TPM Vol. 32, No. S9, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

RESPONSE TIME IN EMERGENCY SERVICES: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

MESHAL MENAHI ALSHEBANI[(1)], MOHAMMED QISMI ALANAZI[(2)], ABDULRAHMAN EIDHAH ALANAZI[(3)], MOHAMMED ABDULRAHMAN ALMOTLAQ[(4)], ABDULAZIZ MOHAMMED ALMESHARI[(5)], HAZAA EID ALOTIBI[(6)], SAAD MUNAHI ALSHAIBANI[(7)], KHALED SUHAIL ALOTAIBI [(8)], NASSER SUHAIL ALOTAIBI[(9)], FAWZI OBAID ALOTAIBI[(10)], SULTAN TURKI AL-OTAIBI [(11)]

[1.] EMS, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA, EMAIL: alshebani8@hotmail.com
[2.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA.EMAIL: mahmoudqismi@gmail.com
[3.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: phd.100100@gmail.com
[4.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: moh1008844@gmail.com
[5.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: i350i@hotmail.com
[6.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: hazaa997@gmail.com
[7.] EMT, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: almat7d@gmail.com
[8.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: kh-s-al-otaibi@hotmail.com
[9.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: a7rqnee@gmail.com
[10.] EMS, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: future.444@hotmail.com
[11.] EMT, SAUDI RED CRESCENT, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA. EMAIL: s.t.al@hotmail.com

Abstract
Response time in emergency services is a critical performance metric that significantly impacts patient outcomes, particularly in time-sensitive emergencies such as cardiac arrests and trauma. This narrative review synthesizes current evidence on response times, focusing on their definition, components, historical evolution, and impact on survival rates. Response time encompasses the interval from emergency call receipt to the arrival of qualified personnel at the scene, with sub-components including dispatch, travel, and on-scene times. While response time standards vary globally, the 8-minute benchmark is widely recognized as a threshold associated with improved survival. Retrospective and cohort studies consistently demonstrate that shorter response times correlate with higher survival rates and favorable neurological outcomes. However, the review highlights that response time is not the sole determinant of patient outcomes, emphasizing the importance of balancing speed with clinical effectiveness. The study also identifies key factors influencing response times, such as traffic conditions, resource allocation, technological advancements, and dispatcher efficiency. Strategies to improve response times include leveraging technological innovations, optimizing resource deployment, enhancing infrastructure, and engaging communities. Recent advances in drone delivery and artificial intelligence-assisted dispatch show promise in reducing delays. Future research should focus on refining these technologies, developing comprehensive performance metrics, and conducting long-term, large-scale studies to inform evidence-based policies for strengthening emergency care systems worldwide.

Keywords
Emergency services; response time; emergency medical services (EMS); patient outcomes; emergency response systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Emergency services play a vital role in maintaining public safety, health, and security by providing immediate assistance during crises such as medical emergencies, accidents, natural disasters, and other life-threatening events. These services, which include ambulance, fire, police, and rescue teams, are essential for saving lives, minimizing injuries, and restoring normalcy as swiftly as possible in affected communities. Effective emergency response is a cornerstone of resilient health systems and public safety infrastructures worldwide, underscoring the critical nature of their operations in both everyday incidents and large-scale disasters (Ely et al., 2025).

1.1 Background on Emergency Services and Their Critical Role

Emergency services function as the first line of defense in urgent situations, offering rapid intervention to prevent worsening outcomes. Their coordinated actions address immediate needs such as stabilizing patients, evacuating victims, and containing hazards while paving the way for continued care in hospital settings. The concept of a well-organized emergency care system recognizes emergency services as a cost-effective public health intervention, crucial not only in high-income countries but also in resource-limited settings, where over half of deaths from acute illnesses might be avoidable through timely emergency care (Werner et al., 2020).

1.2 Definition of Response Time and Its Significance in Emergency Care

Response time within emergency services is defined as the interval from when an emergency call is received or notification is made to the arrival of qualified personnel at the scene. It is the primary performance metric used globally to assess the quality, timeliness, and operational efficiency of emergency response systems. An effective response time is often cited as within 8 minutes for ambulance services, but this benchmark varies depending on geography, local protocols, and incident severity. The significance of this interval cannot be overstated: in conditions like cardiac arrest, every minute delay reduces the chance of survival by 7-10%. Similarly, timely arrival is critical in scenarios like strokes and major trauma, where "golden hour" principles emphasize the life-saving impact of early intervention (Damdin et al., 2025).

1.3 Rationale for the Review and Its Relevance to Public Health and Safety

Despite advances in emergency medical technologies and logistics, challenges such as traffic congestion, dispatcher efficiency, resource availability, and environmental factors continue to affect response times. This variability substantially influences patient outcomes and overall emergency care effectiveness. A narrative review focusing on response times in emergency services is therefore highly relevant, as it synthesizes existing knowledge, highlights gaps, and supports informed policy-making and operational improvements aimed at reducing delays. Improved response times correlate directly with better survival rates, less severe injuries, and optimized utilization of healthcare resources, goals aligned with global health priorities and sustainable public safety strategies (Naboureh et al., 2024).

1.4 Objectives and Research Questions

This review aims to provide a comprehensive narrative synthesis of current evidence concerning response times in emergency services. It seeks to:

- Define standard metrics and variations in response time measurement across different emergency systems.
- Analyze the impact of response time on patient mortality, morbidity, and long-term health outcomes.
- Investigate factors influencing emergency response efficiency, including geographic, operational, and technological determinants.
- Identify best practices and interventions proven to reduce response times.
- Discuss implications for policy, emergency planning, and resource allocation to enhance public health and safety.

Key research questions include:

- What are the universally accepted definitions and benchmarks for emergency response time?
- How does variation in response time affect outcomes in critical emergencies such as cardiac arrests, strokes, and trauma?
- Which systemic and environmental factors most significantly influence response time in diverse settings?
- What strategies or technologies have demonstrated efficacy in improving emergency response times?
- How can response time improvements translate to enhanced survival and quality of life in populations served?

2. Historical Perspective and Evolution of Response Time Metrics

2.1 Historical Development of Response Time Measurement

The concept of response time as a critical performance metric for emergency services can be traced back to foundational studies in the late 1970s. Landmark research from Seattle Ambulance demonstrated that survival rates for patients experiencing nontraumatic cardiac arrest improved substantially when basic life support and advanced life support were administered within 4 to 8 minutes of the event. This research established the initial benchmarks that many emergency medical service (EMS) systems worldwide would later adopt and pursue aggressively as performance standards. Subsequently, the eight-minute response time for life-threatening emergencies became a widely recognized target, with many ambulance and EMS providers facing operational pressures to meet this rigid standard. This historical emphasis stems from the demand to link rapid EMS response with improved patient outcomes despite ongoing debates regarding the validity of response time as a sole performance indicator (Al-Shaqsi, 2010).

2.2 Changes in Standards and Benchmarks

Over the years, response time standards have evolved from simple average or maximum response times to more nuanced performance metrics with compliance percentages. For instance, in the United Kingdom, the current national standard (as of early 2000s and reinforced later) mandates a response time of 8 minutes for the most critical emergency calls (Category A), with a requirement of 75% compliance alongside additional targets for 95% of calls within longer time frames depending on urban or rural setting. Similar standards exist in the United States, where NFPA 1710 provides detailed quantitative benchmarks for fire and EMS response, including systematic stages such as call processing time, turnout time, and travel time. The 2020 NFPA 1710 revision incorporated updated priorities reflecting the growing importance of EMS calls and specified that EMS units must arrive within 8 minutes 90% of the time, highlighting a shift towards evidence-based, outcome-focused timeframes (Khan, 2022).

2.3 Technological Advancements Influencing Response Time Measurement

Technological progress has played a pivotal role in refining how response times are measured and managed. Early measurement methodologies often relied on manual or unit-based timers starting from call receipt or dispatch. Recent innovations include automatic vehicle location (AVL) systems utilizing GPS tracking, real-time dispatch data analytics, and radio-frequency identification (RFID) to monitor units and optimize deployment locations dynamically. Artificial intelligence (AI) powered dispatch systems now help prioritize high-risk emergency calls efficiently, thereby potentially reducing delays. Moreover, the advent of telemedicine integration, drones delivering critical medical supplies before EMS arrival, and autonomous emergency vehicles are technological frontiers expected to further impact response times positively by accelerating initial interventions and transport (Campbell & Ellington, 2016).

2.4 Policy Changes and Their Impacts

Policy interventions at national and local levels have been influential in formalizing response time expectations and allocating resources accordingly. Legislative acts, safety standards, and funding commitments have shaped EMS operational frameworks to meet and improve upon explicit time-oriented goals. For example, penalties or incentives linked to meeting defined response time thresholds have motivated operational improvements and resource adjustments. Conversely, policy discussions now increasingly recognize that focusing exclusively on response time without considering outcome quality might lead to unintended financial and safety costs. Modern policy frameworks are thus incorporating more balanced performance indicators that include, but are not limited to, response time metrics. Additionally, recent government funding commitments aim to bolster EMS capabilities and infrastructure to reduce emergency waiting times across regions, emphasizing that emergency response speed is a critical, yet complex, dimension of overall emergency care quality (McQuestin & Noguchi, 2020).

3. Definition and Components of Response Time

Response time in emergency services is a critical measure that reflects the timeliness and efficiency of the prehospital emergency care system. It primarily indicates the duration between the initiation of an emergency call and the arrival of emergency responders on the scene. Response time serves as a key performance indicator (KPI) for emergency medical services (EMS), firefighting, and police departments, as it influences patient outcomes, particularly in life-threatening scenarios. The World Health Organization (WHO) suggests an ideal emergency response time of less than 8 minutes, emphasizing the urgency in providing immediate care after an incident is reported (Blanchard et al., 2012).

3.1 Precise Definition

The most widely accepted definition of response time in EMS is the interval from the notification or reception of information about an emergency to the arrival of the first responding vehicle at the scene of the incident. However, response time encompasses multiple phases, each reflecting essential parts of the emergency response process. The conventional and more patient-centered definition extends to the time from the emergency call to actual contact with the patient, which includes access and extrication delays that could influence clinical outcomes (Al-Shaqsi, 2010).

3.2 Key Components of Response Time

1. **Notification/Alert Time:**

This is the time from when an emergency event occurs or is detected to when the emergency medical dispatch center is alerted or receives the call. It includes the time taken for the public or automated systems to initiate contact with emergency services (Hill et al., 2025).

2. **Dispatch Time:**

The interval from receiving the call at the emergency dispatch center to the moment the appropriate emergency vehicle or team is dispatched or mobilized. This phase involves processing the call, assessing urgency, and assigning resources (Hill et al., 2025).

3. **Response Time (Ambulance En Route to Scene):**

This phase measures the duration from dispatch to the arrival of the ambulance or emergency vehicle at the scene. It involves travel time influenced by geographic factors, traffic conditions, and ambulance proximity. This component often dominates the total response time and is heavily targeted for optimization (Hill et al., 2025).

4. **On-Scene Time:**

The period the emergency responders spend at the incident scene providing initial assessment, treatment, and preparation for transport. This phase varies according to the nature and severity of the emergency and operational protocols (Hill et al., 2025).

5. **Transport Time:**

Time taken to transfer the patient from the scene to the appropriate healthcare facility after stabilization or initial treatment. This segment is critical in severe trauma or medical emergencies where hospital intervention is urgent (Hill et al., 2025).

3.3 Secondary Metrics Related to Response Time

- **Out-of-Service Interval:**

The duration during which an ambulance or emergency vehicle is unavailable for response due to hospital handover, restocking, maintenance, or refueling. This downtime affects overall fleet availability and system efficiency (Cabral et al., 2018).

- **Total Response Cycle:**

The complete time interval encompassing the entire emergency response process, from the receipt of the emergency call to the ambulance becoming available again for the next call. This includes dispatch, travel, on-scene, transport, and out-of-service intervals and reflects the resource utilization cycle (Cabral et al., 2018).

3.4 Importance of Response Time Components

Each segment of the response time chain is crucial and has distinct operational and clinical significance. While rapid dispatch and travel are generally emphasized, delays in notification and on-scene management can equally impact outcomes. For instance, in urban high-rise buildings or complex scenes, vertical response time (time from arrival on scene to patient contact) may prolong response and directly affect patient survival chances, especially in cardiac arrest, stroke, or trauma cases. The measurement of response time is not only important for quality assessment but also for resource allocation, system planning, and continuous improvement of emergency services. EMS agencies balance the trade-offs between minimizing response times and maintaining operational sustainability, as excessively short intervals may increase costs and resource strain (Feizollahzadeh et al., 2022).

4. Response Time in Emergency Services: Standards and Benchmarks

International standards such as those from the World Health Organization (WHO) emphasize the critical importance of rapid emergency response. WHO guidance highlights the principle of the "golden hour," the first 60 minutes following an emergency during which timely intervention can significantly reduce mortality and morbidity. WHO's emergency response framework prioritizes rapid assessment, mobilization, and intervention to save lives, with an ideal emergency response time often cited as under 8 minutes for ambulance arrival at the scene in medical emergencies. WHO also advocates for integrated and scalable emergency health systems that can respond swiftly in diverse global contexts (Nyman, 2023).

At regional and national levels, benchmarks vary substantially reflecting local healthcare infrastructure, geography, and population density. For example, in the United Kingdom, the NHS standards mandate ambulance response times to be within 7 minutes on average for the most critical Category 1 calls, with 90% of these calls responded to within 15 minutes. The targets differ for other categories, with 18 to 40 minutes on average for serious but less urgent calls and extended times up to 120 minutes for non-urgent cases. Austria's capital Vienna reported an average ambulance response time of around 15 minutes, with some variations caused by traffic and climate conditions, while Brazil's large urban centers such as Belo Horizonte and São Paulo saw average times of approximately 21 and 27 minutes respectively, demonstrating longer response times in some developing regions (Cabral et al., 2018).

Justifications for ideal response times are grounded in clinical evidence that links decreased time-to-care with improved outcomes, particularly in trauma, cardiac arrest, stroke, and other time-sensitive emergencies. The golden hour concept justifies the international drive for responses typically under 8 minutes, acknowledging that every minute of delay leads to increased risk of death or severe disability. However, these ideal standards are adapted by factoring in logistical realities such as urban versus rural settings, with much longer permitted response times in less accessible areas (e.g., up to 30 minutes in rural US EMS standards) (Nyman, 2023).

Variability in response time standards is evident worldwide, influenced by disparities in medical infrastructure, urban density, traffic conditions, climate, and emergency system organization. While developed regions adopt stringent benchmark response times to optimize survival rates, low- and middle-income countries face challenges like lack of resources and geographic hurdles leading to longer average response times. Nevertheless, there is a growing global emphasis on improving emergency medical service efficiency by deploying qualified staff, ongoing research, adopting technology, and setting evidence-based policies tailored to regional contexts (Al-Shaqsi, 2010).

5. Factors Influencing Response Time

Emergency medical service (EMS) response time is a critical performance metric directly linked to patient outcomes in time-sensitive emergencies. Multiple interrelated factors influence EMS response times, ranging from environmental conditions to system-level resource management and public behavior (Hill et al., 2025).

5.1 Traffic and Environmental Conditions

One of the most significant external factors affecting EMS response times is traffic congestion. Urban areas experiencing heavy vehicle density, especially during peak hours, pose considerable delays for emergency vehicles navigating congested roads and intersections. Studies during city lockdowns showed marked improvements in response times correlated with reduced traffic, highlighting the direct impact of congestion on EMS travel time. Environmental conditions such as precipitation and adverse weather can also slow down EMS vehicles by affecting road safety and visibility, further extending response times (Hill et al., 2025).

5.2 Resource Allocation and Staffing

Efficient resource allocation, including the availability and distribution of ambulances and EMS personnel, profoundly impacts response times. Staffing levels directly influence readiness and timely dispatch, with higher crew utilization sometimes resulting in delayed pickups due to ongoing engagements. Centralized or coordinated allocation strategies have been proposed to better optimize EMS vehicle placements and address surges in demand, especially during large-scale emergencies (Ishikawa et al., 2024).

5.3 Technological Tools (GPS/System Automation)

Technological advancements such as GPS-enabled navigation and Computer-Aided Dispatch (CAD) systems enhance EMS responsiveness by providing real-time ambulance location tracking and optimized routing. GPS navigators have been demonstrated to reduce travel times by enabling EMS drivers to avoid traffic delays and unfamiliar routes, thus expediting arrival at emergency scenes. CAD systems assist dispatchers by dynamically identifying the closest available unit, reducing unnecessary travel and wait times (Ota et al., 2001).

5.4 Type of Emergency and Severity

Response times vary depending on the type and severity of the emergency. High-acuity cases, such as suspected cardiac arrests or severe trauma, are prioritized and therefore tend to receive faster EMS response. Conversely, lower priority or less critical complaints might experience longer wait times. Proper triage protocols, including use of severity indices, help ensure that EMS resources are allocated efficiently according to clinical urgency (Nehme et al., 2016).

5.5 Location (Urban vs. Rural Settings)

Location is a pivotal factor, with rural EMS response times consistently reported as significantly longer than in urban areas. The disparity is attributed to geographic remoteness, limited road infrastructure, and fewer EMS resources in rural settings. Urban environments, despite traffic congestion challenges, generally benefit from closer proximity and better infrastructure, facilitating shorter response intervals (Alruwaili & Alanazy, 2022).

5.6 Time of Day and Peak Hours

Time-related factors influence EMS response, with variations by hour of the day and week. Peak traffic hours align with increased delays, while off-peak hours generally correspond to faster response times. Moreover, demand for EMS services fluctuates by time, with increased call volumes during certain hours potentially stretching resources thin and affecting dispatch efficiency (Cantwell et al., 2015).

5.7 Ambulance Equipment and Readiness

The operational readiness of EMS vehicles and equipment also impacts response times. Vehicles undergoing maintenance or lacking necessary equipment are unavailable for prompt deployment, elongating response intervals. Efficient fleet maintenance and readiness strategies reduce downtime and ensure rapid availability of ambulances (Goniewicz et al., 2025).

5.8 Public Awareness and Call Notification Delays

Public response plays an indirect but important role. Delays in recognizing emergencies and calling EMS prolong the overall time to care. Enhancing public education about early emergency recognition and prompt notification can reduce call-to-response intervals, improving outcomes (Saberi et al., 2017).

6. Methods of Measuring and Evaluating Response Time

6.1 Descriptive Statistics and Data Collection Methods

Response time in emergency services is typically quantified as the duration between receipt of an emergency call and the arrival of the response unit at the scene. Descriptive statistics form the foundation of response time analysis, where parameters such as mean, median, percentiles (e.g., 75th percentile), and ranges are calculated to summarize performance metrics. For example, many emergency medical services (EMS) systems define response time standards such as arrival within 8 minutes for a set percentage of calls, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). Data collection methods include automated computer-aided dispatch (CAD) systems, GPS tracking of emergency vehicles, and manual record audits. These systems record timestamps at different stages, call receipt, dispatch, travel start, and arrival, which are indispensable for accurate response time calculation. Accurate and systematic data logging allows for temporal analyses, trend tracking, and operational benchmarking (Cabral et al., 2018).

6.2 Use of Statistical Inference, Regression, and Correlation Analyses

Beyond descriptive measures, statistical inference techniques are applied to understand factors influencing response times and to predict performance under varying conditions. Regression analyses, including linear and logistic regressions, help quantify relationships between response time and predictors such as call priority, geographic distance, traffic congestion, and time of day. Correlation analyses may identify associations between response time components (dispatch delay, travel time) and operational outcomes such as patient morbidity or service coverage. These analytical methods enable EMS administrators to prioritize resources and implement targeted interventions based on evidence-driven insights. Confidence intervals and hypothesis testing validate whether observed changes in response times are statistically significant, supporting operational decision-making (Hill et al., 2025).

6.3 Operational Research and Modeling: Queue Theory, Simulations, Algorithms

Operational research plays a pivotal role in optimizing emergency response through mathematical modeling and simulation. Queueing theory models human and resource delays by representing ambulance availability, call arrivals, and service times as stochastic processes, providing insight into system bottlenecks and predictability of waiting times or coverage gaps. Discrete-event simulations (DES) mimic the flow of resources and patients through emergency systems allowing scenario testing of interventions such as staffing adjustments or new dispatch algorithms without operational disruption. Advanced algorithms incorporating geographic information systems (GIS) and machine learning (ML) are increasingly used to optimize ambulance positioning and routing dynamically based on predicted demand, traffic data, and real-time conditions to minimize response time. These methods offer a comprehensive approach to system performance beyond simple metrics (Alavi-Moghaddam et al., 2012).

6.4 Accuracy, Reliability, and Validity of Recorded Data

The quality of response time measurements depends on the accuracy, reliability, and validity of recorded data. Accuracy refers to how close the recorded times reflect true event times, influenced by precise timestamping equipment and standardized logging protocols. Reliability concerns the consistency of measurements across repeated observations or similar conditions, ensuring stability over time. Validity measures whether the recorded response time truly reflects the concept intended, such as "time to patient contact" versus mere arrival on scene. Issues such as missing data, timestamping errors, or inconsistent definitions of start and end points can undermine measurement validity and bias analyses. EMS agencies are encouraged to establish clear data collection standards and conduct regular audits to maintain data quality, which is fundamental for trustworthy analysis and meaningful comparisons (Huabbangyang et al., 2022).

6.5 Comparative Studies Across Cities and Countries

Comparative studies analyze response times across different geographic regions to identify disparities and best practices. Such studies reveal variations influenced by urban versus rural settings, socioeconomic factors, health system infrastructure, and local policies. For instance, urban areas typically exhibit shorter response times due to proximity and resource density, whereas rural areas face challenges such as long distances and limited ambulance coverage. Cross-country comparisons highlight how GDP investment in public health, technological integration (e.g., mobile apps, GPS), and training impact response performance. Cities like Salt Lake City (USA) and Taoyuan (Taiwan) report some of the shortest response times globally, attributed to efficient EMS deployment and socio-economic factors. These comparative insights guide policymakers in resource allocation, system design, and identification of systemic gaps for improvement worldwide (Svensson et al., 2024).

7. Impact of Response Time on Patient Outcomes

The response time in emergency medical services (EMS) is a pivotal factor that critically influences patient survival and recovery outcomes in acute medical emergencies such as cardiac arrest and trauma. Numerous retrospective and cohort studies have established a direct correlation between shorter EMS response times and improved survival rates, underscoring the vital importance of rapid intervention in time-sensitive conditions (Damdin et al., 2025).

7.1 Relationship Between Response Time and Survival Rates

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) represents a prime example where every second is crucial. Studies consistently demonstrate that for OHCA patients, each minute of delay in EMS response correlates with a significant reduction in survival probability. For instance, research analyzing five years of data found that every additional minute of response time decreased the likelihood of survival to hospital discharge by approximately 6 to 7%. Moreover, survival at the scene and survival to emergency department (ED) admission also significantly decline with prolonged response times. The critical window for effective resuscitation is narrow; survival probability sharply falls within the first 20 minutes post-event, emphasizing the need for ultra-rapid response (Damdin et al., 2025).

Trauma patients exhibit similar patterns where rapid EMS arrival facilitates timely stabilization and transport, reducing mortality and morbidity. Studies report that trauma survival rates decrease incrementally with each minute of increased prehospital time, with thresholds below 8 to 10 minutes often associated with better outcomes (Chen et al., 2022).

7.2 Response Time Thresholds Associated with Improved Outcomes

A consensus emerges around response time thresholds, particularly the widely referenced 8-minute benchmark. EMS systems striving to maintain response times under 8 minutes achieve significantly higher survival rates post-cardiac arrest, with odds for survival to hospital discharge doubling in some reports when response occurs within this timeframe. This threshold aligns with standards such as those recommended by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), which advocate for response times within 5 to 8 minutes for critical incidents (Damdin et al., 2025).

Further stratifications find that response times under 4 to 6 minutes dramatically increase survival chances when combined with swift provision of CPR and defibrillation. For neurological outcomes post-cardiac arrest, thresholds around 7.5 to 11.5 minutes have been identified as critical for predicting favorable recovery, highlighting that both survival and quality of survival are time-dependent (Lee et al., 2019).

7.3 Evidence from Retrospective and Cohort Studies

Large-scale retrospective and cohort analyses provide robust evidence on the impact of EMS response times. One Taipei study with over 4,000 OHCA patients concluded that every minute delay in advanced life support arrival reduces survival to hospital discharge by 7% and favorable neurological outcomes by 9%. Other cohort studies validate these data, revealing that even marginal improvements in response times (e.g., from 8.3 to 7.9 minutes) meaningfully improve rates of return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and survival to discharge (Goniewicz et al., 2025).

Such studies also highlight that non-patient factors like time of day, dispatch reasons, and location influence EMS response time and consequently patient outcomes, indicating operational elements that EMS systems must optimize. Furthermore, consistent findings across diverse geographical and healthcare settings reinforce the universal importance of minimizing response delays (Chen et al., 2022).

7.4 Critical Analysis of Response Times and Clinical Effectiveness

While the evidence overwhelmingly supports the clinical importance of rapid EMS response, response time alone is not the sole determinant of patient outcomes. It is well recognized that factors such as quality of care at the scene, availability of advanced life support, bystander CPR, and underlying patient conditions modulate survival chances. Some analyses caution against an overemphasis on response time as a single performance metric, suggesting that effective EMS systems balance speed with clinical effectiveness and resource distribution (Swan & Baumstark, 2022). Moreover, certain studies distinguish between road travel time and other components of response time, with road time being a more reliable indicator of prehospital delay impact. It is also crucial to recognize that response times beyond optimal thresholds still confer benefits, especially in trauma, where timely initial interventions can mitigate injury severity (Swan & Baumstark, 2022).

8. Strategies to Improve Response Time

8.1 Technological Innovations

Modern emergency services benefit immensely from technological advancements. Mobile applications enable real-time communication between dispatchers, responders, and patients. GPS tracking systems provide precise location data for emergency vehicles, allowing dynamic route optimization to avoid congestion and delays. Advanced dispatch systems utilize artificial intelligence to prioritize calls based on severity and proximity, accelerating resource deployment. Additionally, emerging technologies such as drones assist in rapid scene assessment and delivery of critical supplies, while telemedicine tools facilitate remote patient monitoring and early care initiation before responders arrive (Tedesco et al., 2022).

8.2 Training and Education

Continuous professional development for emergency personnel is essential in maintaining readiness and efficiency. Regular training sessions, simulation drills, and cross-training with other first responders improve coordination and decision-making under pressure. Training enhances familiarity with new technologies and protocols, ensuring seamless integration of innovations into everyday operations. Emphasis on communication skills and leadership during emergencies can further reduce response times by expediting scene management and patient handoffs (Knox et al., 2013).

8.3 Resource Optimization

Effective resource allocation strategies significantly enhance response efficiency. Utilizing predictive analytics to position ambulances and emergency units in high-demand zones through dynamic deployment ensures quicker dispatch. Optimized scheduling aligns staff availability with peak demand periods, preventing shortages during critical times. The use of non-emergency transport units to handle low-acuity calls preserves advanced life support resources for urgent cases. Advanced algorithms help in selecting the fastest routes by considering real-time traffic data, further reducing travel time (Andersson et al., 2020).

8.4 Infrastructure Enhancements

Proximity and accessibility of ambulance stations play a crucial role in minimizing response times. Establishing emergency facilities near high-incidence areas or major thoroughfares ensures rapid dispatch. Traffic management systems integrated with emergency services can provide priority signaling and clear routes for emergency vehicles. Investments in road infrastructure aimed at easing congestion particularly in urban areas contribute to unobstructed ambulance movement. Additionally, integrated health record systems accessible by paramedics and dispatchers optimize patient care coordination at the scene and in transit (Luo et al., 2025).

8.5 Policy and Regulatory Considerations

Enforcing response time standards through regulatory frameworks obligates emergency services to meet defined benchmarks, improving accountability and service quality. Policies that mandate regular performance evaluations and transparent reporting promote continuous quality improvement. Funding allocation prioritizes investments in advanced technologies, personnel expansion, and infrastructure development. Regulatory support for interoperability among various emergency response agencies enhances coordination, resulting in reduced delays and improved patient outcomes (Da Ros et al., 2024).

9. Recent Advances and Future Directions in Response Time in Emergency Services

Innovative solutions such as drone delivery and AI-assisted dispatch have been significant recent advances aimed at reducing emergency response times. Drones have demonstrated feasibility in delivering automated external defibrillators (AEDs) and medical supplies with time advantages of several minutes compared to ambulances, especially in congested or difficult-to-access areas. In metropolitan areas, drones can reduce response times by approximately 30%, and by up to 50% in more remote regions, improving patient survival outcomes in critical cases such as cardiac arrests. AI and machine learning enhance emergency dispatch by rapidly analyzing call information, optimizing resource management, and predicting demand to allocate emergency services where needed most efficiently. AI also aids dispatchers by suggesting optimal routes and improving decision-making, thus expediting response and increasing responder safety (Jakobsen et al., 2025).

The COVID-19 pandemic significantly impacted emergency services' response times. Ambulance delays occurred due to uncertainty about patient destination and the scarcity of isolation units in emergency departments, particularly during pandemic waves. Early in the pandemic, categories of ambulance response times were longer, and many patients delayed seeking care, which adversely affected outcomes. However, emergency services adapted over time, resulting in improved reaction times in later pandemic stages due to strategic EMS adjustments, despite sustained high call volumes. Pandemic response led to unforeseen consequences, such as delayed assessments and lower optimal care, which influenced emergency response efficiency and patient safety (Park et al., 2023).

New metrics and comprehensive performance measures are emerging to better assess emergency department (ED) and emergency medical services (EMS) performance beyond simple response time. A multidimensional framework of ED performance metrics includes quality of care, time-efficiency, throughput, and analysis units (physician, disease, triage category) over various time frames. These metrics enable comprehensive internal decision-making and resource optimization, revealing inefficiencies and supporting tailored performance evaluations. This approach provides a more nuanced understanding of emergency care delivery and facilitates better management of care processes (Ayyan et al., 2023).

Despite advances, several research gaps and opportunities remain for future studies. Key areas include integrating drone technologies more seamlessly within EMS operations, optimizing AI algorithms to better balance priority calls and system strain, and developing validated multidimensional performance measures suitable for diverse geopolitical contexts. Additionally, more long-term, nationwide studies are needed to analyze EMS time intervals and outcomes, particularly in the aftermath of COVID-19. Investigations into the influence of geographic, temporal, and call-related factors on response times can further refine resource allocation and emergency care strategies (Hill et al., 2025).

Policy implications for health systems strengthening focus on improving the organization, governance, and planning of emergency care. The World Health Organization emphasizes scaling up emergency care services via frameworks integrating essential packages of emergency interventions, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. Legislation to ensure access to emergency care without prior payment, explicit integration of emergency care in universal health coverage, and technical assistance to build health system capacity are critical. Policies promoting adoption of innovative technologies and robust performance measurement frameworks are vital for sustainable emergency care improvements and outcome enhancement (Reynolds et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

Response time remains one of the most vital indicators of emergency service effectiveness and patient outcomes. This review highlights that rapid response is directly linked to improved survival and recovery, especially in time-sensitive emergencies such as cardiac arrest, trauma, and stroke. Historical evidence and modern standards, including the widely recognized 8-minute benchmark, demonstrate that minimizing response delays substantially enhances clinical outcomes. However, response time should not be viewed in isolation; it must be evaluated alongside quality of care, training, resource availability, and system coordination.

Multiple determinants influence response efficiency, including traffic congestion, geography, resource allocation, dispatcher performance, and technological integration. Emerging innovations such as GPS optimization, artificial intelligence-assisted dispatching, and drone deployment are reshaping the landscape of emergency response by reducing delays and improving coordination. Policymakers and emergency service providers must prioritize investments in infrastructure, staff training, and technology while tailoring benchmarks to regional and contextual realities.

Ultimately, improving response time is not solely about speed but about building resilient, integrated emergency systems capable of delivering timely, equitable, and high-quality care to all individuals in crisis. Continued research, performance evaluation, and innovation will remain essential to ensure that emergency response systems meet the growing demands of modern societies and global health challenges.

REFERENCES

1. Alavi-Moghaddam, M., Forouzanfar, R., Alamdari, S., Shahrami, A., Kariman, H., Amini, A., Pourbabaee, S., & Shirvani, A. (2012). Application of Queuing Analytic Theory to Decrease Waiting Times in Emergency Department: Does it Make Sense? Archives of Trauma Research, 1(3), 101–107. https://doi.org/10.5812/atr.7177
2. Alruwaili, A., & Alanazy, A. R. M. (2022). Prehospital Time Interval for Urban and Rural Emergency Medical Services: A Systematic Literature Review. Healthcare, 10(12), 2391. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10122391
3. Al-Shaqsi, S. Z. K. (2010). Response time as a sole performance indicator in EMS: Pitfalls and solutions. Open Access Emergency Medicine : OAEM, 2, 1–6.
4. Andersson, H., Granberg, T. A., Christiansen, M., Aartun, E. S., & Leknes, H. (2020). Using optimization to provide decision support for strategic emergency medical service planning – Three case studies. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 133, 103975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.103975
5. Ayyan, S. M., Anandhi, D., Ganessane, E., & Rahman, C. P. N. (2023). Enhancing Performance Metrics Capture in Emergency Departments through a Cost-effective Documentation System. Journal of Emergencies, Trauma, and Shock, 16(4), 196–197. https://doi.org/10.4103/jets.jets_61_23
6. Blanchard, I. E., Doig, C. J., Hagel, B. E., Anton, A. R., Zygun, D. A., Kortbeek, J. B., Powell, D. G., Williamson, T. S., Fick, G. H., & Innes, G. D. (2012). Emergency medical services response time and mortality in an urban setting. Prehospital Emergency Care, 16(1), 142–151. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2011.614046
7. Cabral, E. L. dos S., Castro, W. R. S., Florentino, D. R. de M., Viana, D. de A., Costa Junior, J. F. da, Souza, R. P. de, Rêgo, A. C. M., Araújo-Filho, I., & Medeiros, A. C. (2018). Response time in the emergency services. Systematic review. Acta Cirúrgica Brasileira, 33, 1110–1121. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0102-865020180120000009
8. Campbell, A., & Ellington, M. (2016). Reducing Time to First on Scene: An Ambulance-Community First Responder Scheme. Emergency Medicine International, 2016, 1915895. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1915895
9. Cantwell, K., Morgans, A., Smith, K., Livingston, M., Spelman, T., & Dietze, P. (2015). Time of Day and Day of Week Trends in EMS Demand. Prehospital Emergency Care, 19(3), 425–431.
10. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2014.995843
11. Chen, H.-A., Hsu, S.-T., Hsieh, M.-J., Sim, S.-S., Chu, S.-E., Yang, W.-S., Chien, Y.-C., Wang, Y.-C., Lee, B.-C., Huang, E. P.-C., Lin, H.-Y., Ma, M. H.-M., Chiang, W.-C., & Sun, J.-T. (2022). Influence of advanced life support response time on out-of-hospital cardiac arrest patient outcomes in Taipei. PLOS ONE, 17(4), e0266969. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266969
12. Da Ros, F., Di Gaspero, L., Roitero, K., La Barbera, D., Mizzaro, S., Della Mea, V., Valent, F., & Deroma, L. (2024). Supporting Fair and Efficient Emergency Medical Services in a Large Heterogeneous Region. Journal of Healthcare Informatics Research, 8(2), 400–437. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41666-023-00154-1
13. Damdin, S., Trakulsrichai, S., Yuksen, C., Sricharoen, P., Suttapanit, K., Tienpratarn, W., Liengswangwong, W., & Seesuklom, S. (2025). Effects of Emergency Medical Service Response Time on Survival Rate of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest Patients: A 5-Year Retrospective Study. Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine, 13(1), e36. https://doi.org/10.22037/aaemj.v13i1.2596
14. Ely, R. M., Schwartz, D. S., Liu, J. M., Jura, K. F., Overberger, R., Krohmer, J. R., & Cornelius, A. (2025). Role of EMS in Disaster Response—A Position Statement and Resource Document of NAEMSP. Prehospital Emergency Care, 29(3), 315–321. https://doi.org/10.1080/10903127.2025.2466754
15. Feizollahzadeh, H., Safa, B., Rajaei, R., Dadashzadeh, A., & Bari, A. (2022). Assessment of Ambulance Response Time: A Study of Tabriz Emergency Medical Center, Tabriz City, Iran. Health in Emergencies and Disasters Quarterly, 7(3), 135–144. https://doi.org/10.32598/hdq.7.3.416.1
16. Goniewicz, M., Bednarz, K., Al-Wathinani, A. M., & Goniewicz, K. (2025). Assessment of Emergency Medical Service (EMS) response times and operational factors in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA): A retrospective analysis. Postępy w Kardiologii Interwencyjnej = Advances in Interventional Cardiology, 21(1), 25–36. https://doi.org/10.5114/aic.2024.145345
17. Hill, P., Lederman, J., Jonsson, D., Bolin, P., & Vicente, V. (2025). Understanding EMS response times: A machine learning-based analysis. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 25, 143. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-025-02975-z
18. Huabbangyang, T., Sangketchon, C., Piewthamai, K., Saengmanee, K., Ruangchai, K., Bunkhamsaen, N., Keawjanrit, P., & Tonsawan, R. (2022). Perception and Satisfaction of Patients' Relatives Regarding Emergency Medical Service Response Times: A Cross-Sectional Study. Open Access Emergency Medicine : OAEM, 14, 155–163. https://doi.org/10.2147/OAEM.S360114
19. Ishikawa, N., Tomita, K., Shimazui, T., Tochigi, Y., & Nakada, T. (2024). Increased number of dispatches in emergency medical services correlates to response time extension. Acute Medicine & Surgery, 11(1), e70017.
20. https://doi.org/10.1002/ams2.70017
21. Jakobsen, L. K., Kjærulf, V., Bray, J., Olasveengen, T. M., & Folke, F. (2025). Drones delivering automated external defibrillators for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A scoping review. Resuscitation Plus, 21, 100841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100841

22. Khan, N. (2022). Ambulance response times: The NHS's falling house of cards. The British Journal of General Practice, 72(722), 436–437. https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp22X720605
23. Knox, S., Cullen, W., & Dunne, C. (2013). Continuous professional competence (CPC) for emergency medical technicians in Ireland: Educational needs assessment. BMC Emergency Medicine, 13, 25.
24. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-227X-13-25
25. Lee, D. W., Moon, H. J., & Heo, N. H. (2019). Association between ambulance response time and neurologic outcome in patients with cardiac arrest. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 37(11), 1999–2003.
26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2019.02.021
27. Luo, W., Yao, J., Mitchell, R., Zhang, X., & Li, W. (2025). Location optimization of emergency medical services: Considering joint service coverage of ambulances and emergency centers. Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 52(1), 150–167. https://doi.org/10.1177/23998083241253108
28. McQuestin, D., & Noguchi, M. (2020). Worth the wait: The impact of government funding on hospital emergency waiting times. Health Policy (Amsterdam, Netherlands), 124(12), 1340–1344.
29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2020.09.008
30. Naboureh, A., Farrokhi, M., Saatchi, M., Ahmadi, S., & Farzinnia, B. (2024). Challenges in Emergency Medical Services in Mega Cities: A Qualitative Study in Iran. Bulletin of Emergency & Trauma, 12(4), 185–192. https://doi.org/10.30476/beat.2024.104033.1543
31. Nehme, Z., Andrew, E., & Smith, K. (2016). Factors Influencing the Timeliness of Emergency Medical Service Response to Time Critical Emergencies. Prehospital Emergency Care, 20(6), 783–791.
32. https://doi.org/10.3109/10903127.2016.1164776
33. Nyman, P. (2023). The Critical Importance of Early Emergency Response: Saving Lives in the First Hour. Journal of Labor and Childbirth, 6(5), 135–136.
34. Ota, F. S., Muramatsu, R. S., Yoshida, B. H., & Yamamoto, L. G. (2001). GPS computer navigators to shorten EMS response and transport times. The American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 19(3), 204–205.
35. https://doi.org/10.1053/ajem.2001.22662
36. Park, Y. J., Song, K. J., Hong, K. J., Park, J. H., Kim, T. H., Kim, Y. S., & Lee, S. H. (2023). The Impact of the COVID-19 Outbreak on Emergency Medical Service: An Analysis of Patient Transportations and Time Intervals. Journal of Korean Medical Science, 38(42), e317. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2023.38.e317
37. Reynolds, T. A., Sawe, H., Rubiano, A. M., Shin, S. D., Wallis, L., & Mock, C. N. (2017). Strengthening Health Systems to Provide Emergency Care. In Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty. 3rd edition. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-0527-1_ch13
38. Saberi, F., Adib-Hajbaghery, M., & Zohrehie, J. (2017). The effects of public education through Short Message Service on the time from symptom onset to hospital arrival in patients with myocardial infarction: A field trial. ARYA Atherosclerosis, 13(3), 97–102.
39. Svensson, A., Nilsson, B., Lantz, E., Bremer, A., Årestedt, K., & Israelsson, J. (2024). Response times in rural areas for emergency medical services, fire and rescue services and voluntary first responders during out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. Resuscitation Plus, 17, 100548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resplu.2023.100548
40. Swan, D., & Baumstark, L. (2022). Does Every Minute Really Count? Road Time as an Indicator for the Economic Value of Emergency Medical Services. Value in Health, 25(3), 400–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2021.09.009
41. Tedesco, D., Capodici, A., Gribaudo, G., Di Valerio, Z., Montalti, M., Salussolia, A., Barbagallo, V., Rolli, M., Fantini, M., & Gori, D. (2022). Innovative Health Technologies to Improve Emergency Department Performance. The European Journal of Public Health, 32(Suppl 3), ckac131.169. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckac131.169
42. Werner, K., Risko, N., Burkholder, T., Munge, K., Wallis, L., & Reynolds, T. (2020). Cost-effectiveness of emergency care interventions in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 98(5), 341–352. https://doi.org/10.2471/BLT.19.241158