TPM Vol. 32, No. S8, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

DIGITAL RADIOGRAPHY ADOPTION: A REVIEW OF CHALLENGES, BENEFITS, AND FUTURE TRENDS

ABDULAZIZ MOHAMMED N ALKHORAIF[1], AFNAN SALH BAKR HAWSAWI[2], ALANOUD MOHAMMED KADER ALHOSAWI[3], AMAL ABDUL RAHMAN IBRAHIM[4], KHALID MIQAD AYED ALRUWAYS[5], MAYMONA SALEH BARNAWY[6], SAAD MUSFER SAAD ALOSAIMI[7], SARAH IBRAHIM ABDULMAJEED ALSHALLALI[8], SULTAN MOHAMMED ALJUMAID[9], THAMER SULIMAN ALRAMMAH[10]

[1.] XRAY TECHNICIAN, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH
[2.] RADIOLOGY TECHNICIAN, KING FAHAD HOSPITAL, MADINAH AL MUNAWWARAH
[3.] RADIOLOGY TECHNICIAN, WOMEN AND CHILDREN HEALTH CLINIC AT ALQASIR MALL, RIYADH, FIRST HEALTH CLUSTER
[4.] RADIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH
[5.] TECHNICIAN X-RAY, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH
[6.] X-RAY TECHNICIAN, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL
[7.] RADIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH
[8.] RADIOLOGY TECHNOLOGIST, DURMA GENERAL HOSPITAL, THIRD HEALTH CLUSTER
[9.] XRAY TECHNICIAN, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH
[10.] XRAY TECHNICIAN, KING SALMAN HOSPITAL, RIYADH

ABSTRACT

Background: Digital radiography is an advancement in imaging. It substitutes older film-based systems with faster, more accurate, and more versatile technologies. It enhances the quality of the diagnosis, optimizes workflow processes, improves patient safety, and facilitates accelerated access to imaging results.

Objectives: This work aims to assess the digital radiography feature, its benefits, implementation challenges, and its ethics. It also reviews clinical and operational outcomes for healthcare organizations implementing new digital imaging technologies.

Methods: A comprehensive literature review was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Google Scholar, focusing on studies from 2010 to 2025. Both qualitative and quantitative research were involved. We adopted thematic analysis to identify patterns of trends, benefits, challenges and ethical issues associated with digital radiography implementation in various healthcare settings.

Conclusion: Digital radiography enhances image quality, diagnostic performance, workflow efficiency, and patient safety. The use decreases the exposure to radiation, increases the speed at which reports are made available, and aids in better record keeping. The issues include high implementation costs, training the workforce, resistance to change, and data security concerns. This highlights the importance of maintaining patient privacy, informed consent, and equitable access to technology.

Ultimately, the use of digital radiography improves patient care, clinical outcomes, and practice productivity. However, they can only work if there is proper planning, appropriate training for workers, adherence to rules and internal support from the institution. Technological advances, automation and widespread implementation into diverse healthcare settings will characterize future trends.

Keywords: digital radiography, diagnostic imaging, technology adoption, patient safety, workflow efficiency, ethical considerations

BACKGROUND

Digital radiography has improved a lot since it was originally used. In traditional film-based radiography, X-ray pictures are taken on film and then chemically processed. But digital technology has also made it much easier to access, shoot, and keep up with, all without losing picture quality. Quality assessment should be predicated on a conceptual and operational definition of "quality medical care." At this fundamental level, numerous issues arise as the definition of quality of care is a significant obstacle [2]. Pragmatic concerns: understanding which values and

dimensions are pertinent in each situation and at any given moment is addressed in just a fraction of empirical works. In their study, 24 individuals characterized as "administrative officials" proposed 80 criteria to evaluate "patient care" (Klein et al.). They concluded that "it is likely that no single, overarching standard of care will exist by which to assess the quality of patient care" and, akin to morale, "patient care cannot, in itself, be treated as a single concept" [3]. Radiography aids in the identification of diseases or fractures in the teeth and bones, examination of the jaw and mouth cavity structure, identification of arthritic joint deviations, and detection of bone tumors. As outlined in [4], radiographers function as members of the medical staff and healthcare division within a medical organization. Their role encompasses performing thousands of complex diagnostic and therapeutic procedures daily, necessitating a robust technical foundation, comprehensive theoretical knowledge, and demonstrated clinical experience.

Purpose of the Work

The main goal of this review is to give a full picture of how digital radiography is being used in healthcare systems, with an emphasis on its effects on technology, organizations, and clinical practice. The effort aims to pinpoint the primary advantages of shifting from traditional film-based radiography to digital systems, encompassing enhancements in workflow efficiency, diagnostic precision, and patient care outcomes. The assessment also wants to examine the issues and challenges that healthcare organizations face when they try to use new technologies, such as technical difficulties, money problems, and concerns about people. Another key objective is to examine the ethical considerations and best practices associated with digital radiography, such as safeguarding patient information, maintaining safe radiation exposure levels, and ensuring equitable access to advanced imaging technologies. This work aims to develop an evidence-based guide for healthcare providers, administrators, and policymakers by integrating current evidence from various studies and clinical reports. The aim is to assist individuals in making smart decisions and using digital radiography properly in different medical situations.

METHODS

A thorough and organized search was done to find, evaluate, and combine all the important information about how digital radiography is moving into the clinical setting. To ensure a balanced integration of empirical facts and experiential insights, qualitative as well as quantitative investigations were considered. A search, restricted to publications relevant to the last fifteen years, was thus conducted through several databases including PubMed, Scopus, CINAHL, and Google Scholar to reflect current trends and technological advances in the area of radiography. Search terms and keywords such as "digital radiography adoption", "radiology workflow", "technology integration in healthcare", "patient outcomes" and "radiography challenges" were appropriately combined and utilized to encompass a wide yet relevant area of research. We set inclusion criteria to select studies on the adoption of digital radiography systems or on the effects of digital radiography on clinical-efficiency, diagnostic accuracy, staff workflow, or patient care outcomes. To support the generalizability of the results, we prioritized research findings related to tertiary hospitals, outpatient imaging centers, community clinics and specialized imaging facilities. A comprehensive thematic analysis was then performed on each of the selected studies to identify common themes, challenges, enablers, and best practices related to the uptake of digital radiography. The data drilldown process centered on technological, organizational, and ethical issues, including training needs, costs, workflow efficiency, and patient privacy. Subsequently, qualitative insights were integrated with quantitative findings using a narrative synthesis approach to provide broader understanding on the practical, clinical and managerial dimensions of adopting digital radiography into real-world health care delivery.

DISCUSSION

The effect of digital radiography on the accuracy of hospital diagnoses

Digital radiography has made hospital diagnostics better by making them more precise, quicker, and safer for patients. One of the best parts of digital radiography is that it creates very clear images with a lot of detailed information. These high-quality images [5] assist radiologists in identifying minor issues that may be overlooked in standard film radiographs. Advanced software tools also let you change the brightness and contrast of the image on the fly, which makes it easier to see different types of tissues and possible disorders. The ability to do this is very significant for finding and diagnosing a wide range of medical conditions with more accuracy. Digital radiography has also made hospitals' diagnostic workflows far more efficient.

Digital radiography is a way to get pictures that happens almost instantly. Traditional film radiography, on the other hand, takes a long time to develop and dry the film. Because photos are available rapidly, it takes less time to proceed from imaging to diagnosis, which speeds up clinical decisions [6]. Also, using digital radiography, which makes the workflow more efficient, means that more patients may be treated, patients don't have to wait as long, and the hospital runs more smoothly overall. Being able to look at digital images right away cuts down on the amount of times you have to do repeat inspections because the image quality is bad, which saves time and money.

Digitizing radiography procedures makes it easier for people to talk to each other and get to things inside and outside of the hospital. Picture Archiving and Communications Systems (PACS) [7] make it easier to store and find digital photos quickly. This technology not only makes it easy to find both current and old photos, but it also lets healthcare workers share photos with each other. This smooth contact is especially helpful for interdisciplinary teams and getting second views, both of which lead to better and more complete patient care. Additionally, the ability to view digital radiography from a distance makes telemedicine viable. This lets professionals work with patients and share their knowledge in areas that are far away or don't have enough resources [8].

Digital radiography has made a lot of progress in another important area: keeping patients safe. Digital systems usually use less radiation than traditional film radiography, which means that patients are exposed to less radiation that could be harmful. This decrease in the quantity of radiation given is especially important for individuals who need to have multiple imaging exams done over time. Also, being able to quickly check the quality of an image means that fewer images need to be taken, which means less radiation exposure. Diagnostic imaging is safer for the patient as a whole when there are fewer re-imaging operations and lower radiation exposures [9].

Also, hospitals that use digital radiography can save money and help the environment at the same time. The initial cost of digital radiography equipment may be high, but over time, it will save money because you won't have to pay for film, chemicals, or physical storage. Digital storage solutions cut down on the need for physical space, which means that space can be used for other important medical tasks [10]. Digital radiography eliminates the requirement for hazardous chemicals used in film processing, hence fostering the advancement of environmentally sustainable medical procedures.

Digital radiography has drastically changed how hospitals diagnose and treat patients. When you can quickly and easily make high-quality photos, you can find ailments earlier and keep a better eye on them. Being able to quickly find and look at old images makes it easier to do thorough checks on patients. This helps in keeping an eye on how well treatments are working and how healthy a person is [11]. These enhancements result in quicker diagnostic outcomes, which in turn help soothe the patients and make it possible to carry out medical interventions promptly. Digital radiography, which makes it easier to coordinate care, makes sure that patients get the best treatment possible by using the most up-to-date and accurate information.

Tools: Advantages of digital radiography (DR)

The emergence of computed radiography (CR) and digital radiography (DR) imaging technologies has revolutionized imaging departments and enables the possibility of less radiation exposure during conventional imaging operations [12]. Digital radiography (DR) has numerous advantages over traditional film-based radiography. The most notable one is that it speeds up and improves medical diagnostics. One of the best things about it is that the pictures seem better. Digital radiography can display clearer pictures, which means it can discover diseases that are hard to see and more detailed portions of the body. You might not be able to see these items in regular movies. Also, doctors can view different tissues and diseases much better since modern software tools let radiologists change the contrast and brightness levels as they are imaging.

Digital radiography makes it easy to keep track of patient information and speeds up the work of medical imaging departments. Digital technologies make it possible to take pictures till the moment of capture, which cuts down on the time it takes to go from imaging to diagnosis. Doctors can make judgments regarding patients more easily since this information is so easy to get to [13]. Digital radiography also bypasses the stages of processing and drying film. This makes the workflow easier, reduces down on patient wait times, and speeds up the flow of patients through the imaging department. It also provides the possibility for a radiologist to look at it straight away, which means it gets a good appraisal right away.

to make sure they meet the standards, which means that scans won't have to be done again since the pictures aren't good enough. This digital radiography technology also makes it easy to find and save medical images. Picture archiving and communication systems (PACS) is what PACS stands for. It is used to store pictures in a way that makes it easy to find them, maintain them for a long time, and handle imaging data well [14]. Works with Remote Access: This integration lets users see images from anywhere, which makes it easier for specialists to care for patients from a distance and gain second opinions. These kinds of talents are very useful for offering tailored care to rural areas that don't get enough of it.

Digital radiography is a huge step forward in this area, and technology has also been great for patient safety in this field. Digital systems [15], on the other hand, use less radiation than film systems, therefore patients are exposed to less radiation that could be harmful. Patients don't have to take the picture again as often if they can quickly evaluate how nice it is. This means they are exposed to less radiation overall. This higher level of safety is especially important for people who need a lot of imaging tests over a long period of time.

Digital radiography is good for the economy and the environment in many ways. The initial cost of digital radiography equipment can be high, however this is offset by lower continuing expenditures for films, chemicals, and physical storage space, which leads to long-term savings [16]. Digital radiography does not need chemicals to develop film, which means less hazardous waste is made and health care becomes better for the environment. So, this means that digital radiography has less of an effect on the environment.

Digital radiography also has numerous diagnostic uses. Radiologists can automatically locate areas of irregularity that imply a problem, make fewer mistakes, and be more accurate in their diagnoses by using computer-aided detection (CAD) systems in addition to their own efforts. It is easy to get high-quality digital photos, which nearly no condition can make routine but allows for precise tracking of disease progression and therapy response. This can lead to better results for patients. This simple access to old photos makes it possible to do thorough longitudinal studies, which lets doctors keep an eye on and manage patients' health over time [17].

These machines use a flat plate detector (FPD) that turns X-rays directly into electrical impulses. The FPD is made up of a set of photodiode or amorphous selenium (a-Se) detectors [18]. Direct DR gives you great picture details right away because it doesn't need any processing time. This is because this form of imaging has a high spatial resolution. In short, using digital radiography makes the patient experience much better. Patients get their results faster because imaging and processing times are shorter. This calms their nerves and lets doctors start therapy sooner. Sharing photos and messages with other clinicians is easy, which helps make care more coordinated and effective for patients. This helps make sure that patients get the best treatment possible based on the best and most up-to-date information [19].

Securing the future of radiography

In conclusion, the most important thing radiography does is help doctors figure out what's wrong with patients, treat them, and take care of them. It gives healthcare staff the knowledge that they need to make good choices [20]. The examination included four hospitals, and they were asked challenge questions [21]. They have too much work and not enough people to do it. To solve these problems and make sure that patients continue to get high-quality medical treatment, the workforce will need to grow by hiring and keeping new imaging specialists and improving radiologic education and training [22]. It includes giving students hands-on clinical experience, high-tech tools and resources, and enough academic help and supervision [23]. To ensure the future viability of radiography as a profession and eventually improve patient outcomes and the general efficiency of global healthcare systems, these concerns must be addressed.

Difficulties and moral issues

Healthcare companies need to be very careful when dealing with the many big problems that come with digital radiography in order for it to be successful. Moving from traditional design to digital architecture requires a lot of money for things like digital imaging equipment, software platforms, and secure data storage solutions. Many businesses find this to be a costly burden [24]. Another big problem is training and getting personnel used to new things. Radiographers, technicians, and doctors who need to learn how to use the digital equipment without affecting the quality of diagnosis may find it harder to really practice medicine. People will naturally oppose change, and workflows will break down as a result [25]. This could affect the staff's productivity and the patients' treatment. Digital radiography also raises basic ethical issues concerning patient privacy, data protection, and informed permission when images are stored, shared, or communicated digitally [26]. Providers must follow all data privacy laws and make sure that important medical information is no longer putting private information at risk of being exposed [27]. Lastly, we need to think about how to make sure everyone has fair access to new imaging technology. Differences in urban and rural health care facilities or public and private institutions can also cause an unfair gap in patient treatment. To reduce these risks but get the most out of digital radiography's clinical and operational benefits, we need a mix of technical help, staff training, policy development, and ongoing monitoring of risk mitigation [28].

CONCLUSION

Digital radiography is a new way to take pictures of the inside of the body. This makes it effective, precise, safe for patients, and better for their treatment. But these systems have problems, such as problems with technology, money, structure, and morals. But for it to work, you need to plan carefully, have the right resources, train staff, and follow the right protocols to make sure that patients' data is safe and that everyone has fair access to it. As healthcare systems move more and more toward digital technologies, knowing the pros and cons of digital radiography can help people make good decisions and keep technology integration going. The review shows that even though the path to digital radiography may be difficult, using the right data-driven methods and carefully thinking about ethical responsibilities can greatly improve patient care, make workflows better, and make it possible to provide modern, patient-centered healthcare.

REFERENCES

[1] Kapapa, N., Bwanga, O., Sichone, J. M., Kafwimbi, S., & Ohene-Botwe, B. (2025). Using a digital transformation framework to explore the experiences of radiographers in the use of digital radiography in a low-resource setting. Radiography, 31(1), 75-82.

[2] Rawashdeh, M., Ali, M. A., McEntee, M., El-Sayed, M., Saade, C., Kashabash, D., & England, A. (2025). Assessment of the role of experience in shaping sustainability perceptions within radiography. Radiography, 31(1), 103-111.

[3] Abuzaid, M., Almuqbil, N., Emery, C. V., Elshami, W., Ibham, M., Alsubaie, N., ... & Aljamal, M. (2025). Bridging the gap in sustainable radiography: insights from five countries in Asia and Africa. BMC Health Services Research, 25(1), 1-10.
[4] Jha, S., Gulhane, A., & Jankharia, B. (2025). How Regulations Affect Technology Adoption—The Case of Ultrasound in INDIA. Academic Radiology.
[5] Sophia, E. (2025). Radiography Education in the UAE Amidst COVID-19: Transitioning to Virtual Learning and Practical Skills Gaps.
[6] Elechi, U. S., Adeoye, A. F., Obiya, S. O., Umar, S. A., Ezeamii, V. C., Iwu, P., ... & Abone, K. (2025). Digital Transformation in Radiography Practice in Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Medical Science, Biology, and Chemistry, 2(1), 92-103.
[7] Schnitzler, C., & Bohnet-Joschko, S. (2025, May). Technology Readiness Drives Digital Adoption in Dentistry: Insights from a Cross-Sectional Study. In Healthcare (Vol. 13, No. 10, p. 1155). MDPI.
[8] Elechi, U. S., Adeoye, A. F., Obiya, S. O., Umar, S. A., Ezeamii, V. C., Iwu, P., ... & Abone, K. (2025). Digital Transformation in Radiography Practice in Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Medical Science, Biology, and Chemistry, 2(1), 92-103.
[9] Ali, S., Chand, S., Khan, M., Shaktawat, N., Chauhan, M., & Kavitake, R. D. (2025). Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and adoption of green dentistry among dentists in Jodhpur city. International Journal of Preventive and Clinical Dental Research, 12(1), 9-11.
[10] Abuzaid, M., Almuqbil, N., Emery, C. V., Elshami, W., Ibham, M., Alsubaie, N., ... & Aljamal, M. (2025). Bridging the gap in sustainable radiography: insights from five countries in Asia and Africa. BMC Health Services Research, 25(1), 1-10.
[11] Sampaio-Oliveira, M., Gonzalez-Passos, T., Gaêta-Araujo, H., Dagassan-Berndt, D., Bornstein, M. M., Freitas, D. Q., ... & Oliveira, M. L. (2025). Intraoral digital radiography: A comprehensive report on the technical specifications of current and historical systems. Imaging Science in Dentistry, 55(1), 72.
[12] Eskandarinezhad, M., Razi, S., Pirzadeh, T., Bagheri Sabzevar, S., Nazari, L., & Akhondian, S. (2025). Investigation of Voids in the Apical Plug of MTA Using Cone-Beam Computed Tomography, Digital Radiography, and Analog Radiography. Journal of Dentistry.
[13] KHAN, M., & GILLIS, T. (2025). Evaluating Image Quality: A Comparative Study of Computed Radiography and Digital Radiography in Aerospace Applications.
[14] Abdallah, Y., & Alamoudi, A. (2025). Evaluation of Patient Radiation Exposure during Common Digital Radiography Procedures in a Saudi Tertiary Hospital. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 113085.
[15] Balla, V., Bathla, S., Regalla, R. R., Anand, A., Neha, K., Agrawal, P., & Kumari, A. (2025). Knowledge, attitude and practice of endodontic radiographic techniques among dentists: A survey. Bioinformation, 21(5), 1093-1097.
[16] Federico, L., Roletto, A., Catania, D., Zanoni, S., & Durante, S. (2025). Leading radiography managers into a greener future: A systematic review of green transformational leadership and sustainable practices. Radiography, 31(4), 102996.
[17] Jalal, R. B., & Saini, L. (2025). Patient Perceptions and Acceptance of Digital Radiography and Teleradiology in India: A Mixed-Methods Study. Interdisciplinary Journal of the African Alliance for Research, Advocacy and Innovation, 44-53.
[18] Wang, X., Dong, B., Xing, F., Hong, S., Huang, X., & Chen, J. (2025). A quantitative method for water content in cement paste with different water-to-cement ratios based on X-ray digital radiography. Construction and Building Materials, 494, 143344.
[19] Bundalla, F. S., & Edward, J. (2025). Evaluating the Availability of Radiological Diagnostic Imaging Equipment for Digital Imaging Informatics Systems in Tanzania's Health Facilities. Journal of Adult Education in Tanzania, 26(2), 131-137.
[20] Sophia, E. (2025). Radiography Education in the UAE Amidst COVID-19: Transitioning to Virtual Learning and Practical Skills Gaps.
[21] Wei, Q., Song, W., Fu, L., Yi, L., & Wang, Q. (2025). Digital Radiography-Based Pneumoconiosis Diagnosis via Vision Transformer Networks. Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 7, 39.
[22] Rad, A. M. S. T., Nugroho, A., & Yusnida, A. M. (2025). Effectiveness of Using Analog Grid and Virtual Grid in Thoracic Radiography Examination. Jurnal Teknokes, 18(3), 157-165.
[23] Zhang, S., Li, Z., Ye, H., An, X., Xu, J., Chen, Z., ... & Li, Y. (2025). Data mining evoking scintillators auto-discovery for low-LoD high-resolution deep-penetrating X-ray imaging of portable digital radiography. Advanced Functional Materials, 35(7), 2415220.
[24] Hussner, E. D., Sundby, S., Outzen, C. B., Jensen, J., Tingberg, A., & Precht, H. (2025). How does intelligent noise reduction software influence the image quality in pelvic digital radiography; a phantom study. Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences, 56(2), 101814.

[25] Ghazizadeh, M., Deevband, M. R., Kardan, M. R., & Tavakoli, M. (2025). A survey on the determination of diagnostic reference levels in analog and digital radiography in Iran: a systematic literature review. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 201(6), 432-440.
[26] Abdallah, Y., & Alamoudi, A. (2025). Evaluation of Patient Radiation Exposure during Common Digital Radiography Procedures in a Saudi Tertiary Hospital. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 113085.
[27] KHAN, M., & GILLIS, T. (2025). Evaluating Image Quality: A Comparative Study of Computed Radiography and Digital Radiography in Aerospace Applications.
[28] Rama, K., Esser, M., Spogis, J., Wanninger, F., Hoberg, B., & Schäfer, J. F. (2025, February). Dose-Optimized Image Acquisition Parameters for Neonatal Chest Radiography: A Phantom Study Comparing Computed Radiography and Wireless Digital Radiography Needle Detectors. In RöFo-Fortschritte auf dem Gebiet der Röntgenstrahlen und der bildgebenden Verfahren (Vol. 186). Georg Thieme Verlag KG