

# AUTHENTIC NEURODIVERGENT REPRESENTATION IN CONTEMPORARY LITERATURE BY NEURODIVERGENT AUTHORS AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR LITERARY INCLUSIVITY

DR. PRIYANKA SINGLA

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AND CHAIRPERSON, DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH AND FOREIGN LANGUAGES, GURU JAMBHESHWAR UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HISAR, HARYANA. ORCID ID: 0000- 0002- 3629- 9329.

DR. PALLAVI

ASSISTANT PROF. OF ENGLISH, GURU JAMBHESHWAR UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HISAR, HARYANA, ORCID ID: 0009- 0005- 7029- 8089

DR. SATISH GROVER

ASSOCIATE PROF. AND HEAD, DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH, DAV COLLEGE, BATHINDA, PUNJAB.

---

## Abstract

With the increase in the number of neurodivergent authors who identify as autistic, ADHD, dyslexic, dyspraxic, Tourette-affected, or otherwise neurologically atypical, there has been a subtle change in the trend setting in the literature of present times. There is no doubt in the fact that the narratives of neurodivergence as told by the neurodivergent authors themselves have much more realism and have much more epistemic value than those written by other authors. The present article is a sincere attempt to bring forth the point as to why this very claim of authorship bears so much importance in terms of representation, to study what real neurodivergent narration looks in real, what effects the same have on the existing moral practices, and what implications take place in the field of education, and cultural and moral norms as a result of such representations. Drawing on textual analysis of representative contemporary works, theoretical perspectives from disability studies and narratology, and an evaluative framework for authenticity, the paper argues that supporting neurodivergent authorship is essential to literary inclusivity—both as an ethical claim and as a means of expanding literary form and readership. The manuscript concludes with policy and praxis recommendations for educators, critics, and cultural funders.

**Keywords:** Neurodiversity, Neurodivergent authors, Representation, Disability Studies, Literary Inclusivity.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Representation in literature matters for identity, understanding, and cultural belonging. Over recent decades social movements and academic attention have foregrounded the politics of representation for various marginalized groups—women, racial and ethnic minorities, sexual minorities, and people with disabilities. Neurodivergence—an umbrella term that encompasses a range of neurologically atypical conditions including autism spectrum conditions, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), dyslexia, dyspraxia, and related cognitive variations—has become a focal point of both activism and scholarship. What has been a vocal point of debate is not only the representation of the neurodivergent characters in literature, but also the question of the person who is narrating their tales and stories and how the narratives by such authors molds and give proper shape to the fictional realism, moral choices and the repercussions of such representations on the whole society and community. The present paper is an attempt to focus its acumen on this neurodivergence occurrence and its representation in literature written by the neurodivergent authors. It also tries to understand the result of such concept in order to ensure more inclusion in the field of literature. By concentrating on the genuine and true representation by the neurodivergent authors through their own experiences in public and private domains, such narratives ensure broader reach, through authentic understanding, thereby ensuring wider interaction. While talking about the realistic portrayal of such people in literature, both moral and psychological factors need to be considered. Morally, such false, fake or misinformed narration can give rise to social sigma, which may in turn lead to everlasting anguish and trauma. Psychologically, such misdemeanors have even more far-reaching impact as literature is a source where narration is based on human knowledge and experiences. Hence, the manner in which a neurodivergent author can elucidate upon neurodivergent experiences, is something which can offer epistemic

access to experiences otherwise occluded. The current paper focuses on the exploration of the genuine neurodivergent characteristics as represented in the literature of our times by neurodivergent authors. It tries to understand as to how such representation is included in the plot, art of characterization, detailed analysis, and from the point of view of the overall message and theme. The paper also tries to focus on the far-reaching impacts and repercussions for such inclusion in literature by making it an intersectional analysis which also focuses on education, criticism, and cultural policy. The article further asserts that when it comes to giving due to the neurodivergent narration by the neurodivergent authors, what becomes apparent is that such representation ensures fairness in the creation, sharing and validation of knowledge as it ensures that the points of view of the marginalized sections of the people are also heard and taken into consideration. The production of such literary creations ensures that new vistas are now open for the disabled and subaltern categories within the frame of the global literary culture.

## 2. CONCEPTS AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

### 2.1 Neurodiversity and neurodivergence

“Neurodiversity” is a paradigm that treats cognitive variability as part of human diversity rather than purely as pathology. The term reframes conditions conventionally medicalized (autism, ADHD, dyslexia, etc.) as alternative cognitive styles with attendant strengths, challenges, and forms of subjectivity. Neurodivergent people are those whose cognitive and perceptual experiences diverge from dominant neurotypical norms; the category intentionally resists homogenizing difference into deficit. In applying neurodiversity to literature, the crucial implication is that narrative forms and interpretive practices must accommodate different cognitive and sensory logics. Representation is not merely about labeling a fictional character with a diagnostic tag; it involves rendering experiential modes—attention, sensory integration, social cognition, time perception—within the texture of the text.

### 2.2 Authorship, ownership, and epistemic authority

The phrase “own-voices” has been used across minority literatures to foreground works produced by those who share the identity of the characters they depict. For neurodivergent literature, authorship confers epistemic authority: a neurodivergent author is positioned to convey subtleties of masking, sensory overwhelm, monotropic or hyperfocus, the emotional labour required to pass as neurotypical, or the embodied gestures through which community and personhood are negotiated. This does not preclude skilled non-neurodivergent writers from producing thoughtful portrayals, but it does assert that lived experience provides unique interpretive resources and ethical claims to authenticity. From a theoretical vantage, epistemic injustice—when a group is wronged in its capacity as knowers—provides a useful lens. For decades neurodivergent voices were marginalized in cultural production; allowing those voices to author narratives is a correction to testimonial and hermeneutical injustice. Literature, therefore, becomes a reparative site where epistemologies of cognition are produced and circulated.

### 2.3 Disability studies and narrative form

Disability studies insist that disability should be analyzed not simply as an individual attribute but as a social and material construct shaped by institutions, environments, and cultural meanings. Applied to narrative, disability studies push critics to attend to how form—plot structure, focalization, temporal sequencing, rhythm, and language—carries assumptions about normativity. Neurodivergent authors often alter form to reflect cognitive difference: non-linear sequencing to echo divergent temporalities, fragmented sentences to convey sensory fragmentation, or extended interior monologue that communicates monotropic or intense inner focus. This manuscript thus synthesizes neurodiversity discourse with disability studies and narratology to forge criteria for authentic representation: authorship and lived-experience grounding; formal correspondences between cognitive experience and narrative form; intersectionality; character agency; and ethical framing that avoids reductive tropes.

## 3. Historical Overview: Tropes, Misrepresentation, and Shifts

Historically, characters with cognitive differences have often been represented in reductive or instrumental ways: the “savant” whose unusual abilities function as plot device, the “tragic” figure whose life is a cautionary tale, the “inspiration porn” motif that valorizes overcoming disability as moral heroism for the benefit of neurotypical observers. These portrayals have mattered because they shape broader social imaginings about what constitutes a meaningful life and who is considered a full social actor. Over the last twenty years, activism, social media, and shifting cultural conversations have enabled neurodivergent people to insist on narrative self-determination. Contemporary neurodivergent authors are rewriting the scripts: centering interiority, complicating or rejecting the cure arc, and using form to indicate difference rather than flatten it into metaphor. This shift is not uniform or complete—commercial trends and tokenism persist—but the emergence of neurodivergent authorship constitutes a notable transformation in literary culture.

## 4. What Authentic Neurodivergent Representation Looks Like

Authentic representation can be identified across several interlocking features. The following subsections describe these features and explain why each contributes to authenticity.

#### **4.1 Lived-experience grounding and epistemic fidelity**

A primary mark of authenticity is that the representations resonate with the epistemic world of neurodivergent readers—sensory details, cognitive rhythms, and forms of social interpretation that feel “right” in a way that perceptible non-neurodivergent observation cannot fully replicate. Authorship by neurodivergent writers often yields this fidelity because they write from embodied memory and attention. For example, descriptions of sensory overload are not merely catalogues of stimuli; they are tied to bodily responses, decision-making about escape or masking, and the moral calculus of disclosure—layers that are best known from lived experience.

#### **4.2 Interiority, language, and sensory mapping**

Authentic texts often foreground interior sensory mapping: time may be experienced in loops or intense durations rather than as a linear progression; attention may fixate on particular patterns, producing passages of concentrated descriptive intensity; language may reflect syntactic choices that emulate cognitive patterning (e.g., parataxis to echo associative leaps).

#### **4.3 Agency and complexity of characterization**

Authentic representation resists making neurodivergent characters mere objects of pity, miracle, or curiosity. Instead, neurodivergent protagonists are portrayed with desires, contradictions, social strategies, failures, and moral reasoning.

#### **4.4 Formal innovation as a reflection of cognitive difference**

Neurodivergent authors often employ form strategically: non-traditional chronology, repetition to indicate perseverative thought, long sequences of sensory description to suggest hyperattention, or deliberate breaks in punctuation to reflect atypical processing speed. These formal moves do double work: they offer aesthetic innovation, and they ethically align narrative technique with the subjectivity represented. Formal innovation thereby functions as testimony.

#### **4.5 Intersectionality and contextual specificity**

Neurodivergent experience is never uniform. Authentic representation attends to intersections with race, gender, sexuality, class, language, and national context. For instance, the lived experience of a neurodivergent person in a relatively well-resourced, rights-oriented context will differ substantially from experiences in a place with limited educational resources and different cultural meanings attached to cognitive difference. Texts that attend to these intersections avoid flattening neurodivergence into a single, universal narrative.

#### **4.6 Ethical stances: resisting inspiration porn and cure narratives**

Authentic works disavow simplistic “overcome-the-difference” storylines. Instead of staging disability as a problem for neurotypical characters to solve (or as an inspirational arc meant to make non-disabled readers feel better), authentic narratives center the interests and flourishing of neurodivergent characters themselves. This ethical reorientation influences plot choices and thematic treatment.

### **5. Illustrative Practices and Textual Strategies**

To make the preceding criteria concrete, this section outlines specific textual strategies neurodivergent authors use to produce authenticity. The strategies are grouped by technique and the narrative effect they achieve.

#### **5.1 Attention and focus: long descriptive passages and extended attention**

Where neurotypical narratives often cap long descriptions to preserve pacing, neurodivergent-authored texts sometimes extend descriptive focus to emulate hyperfocus or intense attention. Extended passages—on the texture of light, the pattern of rain on concrete, the precise configuration of a machine—invite readers to inhabit the focus that structures the protagonist’s perception and meaning-making.

#### **5.2 Repetition and pattern: linguistic and structural iteration**

Repetitive structures, recurrent phrases, motifs, or chapter patterns—can mirror perseverative thought or the security of ritualized repetition. Repetition in narrative creates rhythm and can function as both aesthetic device and phenomenological attestation.

#### **5.3 Fragmentation and parataxis: syntax as cognitive ecology**

Fragmented sentences and paratactic structures (placing clauses side-by-side without subordinating connectors) can evoke associative thinking patterns or sensory overload. Rather than indicating poor style, such structures are used deliberately to convey cognitive processes.

### **6. Case Studies of Neurodivergent Authors**

#### **6.1 Elle McNicoll: Autistic Girlhood and Narrative Advocacy**

McNicoll’s novels have been celebrated for representing autistic characters with depth and refuse to reduce them to stereotypes. Her work aligns with contemporary disability activism, challenging the “supercrip” trope and centering autistic agency. McNicoll’s establishment of the Adrien Prize further demonstrates how neurodivergent authors enact structural change within the literary world. **6.2 K. Vaishali: Intersectional Dyslexic Identity in South Asia**

Vaishali’s memoir brings needed intersectionality into neurodivergent literature, revealing how dyslexia is shaped by caste, gender, sexuality, and educational policy in India. Her work exemplifies what Goodley identifies as “disability intersectionality”—the idea that disability cannot be understood apart from social systems of power (Goodley 81).

### 6.3 Clare Shaw: Neurodivergent Poetics and Non-Binary Identity

Shaw's poetry interrogates the intersections of trauma, neurodivergence, and non-binary identity. Their formal experimentation—including fragmented stanzas, rhythmic disruption, and sensory imagery—illustrates how neurodivergent cognition can reshape poetic form. Shaw's work exemplifies narrative and linguistic innovation grounded in lived neurological diversity.

## 7. A Framework for Evaluative Practice

To guide literary critics, publishers, educators, and funders, this manuscript proposes an evaluative framework for assessing authentic neurodivergent representation. The framework has eight interdependent criteria:

1. Authorial Grounding: Does authorship reflect neurodivergent lived experience, or has the text been developed in collaboration with neurodivergent consultants where authorship is non-neurodivergent? (Own-voices status increases, though does not guarantee authenticity.)
2. Phenomenological Fidelity: Does the text render sensory and cognitive experience in ways that cohere as lived experience rather than symbolic shorthand?
3. Formal Correspondence: Are formal devices (syntax, pacing, structure) employed to reflect cognitive patterns meaningfully, not merely as gimmick?
4. Character Agency: Are neurodivergent characters granted agency, complexity, and ethical subjectivity, or are they instrumentalized?
5. Intersectional Contextuality: Does the work attend to intersectional identities and cultural settings relevant to the character's lived situation?

The framework may be used qualitatively by reviewers and editors or operationalized into checklists for publishers and educational institutions.

## 8. Recommendations

Synthesizing the analysis above, the following practical recommendations follow.

### 8.1 For cultural funders and policymakers

- \* Fund fellowships, residencies, and translation efforts for neurodivergent authors, with priority for those from underrepresented regions and linguistic communities.
- \* Support research into publishing practices, reader reception, and educational impacts of neurodivergent literature.

### 8.2 For critics and reviewers

- \* Read with attention to formal choices as potential expressions of cognitive difference; avoid aestheticist dismissal that conflates non-normative form with failed craft.
- \* Include neurodivergent-authored works in mainstream review outlets and recommend them across readership categories.

### 8.3 For neurodivergent authors and allies

Neurodivergent writers should be encouraged and supported to experiment with form and to claim a range of genres rather than being limited to “issue” texts. Allies should use platform power to amplify neurodivergent voices, not to speak for them.

## 9. Research Agenda and Future Directions

- \* The emergent field of neurodivergent literary studies is nascent and fertile. Priority research directions include:
- \* Empirical publishing studies documenting who is published, under what terms, and with what promotional support.
- \* Reader reception research that explores how neurodivergent and neurotypical readers interpret and value neurodivergent-authored texts.
- \* Comparative cross-cultural work investigating neurodivergent authorship and representation in non-Anglophone literatures and in contexts with varying social infrastructures.
- \* Genre-focused inquiries into how neurodivergent aesthetics operate in crime fiction, romance, speculative fiction, and poetry.
- \* Pedagogical studies examining classroom outcomes when neurodivergent-authored texts are integrated into syllabi. Research of this kind would move the field beyond anecdote and towards evidence-based policy and industry standards.

## 10. CONCLUSION

When neurodivergent readings are produced by the neurodivergent authors, their impact doubles as it carries a lot of weight and importance in a variety of ways. Such representations solidify the need of putting breaks to the exclusion of such communities, thereby contributing to the decrease in epistemic injustice by enabling neurodivergent people to narrate their own lives. Such authorship adds feathers to the ever-growing bouquet of literature by opening new vistas in the field of literary discoveries and realistic portrayals. Such texts help in making literature all-inclusive by ensuring

that the representations of the neurodivergent and other minority communities are published, read, and valued. Although positive and steadfast transformation has started in this regard, there is still a long road and way to go to ensure intersectional and author-centered representation. Achieving the full potential of this transition demands coordinated action across publishing, education, cultural funding, and criticism.

## REFERENCES

- Davis, Lennard J. *Enforcing Normalcy: Disability, Deafness, and the Body*. Verso, 1995.
- Fricker, Miranda. *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing*. Oxford UP, 2007.
- Garland-Thomson, Rosemarie. *Extraordinary Bodies: Figuring Physical Disability in American Culture and Literature*. Columbia UP, 1997.
- Goodley, Dan. *Dis/ability Studies: Theorising Disablism and Ableism*. Routledge, 2014.
- Grace, Elizabeth J. “Neurodivergent Self-Representation.” *Disability Studies Quarterly*, vol. 37, no. 2, 2017.
- Kuppers, Petra. *Studying Disability Arts and Culture: An Introduction*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
- Manning, Erin. *Always More Than One: Individuation’s Dance*. Duke UP, 2013.
- McNicoll, Elle. *A Kind of Spark*. Knights Of, 2020.
- Mitchell, David T., and Sharon L. Snyder. *Narrative Prosthesis: Disability and the Dependencies of Discourse*. U of Michigan P, 2000.
- Price, Margaret. “Mad Time.” *Hypatia*, vol. 30, no. 1, 2015, pp. 63–79.
- —. *Mad at School: Rhetorics of Mental Disability and Academic Life*. U of Michigan P, 2011.
- Shaw, Clare. *Flood*. Bloodaxe Books, 2018.
- Vaishali, K. *Homeless: Growing Up Lesbian and Dyslexic in India*. HarperCollins India, 2019.
- Walker, Nick. *Neuroqueer Heresies: Notes on the Neurodiversity Paradigm, Autistic Empowerment, and Postnormal Possibilities*. Autonomous Press, 2021.