SK. Lung Cancer Detection in CT Images Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Survey Review. EAI Endorsed Trans Perv Health Tech [Internet]. 2024 Mar. 1 [cited 2024 Jun. 8];10. Available from: https://publications.eai.eu/index.php/phat/article/view/5265.
8. M. Li et al., "Research on the Auxiliary Classification and Diagnosis of Lung Cancer Subtypes Based on Histopathological Images," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 53687-53707, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3071057.
9. Shubham Dodia, Annappa B., Padukudru A. Mahesh, "Recent advancements in deep learning-based lung cancer detection: A systematic review," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, Volume 116, 2022, 105490, ISSN 0952-1976, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2022.105490.
10. Mattakoyya Aharonu, R Lokesh Kumar, "Systematic Review of Deep Learning Techniques for Lung Cancer Detection," (IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2023.
11. Mutiullah Jamil, Mehwish Bari, "Lung Cancer Detection Using Digital Image Processing Techniques: A Review," April 2019Mehran University Research Journal of Engineering and Technology 38(2) DOI:10.22581/muet1982.1902.10.
12. Musthafa MM, Manimozhi I, Mahesh TR, Guluwadi S. Optimizing double-layered convolutional neural networks for efficient lung cancer classification through hyperparameter optimization and advanced image preprocessing techniques. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2024 May 27;24(1):142. doi: 10.1186/s12911-024-02553-9. PMID: 38802836; PMCID: PMC11131269.
13. M. Obayya, M. A. Arasi, N. Alruwais, R. Alsini, A. Mohamed and I. Yaseen, "Biomedical Image Analysis for Colon and Lung Cancer Detection Using Tuna Swarm Algorithm With Deep Learning Model," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 94705-94712, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3309711.

14. S. Garg and S. Garg, "Prediction of lung and colon cancer through analysis of histopathological images by utilizing pre-trained CNN models with visualization of class activation and saliency maps," in Proc. 3rd Artif.Intell. Cloud Comput. Conf., Dec. 2020, pp. 38–45.
15. H. Yu, Z. Zhou, and Q. Wang, "Deep Learning Assisted Predict of Lung Cancer on Computed Tomography Images Using the Adaptive Hierarchical Heuristic Mathematical Model," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 86400-86410, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2992645.
16. H. Alqahtani, E. Alabdulkreem, F. A. Alotaibi, M. M. Alnfiai, C. Singla and A. S. Salama, "Improved Water Strider Algorithm With Convolutional Autoencoder for Lung and Colon Cancer Detection on Histopathological Images," in IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 949-956, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3346894.
17. Naseer, S. Akram, T. Masood, M. Rashid and A. Jaffar, "Lung Cancer Classification Using Modified U-Net Based Lobe Segmentation and Nodule Detection," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 60279-60291, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3285821.
18. R. Mahum and A. S. Al-Salman, "Lung-RetinaNet: Lung Cancer Detection Using a RetinaNet With Multi-Scale Feature Fusion and Context Module," in IEEE Access, vol. 11, pp. 53850-53861, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3281259.
19. S. Oh, J. Im, S. -R. Kang, I. -J. Oh and M. -S. Kim, "PET-Based Deep-Learning Model for Predicting Prognosis of Patients With Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 138753-138761, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3115486.
20. W. Cao, R. Wu, G. Cao, and Z. He, "A Comprehensive Review of Computer-Aided Diagnosis of Pulmonary Nodules Based on Computed Tomography Scans," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 154007-154023, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3018666.
21. Y. Xie et al., "Knowledge-based Collaborative Deep Learning for Benign-Malignant Lung Nodule Classification on Chest CT," in IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 991-1004, April 2019, doi: 10.1109/TMI.2018.2876510.
22. Li, Y. Tao, and T. Cai, "Predicting Lung Cancers Using Epidemiological Data: A Generative-Discriminative Framework," in IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1067-1078, May 2021, doi: 10.1109/JAS.2021.1003910.
23. W. Shao et al., "Integrative Analysis of Pathological Images and Multi-Dimensional Genomic Data for Early-Stage Cancer Prognosis," in IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 99-110, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1109/TMI.2019.2920608.
24. Lakshmanaprabu S.K., Sachi Nandan Mohanty, Shankar K., Arunkumar N., Gustavo Ramirez, "Optimal deep learning model for classification of lung cancer on CT images," Future Generation Computer Systems, Volume 92, 2019, Pages 374-382, ISSN 0167-739X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2018.10.009.
25. H. Guo, U. Kruger, G. Wang, M. K. Kalra, and P. Yan, "Knowledge-Based Analysis for Mortality Prediction from CT Images," in IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 457-464, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2019.2946066.
26. Song et al., "Automatic Lung Nodule Segmentation and Intra-Nodular Heterogeneity Image Generation," in IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 2570-2581, June 2022, doi: 10.1109/JBHI.2021.3135647.
27. Nasrullah N, Sang J, Alam MS, Mateen M, Cai B, Hu H. Automated Lung Nodule Detection and Classification Using Deep Learning Combined with Multiple Strategies. Sensors (Basel). 2019 Aug 28; 19(17):3722. Doi: 10.3390/s19173722. PMID: 31466261; PMCID: PMC6749467.
28. Venkatesh, C., Chinna Babu, J., Kiran, A. et al. A hybrid model for lung cancer prediction using patch processing and deep learning on CT images. Multimed Tools Appl 83, 43931–43952 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-023-17349-8.
29. M. S. N. Raju and B. S. Rao, "Lung and colon cancer classification using hybrid principle component analysis network-extreme learning machine," *Concurrency Comput. Pract. Expert,* vol. 35, no. 1, Jan. 2023.
30. Raza, R., Zulfiqar, F., Khan, M. O., Arif, M., Alvi, A., Iftikhar, M. A., & Alam, T. (2023). Lung-EffNet: Lung cancer classification using EfficientNet from CT-scan images. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, *126*, 106902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.106902.
31. N. Kumar, M. Sharma, V. P. Singh, C. Madan, and S. Mehandia, "An empirical study of handcrafted and dense feature extraction techniques for lung and colon cancer classification from histopathological images," Biomed. Signal Process. Control, vol. 75, May 2022.

32. A. H. Chehade, N. Abdallah, J.-M. Marion, M. Oueidat and P. Chauvet, "Lung and colon cancer classification using medical imaging: A feature engineering approach," Phys. Eng. Sci. Med., vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 729-746, Sep. 2022.
33. S. Tummala, S. Kadry, A. Nadeem, H. T. Rauf, and N. Gul, "An explainable classification method based on complex scaling in histopathology images for lung and colon cancer," Diagnostics, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1594, Apr. 2023.
34. Salama, W.M., Shokry, A. & Aly, M.H. A generalized framework for lung Cancer classification based on deep generative models. Multimed Tools Appl 81, 32705–32722 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-022-13005-9.
35. Sim Y, Chung MJ, Kotter E, Yune S, Kim M, Do S, Han K, Kim H, Yang S, Lee DJ, Choi BW. Deep Convolutional Neural Network-based Software Improves Radiologist Detection of Malignant Lung Nodules on Chest Radiographs. Radiology. 2020 Jan;294(1):199-209. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019182465. Epub 2019 Nov 12. PMID: 31714194.
36. Said, Y.; Alsheikhy, A.A.; Shawly, T.; Lahza, H. Medical Images Segmentation for Lung Cancer Diagnosis Based on Deep Learning Architectures. *Diagnostics* **2023**, *13*, 546. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13030546
37. Xu Y, Hosny A, Zeleznik R, Parmar C, Coroller T, Franco I, Mak RH, Aerts HJWL. Deep Learning Predicts Lung Cancer Treatment Response from Serial Medical Imaging. Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Jun 1;25(11):3266-3275. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-2495. Epub 2019 Apr 22. PMID: 31010833; PMCID: PMC6548658.
38. Sethy PK, Geetha Devi A, Padhan B, Behera SK, Sreedhar S, Das K. Lung cancer histopathological image classification using wavelets and AlexNet. J Xray Sci Technol. 2023;31(1):211-221. doi: 10.3233/XST-221301. PMID: 36463485.
39. Jamshidi, Bitasadat, Nastaran Ghorbani, and Mohsen Rostamy-Malkhalifeh. "Optimizing Lung Cancer Detection in CT Imaging: A Wavelet Multi-Layer Perceptron (WMLP) Approach Enhanced by Dragonfly Algorithm (DA)." arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15355 (2024).
40. Rajasekar, V.; Vaishnnave, M.P.; Premkumar, S.; Sarveshwaran, V.; Rangaraaj, V. Lung Cancer Disease Prediction with CT scan and Histopathological Images Feature Analysis Using Deep Learning Techniques. Results Eng. 2023, 18, 101111.
41. O. Attallah, M. F. Aslan and K. Sabanci, "A framework for lung and colon cancer diagnosis via lightweight deep learning models and transformation methods", Diagnostics, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 2926, Nov. 2022.
42. V. R., N.; Chandra S. S., V. ExtRanFS: An Automated Lung Cancer Malignancy Detection System Using Extremely Randomized Feature Selector. Diagnostics 2023, 13, 2206. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13132206.
43. M. Mohsin, H. Li, and H. B. Abdalla, "Optimization Driven Adam-Cuckoo Search-Based Deep Belief Network Classifier for Data Classification," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 105542-105560, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2999865.
44. Gudur, A., Pati, P., Garg, P., & Sharma, N. (2023). Radiomics Feature Selection for Lung Cancer Subtyping and Prognosis Prediction: A Comparative Study of Ant Colony Optimization and Simulated Annealing. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(3s), 553–565. Retrieved from https://ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/3735.
45. Wang, Y.; Gao, X.; Ru, X.; Sun, P.; Wang, J. The Weight-Based Feature Selection (WBFS) Algorithm Classifies Lung Cancer Subtypes Using Proteomic Data. Entropy 2023, 25, 1003. https://doi.org/10.3390/e25071003
46. Dr. P. Nancy, Ravi Kishan Surapaneni, "Optimized Feature Selection and Image Processing Based Machine Learning Technique for Lung Cancer Detection," International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Research 10(4):888-894, December 202210(4):888-894, DOI:10.37391/ijeer.100423.
47. Morgado, J.; Pereira, T.; Silva, F.; Freitas, C.; Negrão, E.; de Lima, B.F.; da Silva, M.C.; Madureira, A.J.; Ramos, I.; Hespanhol, V.; et al. Machine Learning and Feature Selection Methods for EGFR Mutation Status Prediction in Lung Cancer. Appl. Sci. 2021, 11, 3273. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11073273.
48. Omar Abdelwahab, Nourelislam Awad, Menattallah Elserafy, Eman Badr, "A feature selection-based framework to identify biomarkers for cancer diagnosis: A focus on lung adenocarcinoma," Published: September 6, 2022, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269126.
49. Liangyu Li, Jing Yang, Lip Yee Por, Dan Dobrotă, Moutaz Aldrdery, Abdulfattah Omar, "Enhancing lung cancer detection through hybrid features and machine learning hyperparameters optimization techniques," Published: February 14, 2024DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26192.

50. Teresa Kwamboka Abuya, Wangari Catherine Waithera, Cheruiyot Wilson Kipruto, "Augmented Lung Cancer Prediction: Leveraging Convolutional Neural Networks and Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm," Vol.11 No.4, April 2024, DOI: 10.4236/oalib.1111172
51. Mahto, R., Ahmed, S.U., Rahman, R.u., et al. A novel and innovative cancer classification framework through a consecutive utilization of hybrid feature selection. BMC Bioinformatics 24, 479 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-023-05605-5.
52. Negar Maleki, Seyed Taghi Akhavan Niaki, "An intelligent algorithm for lung cancer diagnosis using extracted features from Computerized Tomography images," Healthcare Analytics, Volume 3, 2023, 100150, ISSN 2772-4425, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.health.2023.100150.
53. Michael Mary Adline Priya, S. Joseph Jawhar, "Advanced lung cancer classification approach adopting modified graph clustering and whale optimisation-based feature selection technique accompanied by a hybrid ensemble classifier," First published: 08 July 2020 https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-ipr.2019.0178.
54. Y. Chen, Y. Wang, F. Hu, L. Feng, T. Zhou, and C. Zheng, "LDNNET: Towards Robust Classification of Lung Nodule and Cancer Using Lung Dense Neural Network," in IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 50301-50320, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068896.
55. Gao J, Jiang Q, Zhou B, Chen D. Lung Nodule Detection using Convolutional Neural Networks with Transfer Learning on CT Images. Comb Chem High Throughput Screen. 2021;24(6):814-824. doi: 10.2174/1386207323666200714002459. PMID: 32664836.