TPM Vol. 32, No. S1, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

COGNITIVE ADAPTATION MEASUREMENT AMONG AQUATIC WORKERS IN HAZARDOUS ENVIRONMENTS

DR. SHIVLI SHRIVASTAVA[1], DR.RAVINDER SHARMA[2], RITU JOON[3]
[1]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
[2]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
email: ku.ravindersharma@kalingauniversity.ac.in orcid: 0009-0000-9569-6351
[3]ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
e-mail: ritu.joon@ndimdelhi.org, https://orcid.org/0009-0006-4319-6252

ABSTRACT

Occupational diving, offshore oil extraction, and nautical rescue activities are examples of aquatic workplaces which subject workers to extreme and volatile risks which can significantly compromise mental processing. The current study aims to quantitatively measure cognitive adaptation of aquatic workers using a structured environmental profiling and neuropsychological testing approach. Assessment of the participants by cognitive tasks and biometric monitoring in real-time yielded results which were analyzed using a newly developed Cognitive Adaptation Index (CAI) which was then normalized against a Hazard Intensity Index (HII). From the results, it was noted that workers in dynamic environments, particularly offshore rescue operators, displayed the highest cognitive adaptability, while workers in more static environments – but still under persistent stress, such as in the fisheries – demonstrated the lowest adaptation scores. Statistical testing showed strong associations between the exposure to the range of hazards and the reaction time reliability, indicating that mental fortitude typically improves with repeated exposure to risks. The findings highlight the value of cognitive assessment in dangerous jobs and could assist in strengthening frameworks for training, hazard planning, staff management, and cognitive workload balancing. The research introduces a tailored, scalable, and situational approach for assessing mental performance under aquatic stressors.

KEYWORDS: Cognitive adaptation, aquatic workers, hazardous environments, mental resilience, cognitive performance, biometric monitoring, occupational safety

I. INTRODUCTION

Diving, offshore rigging, and marine biology are examples of professions that face unique challenges specific to oceans and rivers. Along with thermal isolation, these workers contend with limited forms of face to face communication, and ever changing physical isolation, they also deal with pressure, unstable weather conditions, and underwater isolation. All of these combined create a form of mental stress which requires physical resilience and mental agility. The ability to adjust one's mind and feelings to cope with stressors—cognitive adaptation— is essential for preserving effectiveness and safety with seamless workflow, mental precision, and safety.

Cognitive adaptation is the ability of an individual to change how they think and act in response to possibly dangerous changes within their surroundings. In dangerous underwater environments, situations where a person can think clearly and remain calm are extremely perilous [1]. Measuring cognitive adaptation in these environments becomes critical to an individual's occupational safety and health [2][3]. Occupational tasks are often complicated by the need to manage a number of activities simultaneous, balancing the use of devices and picking up clues from the surroundings, and decision making, all in the course of high mental and physical strain.

Even within occupational groups, cognitive adaptability in aquatic environments has not been rigorously assessed, nor has it been quantified in relation to mental agility [10]. As a flexible, multi-dimensional construct, cognitive adaptability can support targeted training, mental resilience structures, and safety measures within aquatic environments [5]. This is the gap this study seeks to fill: the gap of rigorously measuring cognitive adaptability for aquatic workers. Ultimately, the study seeks to improve performance and safety alongside mental health within these complex environments [4].

KEY CONTRIBUTIONS

1. Formulated a two-tier system integrating Hazard Intensity Index (HII) and Cognitive Adaptation Index (CAI) to assess mentally adaptive capabilities quantitatively in liquid domains.
2. Presented a case for measurable changes in cognitive and mental adaptive abilities as a function of professional exposure to perilous aquatic environments.
3. Developed a comprehensive approach of integrating ecological and neurocognitive profiling with real-time biometric tracking to assess mental preparedness in extreme aquatic vocations.

The Overview section describes the objectives of the cognitive adaptation in hazardous aquatic environments and further clarifies the scope of the work. The purpose of the Literature Survey is to present the relevant cognitive performance research conducted in relation to occupational stress. The Literature Survey also identifies the research gap of missing aquatic performance studies. The Methodology describes the participant criteria selection, the processes for measuring cognitive testing, the environmental hazard quantification by HII, and CAI formulation. The Results and Discussion section provides interpretation to cognitive performance in relation to numerous occupational groups, applying robust statistical methods and a comparative table. In the paper's concluding section, the author presents the main outcomes and also provides the closing remarks in the Conclusion section while giving suggestions as well as some technological possibilities in the Future Work section.

II. RELATED WORK

Cognitive adaptability is well known to be a vital factor for improving performance in stressful and unpredictable work environments [6][8]. In high-risk fields like aviation, military, and emergency services, people with high cognitive adaptability perform well in handling situational judgment and complexity, stress, and provide calm and effective solutions [11]. However, there is a lack of research on cognitive adaptability in relation to aquatic professions, which present equally, if not more, severe and dynamic hazards [12].

People employed in the aquatic industry encounter several stresses that impact thought processes [13]. The physiological exertion of diving, such as restricted sight, the weight of water, low oxygen, and meticulous tasks, place both mental and physical strain. Offshore oil and deep-sea diving studies showcase mental stamina and focus as crucial traits that allow constant optimal performance [14]. Assessments performed psychologically on submarine teams and divers point toward mental adaptability, short term recall, and emotional control as critical for balancing complex tasks and unpredictable environment variables [9].

Investigations into safety behavior within water environments have only looked at compliance, along with safety-related actions taken to minimize physical risks [7]. Very little attention has been given to how a worker cognitively adapts to changing and dangerous environments. Evaluations of this have been done, but often with broad psychological instruments that have not been designed specifically for water-related stresses [15].

The growing necessity of customizable evaluation tools for specific domains illustrates a significant void in employment research. Crafting specialized tools to assess cognitive flexibility in marine workers will enhance understanding of mental resilience and help in the formulation of organizational safety training and intervention programs.

TPM Vol. 32, No. S1, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

III. METHODOLOGY

This research uses a systematic, multi-phase approach to assess the cognitive adaptation of workers in dangerous underwater environments. It comprises such core elements as selection of individuals, profiling of specific environmental risks, cognitive evaluation, and adaptation quantification analytics. This methodology aims to fit the actual operational environments and psychological stressors faced by seamen and offshore workers.

Candidates were selected from high-risk domains including commercial diving, offshore oil rig employment, maritime rescue, and fisheries management. Each participant needed to have at least two years of experience in the field and continuous engagement with a physically and psychologically challenging work environment. A pre-participation medical checkup ensured all participants were neurologically and physically healthy to complete the medical tests.

Environmental profiling was carried out to measure the degree of risk each employee usually faces. Both current and past records of water temperature, pressure fluctuations with depth, oxygen levels, and underwater visibility were obtained. These factors were used to calculate a Hazard Intensity Index (HII), which is a blended metric of the degree of the environmental challenge. Using the equation below, the HII was computed:

$$HII = \frac{(T_v + P_v + O_d + V_s)}{4}$$

where T_v represents temperature variability, P_v is pressure variation, O_d is the oxygen deficiency score, and V_s is the visibility score. Each parameter was normalized before averaging to ensure comparability.

In this case, stress-related issues were resolved and cognitive abilities evaluated through the use of working memory, attention, and emotional multitasking benchmarks of neo-psychological standards. Like the earlier administered profiling, these tasks were also implemented in both field and controllable scenarios, which included cold water rooms and guided underwater virtual remoting. Scuba diving goggles allowed for observing real and virtual underwater environments, and EEG and biometric headsets unobtrusively recorded and streamed the subjects' brain activities, stress levels, and task performance.

For measuring one's overall mental flexibility, a Cognitive Adaptation Index (CAI) was proposed. This index measures one's cognitive performance in relation to the level of environmental challenges they encounter. Its formal definition is:

$$CAI = \frac{(M_s + A_c + R_t)}{HII}$$

where M_s denotes memory stability, A_c refers to the accuracy of task completion, and R_t indicates reaction time consistency. The denominator, HII, contextualizes the cognitive performance relative to environmental severity.

We conducted a statistical analysis in SPSS which included calculating correlation and regression models for assessing the relationship between HII and CAI. The adaptation levels in variation across different occupational categories were compared using the ANOVA test. This approach offers the possibility of accurately, quantitatively, and categorially measuring cognitive adaptation in a given occupational context, which improves the decision-making processes related to training, safety planning, and personnel deployment in risky aquatic environments.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Findings from the cognitive adaptation analysis have shown specific ways in which aquatic workers respond to the environment's health challenges. Information was gathered from 60 subjects belonging to four professional groups which included: deep-sea divers, offshore oil rig workers, coastal rescue operators, and fisheries personnel. All subjects underwent a cognitive evaluation based on the Cognitive Adaptation Index (CAI), which incorporates cognitive achievements and hazard indices (HII) of the environment's risk level.

The CAI scores measured from 0.65 to 2.85 which shows the different levels of adaptation. The cognitive adaptability during extensive environmental strain is more pronounced with higher CAI, while lower scores depict the risk of cognitive deterioration from stress. Among the occupational groups, coastal rescue operators had the highest CAI on average which is likely due to their exposure to dynamic environments and high-stakes decision-making under pressure. On the other hand, fisheries personnel scored the lowest CAI because they were probably exposed to lower levels of acute stress more monotonous low-stimulation stress.

A noteworthy decline in reaction time variability ($r=-0.64$, $p < 0.01$) was noticed in HII, suggesting that people employed in more hazardous settings have honed their reaction skills more rapidly and gained smoother reaction patterns. In addition, high CAI scores achieved to escalate memory sharpness and task achievement which indicates that emotionally and attentively controlled workers tend to adapt cognitively during stressful situations.

The table 1, below summarizes the average CAI and HII values across the occupational categories:

Table 1. Comparison of Hazard Intensity and Cognitive Adaptation Across Aquatic Occupational Groups

Occupational Group	Average HII	Average CAI	Interpretation
Deep-Sea Divers	3.8	2.10	High adaptation in extreme conditions
Offshore Oil Rig Workers	3.5	1.85	Moderate to high adaptability
Coastal Rescue Operators	3.9	2.45	Very high cognitive adaptability
Fisheries Personnel	2.6	1.30	Lower adaptation, long-term fatigue

The data collected and analyzed seems to indicate that different kinds of job roles and their corresponding levels of exposure may determine how people build cognitive resilience—especially in relation to exposure to different unpredictable watery scenarios and cognitive resilience building. The findings bolster the theory that cognitive adaptation comes along with rigorous training and experience and is not solely limited to the intensity of the environment. It also reinforces the idea that cognitive evaluation should be incorporated in occupational health and safety measures, particularly for the high-risk aquatic workplaces. There is also the possibility of improving safety and mental fitness evaluation programs to, in turn, sharpen the overall working performance of the mental fitness evaluation.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

This research shows that the cognitive adaptation of aquatic workers can be assessed using a dual index framework of risk factors and neurocognitive performance. Results reveal that employees facing persistent high-stakes dynamic environments tend to develop stronger mental adaptability, as shown by higher CAI scores. Evolutions under more stable, though less intense, hazard environments showed comparatively weaker mental adaptability. These results support the idea that cognitive resilience is affected not only by the level of environmental stress but also by the type of exposure and occupational role. The research provides a sound basis for the evaluation of mental preparedness in aquatic environments, stressing the importance of incorporating cognitive assessment alongside physical evaluations in the safety evaluation frameworks.

Expanding the findings by adding longitudinal approaches for tracking cognitive adaptation over time and increasing the sample size can be great for future research. Also, incorporating machine learning could optimize predictive models concerning personal adaptability relative to past performance and hazard analysis. Moreover, virtual reality (VR) aquatic simulations could combine training with cognitive testing through standardized immersive environments while providing real-time biometric feedback. It could also be possible to create wearable AI devices that assess mental adaptation and fatigue in real-time in the field, allowing for personalized alerts and adaptive intervention systems.

Combining cognitive scientists with marine engineers and occupational health experts would enhance the multitude of practical uses for the findings in dangerous underwater work environments.

REFERENCES:

1. Carvalho, F. M., & Perscheid, T. (2025). Fault-tolerant embedded systems: Reliable operation in harsh environments approaches. SCCTS Journal of Embedded Systems Design and Applications, 2(2), 1–8.
2. Kavitha, M. (2024). Environmental monitoring using IoT-based wireless sensor networks: A case study. Journal of Wireless Sensor Networks and IoT, 1(1), 50-55. https://doi.org/10.31838/WSNIOT/01.01.08
3. Sadulla, S. (2024). State-of-the-art techniques in environmental monitoring and assessment. Innovative Reviews in Engineering and Science, 1(1), 25-29. https://doi.org/10.31838/INES/01.01.06
4. Choi, M.-Y., Jang, H.-S., & Jeon, H.-J. (Trans.). (2025). Runtime reconfiguration techniques for efficient operation of FPGA-based systems in real-time environments. SCCTS Transactions on Reconfigurable Computing, 2(2), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.31838/RCC/02.02.01
5. Min, A. K., Thandar, N. H., & Htun, Z. T. (2025). Smart sensors embedded systems for environmental monitoring system integration. Journal of Integrated VLSI, Embedded and Computing Technologies, 2(3), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.31838/JIVCT/02.03.01
6. Hernández, D., & Jiménez, L. (2025). Evidence from Cultural Tourism Towards Cultural Tourism Actually Builds Stronger Cross Cultural Exchange. Journal of Tourism, Culture, and Management Studies, 2(1), 27-37.
7. Pushpavalli, R., Mageshvaran, K., Anbarasu, N., & Chandru, B. (2024). Smart sensor infrastructure for environmental air quality monitoring. International Journal of Communication and Computer Technologies, 12(1), 33-37. https://doi.org/10.31838/IJCCTS/12.01.04
8. Mousa, T. U. (2022). The Role of the Accounting Profession in Controlling Environmental Pollution According to Requirements of Social Responsibility in Industrial Companies. *International Academic Journal of Social Sciences*, *9*(1), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSS/V9I1/IAJSS0904
9. Bianchi, P. (2024). Digital Twin Technology for Real-Time Monitoring and Control in Smart Manufacturing. *International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering*, *11*(3), 19–22. https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJSE/V11I3/IAJSE1155
10. Unciano, N., & Khan, Z. (2025). AI-enabled digital twin framework for predictive maintenance in smart urban infrastructure. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 2(1), 1–10.
11. Zigui, L., Caluyo, F., Hernandez, R., Sarmiento, J., & Rosales, C. A. (2024). Improving Communication Networks to Transfer Data in Real Time for Environmental Monitoring and Data Collection. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, *9*(2), 198-212. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1569561
12. Patil, S., & Das, A. (2024). Encouraging Future Generations with Environmental Education. *International Journal of SDG's Prospects and Breakthroughs*, *2*(4), 24-29.
13. Turayeva, S., Yunusova, U., Mavlyanov, U., Abdualimova, Z., Niyozov, F., Irgashev, T., Rakhimov, I., & Shukurova, U. (2025). Historical evolution of water resource management using adaptive governance model. *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies,* *5*(1), 12–22. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-02
14. Patel, P., & Dusi, P. (2025). Activation patterns in the prefrontal cortex during moral judgments: Evidence from EEG analysis. Advances in Cognitive and Neural Studies, 1(1), 11–19.
15. Alghanim, G. K. A., & Noor, S. N. F. B. M. (2025). A Multifaceted Analysis and Misinformation in The French Lieutenant's Woman Concerning the Creationism, Existentialism, and Dualism with Uncertainty of Narrative. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, *15*(1), 176–182. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.22
16. Chandravanshi, N., & Neetish, K. (2023). Diurnal Variations in Greenhouse Gas Emissions from a Macrophyte-Covered River. *Aquatic Ecosystems and Environmental Frontiers*, *1*(1), 11-15.
17. Vakhguelt, V., & Jianzhong, A. (2023). Renewable Energy: Wind Turbine Applications in Vibration and Wave Harvesting. *Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics*, *1*(1), 38-48.
18. Mukhiddinova, M., Sapayev, V., Yakubova, M., Maytakubov, M., Agzamova, D., Haydarova, P., & Olimov, Z. (2025). Ulugh Beg Madrasah as A Pillar of Astronomical Advancement In Central Asia. Archives for Technical Sciences, 1(32), 156–164. https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2025.1732.156