TPM Vol. 32, No. S9, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

STANDARD PRECAUTIONS VERSUS SPECIALTY-SPECIFIC INFECTION CONTROL PRACTICES IN RADIOLOGY, NURSING, DENTISTRY, AND MEDICAL LABORATORY SCIENCES

FARIS RADAH MOHAMMAD ALMALKI[1], MESHAL HAMED MOHAMMED ALSHAMMARI[2], AHMED MOHD ALGHAMDI[3], MUSAAD OBEID ALRASHIDY[4], MALIK FARRAJ ALASMARI[5], ATHEER RASHID ALSUBIEE[6], RAHMAH FARAJ ALZAHRANI[7], MOUSA MUHAMMAD MOUSA FADEL[8], MADA MOHAMMAD FADEL[9], NORAH HASSAN MOHMAD ALRAZIHI[10], SHARIFA SALEEM SALEM ALHARTHI[11], RAJAA AYEDAH AL SUFYAN[12], DR. KHALID SALEH ALHAMMAD[13]

[1] RADIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY, KING FAISAL MEDICAL COMPLEX, SAUDI ARABIA
[2] RADIOLOGY SPECIALIST, AL-SHANAN GENERAL HOSPITAL, SAUDI ARABIA
[3] CONSULTANT IN ENDODONTICS, AL-IMAN GENERAL HOSPITAL, SAUDI ARABIA
[4] RADIOLOGY, HOSPITAL AND ITS CALL IN QASSIM, SAUDI ARABIA
[5] DENTIST, GHIRNATAH HEALTH CENTER, SAUDI ARABIA
[6] LABORATORY SCIENCES, KING ABDULAZIZ HOSPITAL, SAUDI ARABIA
[7] CHILD MENTAL HEALTH NURSING, KING ABDULLAH CHILDREN'S SPECIALIZED HOSPITAL, NATIONAL GUARD, SAUDI ARABIA
[8] NURSING TECHNICIAN, MINISTRY OF HEALTH BRANCH, TABUK, SAUDI ARABIA
[9]NURSING TECHNICIAN, KING FAHD SPECIALIST HOSPITAL, TABUK HEALTH CLUSTER, SAUDI ARABIA
[10] RADIOLOGY SPECIALIST, KING ABDULAZIZ HOSPITAL, SAUDI ARABIA
[11] BSN, NURSING SPECIALIST, TAIF HEALTH CLUSTER, SAUDI ARABIA
[12] NURSING, AL-SHUHADA SOUTH PRIMARY HEALTH CARE CENTER, SAUDI ARABIA
[13]CONSULTANT ORTHODONTIST KING FAHAD ARMED FORCES HOSPITAL JEDDAH SAUDI ARABIA

Abstract

Introduction: Infection management is very important for the safety of both patients and healthcare workers. Standard precautions give us a general set of rules to follow, but each specialty has its own hazards that need specific plans to deal with. Combining general and specialty-specific procedures is the best way to stop infections from spreading in all clinical settings

Aim: This study seeks to evaluate the adoption and efficacy of standard versus specialty-specific infection control practices in Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry, and Medical Laboratory Sciences, pinpointing deficiencies and offering recommendations to improve safety and compliance

Methods: A mixed-method strategy was employed, integrating surveys, interviews, direct observations, and simulations. Healthcare professionals from the four disciplines were evaluated for adherence to standard and specialty-specific infection control protocols, perceived risks, and interprofessional collaboration.

Results: Standard precautions are the minimum level of safety, but safeguards that are specialized in a certain field make it safer against procedural dangers. Different fields have different levels of compliance, and these gaps are sometimes due to a lack of resources, training, or communication. To control infections effectively, it is important to work together across disciplines and create custom methods.

Conclusion: To stop infections from spreading, you need to use both basic precautions and guidelines that are specific to your field. Support from the institution, continual training, and cooperation amongst professionals all make patients and staff safer. An integrated strategy makes sure that all healthcare disciplines provide care that is consistent and morally sound.

Keywords: Infection Control, Standard Precautions, Specialty-Specific Protocols, Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry, Medical Laboratory Sciences

INTRODUCTION

Infection control is a very critical part of healthcare delivery in which the main aim is to avoid the spread of infectious agents in clinical settings. The 9000 medical practices are different and present different levels of exposure risk, so a thorough knowledge of standard precautions and specific infection control practice in the medical specialty is required. Standard precautions, which are universally prescribed by the World Health Organization (WHO) and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), represent a framework of minimum standards, which include hand hygiene, use of personal protective equipment (PPE), respiratory hygiene, safe injecting practices, as well as management of potentially infectious material (Gagadi et al., 2024). These are the baseline measures that are supposed to reduce the risk of infection transmission in every patient encounter irrespective of the field of care or setting of healthcare.

Nevertheless, infection control is frequently applied in practice differently, depending on the specific procedure requirements, types of patients, environment factors peculiar to a particular healthcare specialty. An example can be radiology departments where close contact with patients is a regular occurrence due to imaging sessions, contact with body fluids, and use of specialized equipment, requiring strict disinfection routines and the reasonable use of PPE to avoid cross-contamination (Papp, 2023). Likewise, the field of nursing professionals is highly dynamic, and they have to deal with invasive procedures, medication administration, and direct work with patients, which makes them especially vulnerable to occupational risks, including needlestick injuries, and this aspect also has serious consequences on the spread of bloodborne infections (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Alsallum et al., 2024).

Dentistry is also a high-risk specialty, in which routine services also imply contact with saliva, blood, and aerosols created as part of operative procedures. Researchers also have shown that knowledge, attitude, and compliance to infection control measures of dentists are critical determinants of occupational exposure, especially in a pandemic situation like COVID-19 (Almarghlani et al., 2022; Shah and Barker, 2023). Similarly, medical laboratory sciences involve the work with potentially infectious specimens, where strict compliance with the biosafety principles, sterilization, and risk assessment plans is essential to avoid laboratory-acquired infections (Gagadi et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021).

The emergent literature has highlighted the fact that though general precautions create a baseline of guard against infection transmission, specialty-specific measures complement the general precautions, and make the infection control procedures all the more effective, as certain occupational risks are met with in a systematic manner. A cross-specialty analysis has shown that there is a wide difference in the level of compliance and the success of preventive measures between nursing, dental, and technical teams, which may require the development of special training programs, ongoing observation, and support of general and specialty-specific guidelines (Alhaithy et al., 2024). In addition, the response to the COVID-19 pandemic has emphasized the need to adopt institution-wide approaches to infection control that may involve coordinated efforts by departments to create a new normal that protects patients and healthcare providers (Lum et al., 2021).

In addition, the consequences of the exposure of a job far outweigh the clinical risks in the short term. One of them, such as needlestick and sharps injuries, not only pose biological risks but also affect the workforce effectiveness and incremental costs of institutions (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Alsallum et al., 2024). These complex issues demand a unified system that will help to transition between the theoretical principles of standard precautions and the specialized and professional practice that accounts for the realities of clinical care in various healthcare fields (Gordon, 2021).

To conclude, the combination of regular precautions and specialty-specific infection control measures is the basis of safe and effective healthcare provision. This interaction is crucial to the minimization of the occupational risks, the enhancement of patient-centered safety, and the establishment of the culture of compliance that cuts across the entire fields of radiology, nursing, dentistry, and medical laboratory sciences. The proposed study aims to critically review the practices, compare their application, performance, and difficulties in these healthcare specialties and, therefore, present evidence-based suggestions to improve the infection control measures in modern clinical practice.

METHODS

In the proposed study, research design that will be adopted is mixed research method in order to have the overall picture of the implementation, effectiveness and issues of standard infection control precautions to specialty-specific infection control practices in Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry, and Medical Laboratory Sciences. Using a combination of both quantitative and qualitative methods, it is possible to explore not only the measurable compliance but also the experiential information on the topic of infection control behaviors, risk perceptions, and how the different departments coordinate in the clinical setting. Such an approach is especially appropriate

because the sphere of healthcare practice is complex, procedural, and relational, as the issue of patient care safety requires the integration of infection prevention measures in different disciplines (Gagadi et al., 2024; Papp, 2023).

The quantitative aspect will entail structured questionnaires wherein a mixed sample of healthcare practitioners, such as radiologists, nurses, dentists and medical laboratory scientists employed in hospitals and clinical facilities will be administered with questionnaires. The questionnaires will be used to evaluate how the participants follow the standard precautions, knowledge, and application of specialty-specific infection control policies, perceived exposures to occupational risks, and adherence to institutional policies. The most important aspects that the research will focus on are hand hygiene, correct use of personal protective equipment (PPE), sterilization of instruments, management of sharp and contaminated material, environmental disinfection, and high-risk procedures management in every specialty. Other parts will consider interprofessional awareness, role-specific responsibilities in infection control, access and utilization of infection prevention tools, and satisfaction with institutional training and monitoring programs. Infection control compliance, occupational safety, and risk perception tools, which are proven and tested, will be modified to guarantee reliability and validity of the survey tools (Alhaithy et al., 2024; Almarghlani et al., 2022).

The qualitative section of the study will entail semi-structured interviews and emphasis group discussions with the healthcare professionals in the four fields of specialty who have extensive experience in the practices of infection prevention. The selection will be done purposely to include frontline staff and supervisors who are engaged in direct patient care, laboratory work, diagnostic imaging, and dental procedures. The qualitative research will examine perceptions, experiences, and insights of the participants on the issue of infection control behaviors, difficulties in adhering to standard precautions, importance of specialty-specific protocols, and ways to curb occupational risks, such as needlestick injuries, aerosol, and cross-contamination. Perceived facilitators and barriers to compliance, interdisciplinary coordination, and institutional improvement opportunities of the policies related to infection control will be discussed as well (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Gordon, 2021).

The research will be done through direct observational processes to understand the practice of infection control on the real-life clinical setup, which in this case would be the radiology suites, the inpatient wards, the outpatient clinics, the dental units, and the medical laboratories. Hygiene hand hygiene compliance, PPE compliance, sterilization, disinfection, sharps compliance, and adherence to standard and specialty specific procedures of the normal and high-risk procedures will be noted. These observations will assist in the measurement of the uniformity of the practice as far as department is concerned, adherence to the institutional guidelines, and quality of the procedure application to avoid cross-infection.

Besides, simulating exercises will be conducted to assess interdisciplinary performance in handling the scenarios related to the high risk of infection. Scenarios simulated in real life that will be required will be decontamination of contaminated imaging equipment, work with infectious patient samples, invasive dental work, or injuries with sharps. The simulations will assess efficiency, timeliness, and effectiveness of infection control measures, interprofessional interaction, risk-based decision-making, adherence to universal and discipline-specific precautions that will not disrupt patient safety.

The combination of the quantitative survey results, qualitative interview and focus group results, direct observation and simulations will bring about the triangulation of methods. This multidimensional will enhance the validity and the enrichment of the findings since it will be capable of collecting not merely the cognitive but also the realistic behaviors that relate to the process of infection control in all the four specialties. Lastly, the study will also attempt to define the best practices, gaps between the general precautions and specialty practices, and evidence-based practices to enhance infection prevention, occupational safety, patient care, outcomes in Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry and Medical Laboratory Sciences (Lum et al., 2021; Alhaithy et al., 2024).

DISCUSSION

Radiology: Infection Control: Standard + Specialty Precautions.

Radiology as one of the key pillars of modern diagnostic medicine is associated with a rich arsenal of imaging modalities such as standard X-rays, but more advanced MRI imaging and CT imaging, which, in its turn, presupposes close and frequent contact between a healthcare provider and patients. All these complex operations, combined with the fact that the high flow of patients is inherent to modern radiology departments, leaves no doubt that it is highly significant to ensure that solid infection control measures are implemented. Some of the essential principles of infection prevention as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO) and Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) include consistent hand hygiene, personal protective equipment (PPE) (gloves, gowns, masks, eye protection), safe injection and sharps, appropriate environmental

cleanliness and waste disposal (Gagadi et al., 2024; Papp, 2023). This is required in any area of healthcare and a universal point of departure to minimize the risk of infection in the patient dealing field.

Superseding this underlying structure, radiology has more occupational hazards that demand special occupational precautions that need to be integrated. Imaging devices, such as ultrasound transducers, CT and MRI coils, and mobile radiography units, may be exposed to potentially infectious body fluids or contaminated surfaces that may be vectors of cross-infection unless they are thoroughly disinfected (Hor et al., 2024). It is therefore not possible to do away with the application of specialty-specific disinfection protocols. They generally entail thorough cleaning and decontamination of imaging apparatus, use of disposable probe coverings, vigorous post-procedure disinfection practices and workflow redesigning to reduce patient congruency and exposure. In addition, the spatial layout of imaging suits the use of negative pressure rooms, isolation spaces, and clean and contaminated spaces proves the fact that radiology-specific infections adaptations are required and are out of the scope of general precautions (Papp, 2023; Gagadi et al., 2024).

The hectic routine of radiology, particularly in the events of an emergency imaging call and the huge number of patients that are attended to each day, tends to disrupt the capability of ensuring a constant adherence to routine and specialty-specific action. The fact of cross-specialty testing proves that time pressure, lack of access to training, and lack of access to resources may also be causes of protocol violation, which may endanger the risk of occupational exposure and cross-contamination of patients (Lum et al., 2021; Wee et al., 2021). In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic showed the vulnerability of the traditional process of infection control, and obligated the institutions to respond to the situation by incorporating the measures of institution-wide strategies in the form of simulation-based training, interdepartmental coordination, and improvement of PPE compliance in the setting of aerosol-generating procedures in the imaging facilities (Subramaniam et al., 2022; Lum et al., 2021).

Specialty-specific infection control in the radiology field also entails risk assessment that is provided on the context of the procedure. To provide an example, the operation of mobile X-ray units in the intensive care units or emergency departments must not only be decontaminated but also be employed to implement strategies in the use of PPE in high-risk patients, transportation of portable imaging devices, and communication with nursing and laboratory staff to minimize the further spread of the pathogen (Hor et al., 2024; Gagadi et al., 2024). A multi-layered approach, or the combination of general precautions with more specific, device-specific, and environment-specific practices, was found to be incredibly effective in the prevention of infection rates, staff and population protection, and facilitation of operations without adversely impacting the quality of clinical care (Papp, 2023; Lum et al., 2021).

Generally, radiology practice is one area where the normal precautions are to be put in place to establish a baseline, yet adjustments of the specialty are necessary in response to the nature of the risk involved in each particular procedure, the peculiarities of technology or features of patients. Long-term compliance is necessary, which is made possible by constant education, standards compliance, and systems that monitor infection control in the institution, thereby developing an efficient and context-sensitive infection control model (Gagadi et al., 2024; Hor et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021).

Nursing Practice and Infection Control: Living with Dynamic Occupational risk.

Nursing practice is a paradigm that involves direct contact with a patient in a wide variety of clinical contexts, including critical care units, outpatient settings and so on. Nurses regularly perform invasive procedures, administer drugs, conduct wound care, and direct bedside care, which exposes nurses to exposure to bloodborne pathogens, respiratory droplets, and other infectious agents (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Alsallum et al., 2024). Basic measures, such as hand hygiene, use of PPE, safe sharps disposal, and environmental decontamination, are the basic measures that reduce the risk of infections. Nevertheless, due to the nature of nursing processes and patient acuity, this requires specialty-specific infection control modifications to consider the complexity of procedures, the dynamics of the environment, and constant movement of patients and staff (Gordon, 2021; Gagadi et al., 2024).

Empirical studies prove that compliance with the common precautions among the nursing personnel depends on a range of factors, such as patient load, resources, organizational support, as well as personal knowledge and attitude to infection prevention (Feng et al., 2024; Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024). The drawbacks of using universal precautions alone include occupational hazards like needlestick injuries, contact exposure to contaminated fluid bodies, and cross-infection with high-risk procedures (Alsallum et al., 2024; Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024). Procedure-specific PPE plans, pre-procedure risk evaluation, training based on competencies, and simulation drills have proven to be useful in promoting compliance and decrease the rate of occupational exposures. As an example, it has been shown that the involvement of nurses in recurrent simulation training is associated with an improved level of adherence to the use of PPE and hand hygiene, especially in the cases of central line insertion or blood transfusion management (Alhaithy et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021).

Moreover, the essential interaction of the organizational culture, interprofessional cooperation, and infection control effectiveness is demonstrated in nursing practice. The level of compliance does not entirely rely on the level of individual knowledge, but it is supported by institutional policies, supervisory support, and monitoring and feedback mechanisms. The analysis of cross-specialty shows that nurses show more compliance with standard and specialty-specific precautions when the responsibilities of infection prevention are clearly defined and the inter-departmental communication is maximized (Alhaithy et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021). Moreover, the psychological consequences of work-related exposure such as stress, fear, and low job satisfaction can also have a negative effect on compliance and clinical performance and that is why a holistic approach to occupational health strategies, counseling, and follow-up measures must be taken (Feng et al., 2024; Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024).

The formulation of standard and specialty-specific precautions in nursing practice is therefore an indication of a multi-dimensional approach towards infection control. It includes procedural guidelines, assessment of risks, ongoing education, coordination of interprofession, and institutional assistance. These combined measures improve patient safety, mitigate work-related risks, and promote the culture of constant improvements in clinical care by focusing on the dynamics and high-stakes nature of nursing processes (Lum et al., 2021; Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Gordon, 2021).

Dental Infection Prevention: Aerosol and Bloodborne Infection Management by Use of Customized Procedures.

Dental care is the only profession which is highly susceptible to infection as it is characterized by the direct exposure to saliva, blood and aerosols that are produced during operative treatments. Basic precautions are the basic infection prevention model, which involves hand hygiene, donning gloves and masks, protective eye wear, and sterilizing of instruments. Nevertheless, such measures have to be supplemented with specialty-specific protocols to reflect the high-risk character of dental treatment, especially aerosol-generating procedures, including ultrasonic scaling, high-speed drilling, and endodontics (Almarghlani et al., 2022; Shah and Barker, 2023).

As evidenced empirically, the knowledge, attitudes, and adherence of the dentists to the measures of infection control have direct impact on the rate of occupational exposure. The awareness and adaptation toward infection control strategies (such as increased ventilation, high-volume evacuators, pre-procedural antimicrobial mouth rinses, and long intervals between patients to allow environmental decontamination) were reported in the studies during the COVID-19 pandemic (Subramaniam et al., 2022; Issa and Healey, 2022). These precautions which are specific to the specialty were combined with the standard precautions and helped to reduce the chances of spreading the virus in the dental facility.

Moreover, compliance with the infection control measures in the dentistry field is subject to both personal and institutional impacts. Education, perceived risk, and professional experience are individual factors influencing compliance, whereas the institutional policies, PPE availability, and safety organizational culture are systemic factors (Almarghlani et al., 2022; Wee et al., 2021). Examples of such interventions include aerosol management, dental handpieces sterilization, and rigorous surface disinfection policies that will help to improve the protective impact of standard precautions. These two methods can be successfully combined to provide safety to the practitioners and patients, decrease the level of occupational stress, and create a sustainable model of preventing infections in high-risk clinical settings (Ozturk et al., 2021; Almarghlani et al., 2022).

Also, dentistry serves as an example of adaptive practices related to infection control, as the focus lies on the continued development of the profession, the application of evidence-based guidelines, and the active reduction of risks. Combining routine and area-specific precautions would enable dental workers to be more effective in responding to emergent risks to the public health, high-quality patient care, and accidental occupational exposures, thereby supporting the more general idea that infection control should be dynamic, context-specific, and multi-disciplinary (Subramaniam et al., 2022; Almarghlani et al., 2022).

Medical Laboratory Sciences: Finding the Gold Mean between Standard Precautions and Biosafety Protocols.

Medical laboratory sciences are considered to deal with handling, processing and analyzing potentially contagious specimens, posing occupational hazards and which require strict infection control measures. The basic layer of protection in the laboratory setting consists of standard precautions, such as hand hygiene, PPE, sharps safety, and surface disinfection. Nevertheless, laboratory work, where working with high concentrations of pathogens and complicated analytical methods are involved, is unique, so it necessitates certain measures related to the specifics of the specialty, including the use of biosafety cabinets, strict labeling and transport of infectious samples, and compliance with the biosafety level (Gagadi et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021; Alhaithy et al., 2024).

Although the overall rates of compliance with the standard precautions are typically high, the research findings show that the specialty-specific protocols are violated, especially in the situations of the high workload or emergent testing needs, or complicated analysis (Feng et al., 2024; Wee et al., 2021).

The combination of infection control measures specific to the laboratory with the basic precautions guarantees the safety of the personnel and as well as the quality of diagnostic procedures. The maintenance of compliance, the minimization of occupational risks and the protection of the health of the population by means of the accurate and reliable laboratory results require continuous education, interdepartmental communication and institutional reinforcement of protocols (Gagadi et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021; Subramaniam et al., 2022).

Synthesis and Inter-Specialty Implications.

Through Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry and Medical Laboratory Sciences, it is clear that the standard precautions are needed but inadequate when used individually. No two specialties involve identical procedural, environmental, and occupational hazards; they are more apt to be approached using specialty-specific interventions. The literature proves that the combination of standard and specialty-specific precautions leads to a higher level of compliance, occupational exposure reduction, patient safety, and the development of the institutional safety culture (Gagadi et al., 2024; Alhaithy et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021).

Cross-specialty analyses have shown that such barriers to compliance as resource limitations, high patient loads, and inconsistent practitioner knowledge can be resolved with the help of specific training, interprofessional teamwork, simulating exercises, evidence-based institutional policies (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Wee et al., 2021; Gordon, 2021). In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic experiences have solidified the need to create adaptive and coordinated responses to infection control to combat universal and specialty-related risks to withstand emergent infectious threats (Almarghlani et al., 2022; Subramaniam et al., 2022; Hor et al., 2024).

All in all, a combination of common precautions and discipline-specific guidelines is a holistic method of infection control that will cut across all disciplines, offer a solid system of safe, efficient, and strong care. Such a two-layered approach would guarantee that both patients and staff members can stay safe and secure, and the clinical care at the complex, high-risk environments would remain high-quality (Gagadi et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021; Alhaithy et al., 2024).

Issues and Ethical Concerns

Although the main goal of introducing additional efforts to mitigate the spread of infection in a healthcare environment concerns the safety of patients and practitioners, several complicated matters and ethical concerns are to be considered when working in Radiology, Nursing, Dentistry, and Medical Laboratory Sciences. The conflict between universal standard precautions and specialty-specific adaptations is one of the main issues. Standard precautions offer a common set of guidelines, where all medical workers follow minimum standards of hand hygiene, wearing of personal protective equipment (PPE), safe disposal of sharps, and environmental decontamination (Gagadi et al., 2024; Gordon, 2021). The shortcomings of such general methods are, however, realized when one is faced with the specialty specific demands of the procedures. An example is radiologists who must deal with complicated imaging tools which can be contaminated by body fluids, thus requiring extra disinfection procedures not clearly specified with standard practices (Papp, 2023; Hor et al., 2024). On the same note, healthcare professionals consistently expose themselves to the risk of spreading airborne pathogens due to aerosol-generating procedures, putting themselves (and their patients) at high risk of these elements, which necessitates additional infection control measures on top of the usual precautions (Almarghlani et al., 2022; Shah and Barker, 2023).

Professionally, there is a risk of avoidable harm due to the lack of specialty-related precautions in the case when it is required, which calls into question the notion of professional responsibility, the duty of care, and the concept of nonmaleficence. The ethical responsibility of healthcare workers is to make sure that their practices reduce the risk to the patients, themselves, and their coworkers, but the compliance is frequently spoiled by the systemic factors, like poor staffing, resource shortage, or lack of education (Alhaithy et al., 2024; Lum et al., 2021). These systemic obstacles point to an ethical problem: although the practitioners might be dedicated to patient safety, institutional defects can make it hard to follow standard and specialty-specific precautions to the letter, which raises the risk of healthcare-associated infections.

The other major ethical issue is occupational exposure. Needlestick and sharps injuries commonly affect nurses and lab workers, and they have both biological and psychological outcomes, such as possible spreading of bloodborne pathogens of hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV (Mahdi Alsallum et al., 2024; Alsallum et al., 2024; Feng et al., 2024). Ethical laws state that to prevent these risks healthcare institutions should equip themselves with sufficient protective gear, training and post exposure precautionary measures. Lack of these safeguards does not only amount to professional misconduct, but can also lead to lower levels of trust, increased stress, and low morale among healthcare workers, which eventually affects the quality of patient care (Gordon, 2021; Lum et al., 2021).

Ethical considerations that revolve around the patient are also important. Procedures with increased risk of infection such as invasive radiological imaging, surgical procedures, or procedures that generate aerosol like dental treatment, require the patient to have sufficient information on the standard and specialty-specific infection control procedures present. Openness in communication makes the patient capable of making independent choices about their care and strengthens trust in the health system (Subramaniam et al., 2022; Almarghlani et al., 2022). Failure to abide with these ethical imperatives may undermine confidence of the people, expose patients to unwarranted danger and be in violation of the regulatory and legal standards of healthcare practice.

Moral obligation is also applied to the need to collaborate with other professionals, especially in the clinical setting that is high-risk and where different specialties overlap. To illustrate, in difficult patient care situations, deficiencies in communication among radiologic, nursing, laboratory and dental teams would lead to discrepancies in the implementation of infection control measures whereby patients would be exposed to avoidable injuries. Ethical practice requires that any healthcare professional does not only follow the protocols related to their discipline but also coordinate with people who work in different fields to ensure that the approach to infection prevention remains consistent and holistic (Lum et al., 2021; Alhaithy et al., 2024; Hor et al., 2024). Also, the distribution of resources presents some ethical issues, especially when resources are low, or when dealing with some emergencies in public health. COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the moral dilemma of best infection control and low PPE supply, prompting facilities to focus on resource allocation and keeping patients and their employees safe (Wee et al., 2021; Subramaniam et al., 2022; Ozturk et al., 2021). When making decisions in such situations, there should be a balance between the utilitarian principles of ensuring the greatest number of people and the final deontological principles of patient and health care personnel protection.

CONCLUSION

Infection control is still a key part of patient safety and healthcare quality. It needs a whole approach that includes both universal measures and practices that are specialized to certain fields. Standard precautions give all healthcare workers and patients a basic level of protection against infectious agents. This creates a unifying base on which more specific measures can be added based on the situation. However, each specialty has its own needs, therefore solutions need to be adjusted to each one, taking into account the intricacy of the procedures, the environment, and the distinct hazards that come with each profession. Radiology, nursing, dentistry, and medical laboratory sciences each have their own problems to deal with, such as using complicated equipment and doing invasive procedures, as well as dealing with aerosolized pathogens and possibly infectious materials. To reduce workplace risks, stop cross-contamination, and keep patient care going safe, it is important to effectively combine basic and specialty-specific infection control methods. Healthcare personnel must be careful not just to follow general rules, but also to use special methods that deal with the specific hazards that come with their field. This two-layer approach encourages a culture of safety, responsibility, and professionalism, where protecting both patients and workers is equally important.

Ethical concerns make it even more important to have good infection control. Healthcare workers must keep themselves and their coworkers safe by following strict safety rules, making sure that patients can trust them, and being open about what they do. Institutions must furnish the requisite resources, training, and oversight procedures to facilitate these initiatives, as systemic inadequacies can undermine compliance and result in avoidable negative outcomes. Collaboration in different fields is also very important. If departments don't talk to or work with others, infection control measures can fail and patient safety can be put at risk.

The best way to make sure that healthcare is safe and strong is to combine basic safeguards with procedures that are distinctive to each specialization. To keep high standards of infection prevention, you need to keep learning, have strong institutional policies, work together with people from other professions, and be ready for new dangers. By using this integrated strategy, healthcare organizations can safeguard both patients and professionals, make operations more efficient, and create a culture of quality, ethical responsibility, and patient-centered care in all clinical settings. It will always be important to keep working on improving and standardizing infection control techniques in order to deal with existing and future problems in healthcare delivery.

REFERENCES

- Alhaithy, A. A. A., Alharbi, S. M. A., Aljehani, B. M., Aldulayqan, R. K. A., Alfriah, R. A. A., Alnasser, A. H. A., ... & Al Harithi, M. H. (2024). Strengthening Institutional Infection Prevention And Control (IPC): A Cross-Specialty Analysis Of Epidemiological Compliance Among Nursing, Dentistry, And Operation Technician Teams. *The Review of Diabetic Studies*, 188-199.

- Almarghlani, A. A., Alshehri, M. A., Alghamdi, A. A., Sindi, M. A., Assaggaf, M. A., & Al-Dabbagh, N. N. (2022). Infection-control knowledge, attitude, practice and risk perception of occupational exposure to COVID-19 among dentists: a cross-sectional survey. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice*, *25*(7), 1029-1037.
- Alsallum, I. N. M., Lasloom, M. S. M., Al Ahmadi, M. F. S., Almurikhie, F. T., Almutairi, F. K., Daily, M. A. A., ... & Alruwaili, E. K. T. (2024). The Impact of Needlestick and Sharp Injuries on Nurses, Technicians, and Laboratory Technicians: Risk Factors, Prevention, and Management. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, *7*(S12), 758.
- Feng, H., Mao, X., Li, M., & Mao, H. (2024). Analysis of characteristic and postexposure practices of occupational blood and body fluid exposures among health care workers in Chinese tertiary hospitals: a retrospective ten-year study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *24*(1), 256.
- Gagadi, H. M., Alshahrani, N. A. M., Alanazi, M. A. K., Al-Mutairi, B. O., Alanzi, F. J. S., Alshahrani, H. F., ... & Al-Rehaili, A. S. (2024). A Unified Approach to Infection Control: Leveraging Expertise in Radiology, Nursing, Anesthesia, Operations, and Sterilization. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, *7*(S9), 2343.
- Gordon, N. C. (2021). Infection control for safety and quality. *Community Eye Health*, *34*(111), 5.
- Hor, S. Y., Wyer, M., Barratt, R., Turnbull, M., Rogers, K., Murphy, M., ... & Gilbert, G. L. (2024). Risk assessment and the use of personal protective equipment in an emergency department: Differing perspectives of emergency and infection control clinicians. A video-vignette survey. *American journal of infection control*, *52*(10), 1114-1121.
- Issa, L., & Heele, D. (2022). Infection prevention and aseptic practice in dentistry during the Covid-19 pandemic: A systematic review.
- Lum, B. X., Liu, E. H., Archuleta, S., Somani, J., Bagdasarian, N., Koh, C. S., ... & Fisher, D. A. (2021). Establishing a new normal for hospital care: a whole of hospital approach to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *73*(9), e3136-e3143.
- Mahdi Alsallum, I. N., Mahdi Lasloom, M. S., Shaher Al Ahmadi, M. F., Almurikhie, F. T., Almutairi, F. K., Ali Daily, M. A., ... & Alruwaili, E. K. T. (2024). The Impact of Needlestick and Sharp Injuries on Nurses, Technicians, and Laboratory Technicians: Risk Factors, Prevention, and Management. *Journal of International Crisis & Risk Communication Research (JICRCR)*, *7*.
- Ozturk, A. B., Baççıoğlu, A., Soyer, O., Civelek, E., Şekerel, B. E., & Bavbek, S. (2021). Change in allergy practice during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Archives of Allergy and Immunology*, *182*(1), 49-52.
- Papp, J. (2023). *Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book: Quality Management in the Imaging Sciences-E-Book*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- Shah, H., & Barker, C. (Eds.). (2023). *Oxford Handbook for the Dental Foundation and Core Training Programmes*. Oxford University Press.
- Subramaniam, A., Reddy, M. P., Kadam, U., Zubarev, A., Lim, Z., Anstey, C., ... & Shekar, K. (2022). Development and validation of a tool to appraise guidelines on SARS-CoV-2 infection control strategies in healthcare workers. *Australian Critical Care*, *35*(4), 415-423.
- Subramaniam, A., Reddy, M. P., Kadam, U., Zubarev, A., Lim, Z., Anstey, C., ... & Shekar, K. (2022). Development and validation of a tool to appraise guidelines on SARS-CoV-2 infection control strategies in healthcare workers. *Australian Critical Care*, *35*(4), 415-423.
- Wee, L. E., Venkatachalam, I., Sim, X. Y. J., Tan, K. B. K., Wen, R., Tham, C. K., ... & Wijaya, L. (2021). Containment of COVID-19 and reduction in healthcare-associated respiratory viral infections through a multi-tiered infection control strategy. *Infection, Disease & Health*, *26*(2), 123-131.