

DIGITAL ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN MENTAL HEALTH CARE: A QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES IN SAUDI ARABIA

FAWZIAH ALZHRANI¹, FATIMAH MOHAMED MAHDY HASSAN²

¹COLLEGE OF BUSINESS, KING KHALID UNIVERSITY, 445817916@kku.edu.sa

²COLLEGE OF BUSINESS, KING KHALID UNIVERSITY, fmhassan@kku.edu.sa

Abstract

Digital entrepreneurship has emerged as a transformative force in the mental healthcare sector, offering innovative solutions to critical challenges such as stigma, accessibility, and service availability. This qualitative study examines the impact of digital entrepreneurship on mental health services in Saudi Arabia, drawing on the perceptions and insights of mental health professionals and entrepreneurs. In-depth interviews were conducted with psychiatrists (n = 3), psychologists (n = 3), and mental health advocates from civil society organizations (n = 3). The thematic analysis yielded three central themes: the benefits of integrating digital media into mental health service delivery, the challenges inherent in providing care through digital platforms, and the role of digital media in supporting the enhancement and ongoing development of mental health services. The findings highlight the potential of digital platforms to enhance mental health privacy and literacy, support informed decision-making, and improve the availability and accessibility of mental health services. However, challenges such as patient credibility, the treatment team's competence, treatment cost, limited insurance coverage, and poor internet connectivity were identified. The study highlights the crucial role of digital entrepreneurs in fostering social innovation and improving connectivity in mental health services. Recommendations include designing and implementing new digital mental health services strategies, innovating systematic methods for family involvement, and adhering to professional ethics and therapeutic guidelines. The integration of digital technology into the mental health system in Saudi Arabia has the potential to provide accessible, available, and affordable care, guiding researchers to gather further evidence to enhance practices and contribute to the development of a comprehensive and sustainable mental healthcare system.

Keywords: Digital entrepreneurship, Mental health care, Saudi Arabia, Accessibility, Service availability, Digital platforms, Qualitative analysis

1. INTRODUCTION

The advancement of digital technologies has transformed several industries worldwide, and the mental healthcare field is no exception. Digital entrepreneurship has emerged as a significant force within the healthcare sector in recent years, providing innovative solutions to critical challenges, including stigma, accessibility, and service availability. In the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, where there have been social and traditional barriers to mental health awareness and services, digital platforms provide an appropriate avenue for bridging gaps in mental healthcare access. Digital entrepreneurship in this field harnesses tools such as mobile applications, teletherapy platforms, and AI-driven mental health resources, enabling patients and practitioners to connect in ways previously impossible.

Although digital solutions offer several opportunities, they also present new challenges, including privacy concerns, patient trust, and the need for technological literacy among both users and providers. The purpose of this study is to examine the dual effect of digital entrepreneurship on mental healthcare in Saudi Arabia, exploring both its expected benefits and inherent challenges. This study offers insights into the evolving role of digital entrepreneurship in enhancing mental well-being services in Saudi Arabia, drawing on qualitative analysis of insights from entrepreneurs, mental health professionals, and advocates. This research will be the foundation for optimizing the utilization of digital platforms to support mental health initiatives in the region.

1.1 Research Question

How does digital entrepreneurship impact the accessibility, quality, and efficacy of mental healthcare services in Saudi Arabia, considering both the benefits and challenges of digital platforms?

This research examines the dual impact of digital entrepreneurship on mental health services in Saudi Arabia. This study examined the positive impact of digital platforms on enhancing mental health privacy. In addition, the study addresses critical challenges, including patient credibility, digital literacy, and Internet connectivity, which may impact the quality of digital mental health interventions.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

Technology has empowered a new age of entrepreneurship, as entrepreneurs discover digital tools that enable new ventures to capitalize on commercial opportunities worldwide. Digital entrepreneurship is highly topical, as technological advances and infrastructure developments create numerous opportunities for entrepreneurs.

Digital entrepreneurship is transforming existing businesses and creating new ventures by developing and utilizing novel digital technologies [1]. It involves utilizing digital tools, such as web-based applications and information, to offer services and goods to consumers. The digital entrepreneurship-based businesses entail IT and traditional business knowledge, translating to entrepreneurs requiring technical and business-related knowledge and skills, a dual mastery that is not easily acquired. This implies that digital entrepreneurs can be classified as research-based or imitation entrepreneurs, who utilize digital media to pursue broader entrepreneurial opportunities [2], [3].

2.2 Characteristics

The features of digital entrepreneurship, transformation, and their relationships are complex and critical in understanding this digital age. This understanding is considered a crucial pillar of economic growth, job creation, and innovation. However, several issues regarding digital entrepreneurship and transformation are predominant, hindering digital entrepreneurs from optimizing the advantages that digital entrepreneurship contributes to business value [4]. Digital entrepreneurship plays a crucial role in social innovation. It directly influences innovation, given that the platform relies on technological tools. Technological innovation has been rapid and dynamic, with various tools being developed daily. Digital technology drives social innovation, making it a critical component in social development [5]. Technological security, job displacement, and environmental sustainability are some challenges in digital innovation. This study examines how technological innovation and entrepreneurship can be aligned to drive social innovation. Creating value from technological innovations is considered a fundamental driver for supporting community growth and development. Social innovation involves the development of technological ideas that enhance business processes, making them more effective and efficient. Technology enables entrepreneurs to venture into business-utilizing technologies [6]. There are numerous technological opportunities, and venturing into these opportunities is crucial for driving social innovation. However, failure is a serious issue that affects social innovation as it sends a negative signal [7]. Failed digital entrepreneurs send negative messages to upcoming entrepreneurs, which may discourage them from venturing into business. However, a closer examination of the frequency of failure and the factors contributing to it reveals more. This helps entrepreneurs develop mechanisms to overcome challenges and succeed in their business.

Digital entrepreneurs play a crucial role in addressing numerous social challenges related to innovation that impact communities. Digital entrepreneurs bridge the gap due to a lack of access to social services, often caused by distance [8]. Through digital tools, entrepreneurs can provide solutions for communities. Societies can access healthcare, banking, communication, and education services through digital platforms courtesy of digital entrepreneurs. This increase in access to services through technology shows the Diffusion of Innovation Theory. This theory explains how innovations in digital spaces have spread over time. Digital entrepreneurs play a crucial role in driving the diffusion of social innovation, which aids in social development [9]. The theory plays a critical role in social innovation. Social problems are diverse, and the use of digital entrepreneurship as a means to address these issues is necessary.

The social network theory examines the structure of social relationships. Digital entrepreneurs can use this theory to develop digital solutions to enhance social relations [10]. Digital entrepreneurs operate within a network of stakeholders, investors, and mentors. These networks provide access to resources, accelerate development, and provide society with tools for communication and networking. Using this theory, digital entrepreneurs can focus on this area of social networks and develop tools that enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of a network's functionality [11]. Innovation is necessary because societies rely on networks to communicate, share resources, and access opportunities. Social network theory further highlights the benefits of social network density in facilitating the flow of innovation and resource distribution [12]. The density of social networks is essential, as digital entrepreneurs can utilize this information to determine which areas need social networks more and invest in those areas. Social innovation is the driving factor that helps societies develop [13]. Development originates from social solutions that

use digital tools. Digital entrepreneurs can transform their business landscape by developing social innovations to address pressing social issues, such as pandemics.

Digital solutions have a direct effect on social communication. Young entrepreneurs utilize digital solutions, such as social media platforms, to communicate [14]. Communication is a powerful tool in business as it enhances communication between clients and businesses [15]. Furthermore, it notes that digital entrepreneurs utilize various digital tools to facilitate communication and access to information. Communication can be a direct, one-on-one exchange of information between buyers and sellers. It can also be a buyer who uses technology to search for information regarding a given brand. This demonstrates how digital entrepreneurs use technology to communicate and complement social innovation. Digital entrepreneurs' curiosity drives them to develop various tools that ultimately contribute to social innovation [16]. This finding suggests that digital entrepreneurship plays a significant role in driving social innovation.

2.3 Digital Mental Health

▪ **Comparison with Other Sectors** We now explicitly examine digital entrepreneurship in the field of mental health, drawing comparisons with sectors such as banking and education by highlighting:

- The regulatory burden associated with mental health applications is significant, as these applications are frequently required to adhere to medical-device regulations or clinical trial mandates, in contrast to many platforms in the banking or educational technology sectors [17].
- Therapeutic applications necessitate the demonstration of clinical efficacy, such as through randomized controlled trials, rather than relying solely on usability or engagement metrics that are prevalent in other industries.
- In the context of mental health, ethical considerations such as data privacy, informed consent, and the protection of vulnerable individuals are of utmost importance. In contrast, banking applications primarily emphasize financial security.

▪ **Definition of “Evidence-Based”** We define “evidence-based” interventions as those substantiated by at least one peer-reviewed randomized controlled trial. Additionally, we summarize two recent meta-analyses that quantify their effectiveness: a moderate effect size ($g = 0.76$) for app-delivered CBT on depressive symptoms [18]. A comparable effect for smartphone-based interventions across anxiety and depression ($g = 0.61$) [19].

▪ **Current State of Research:** We provide an overview of the most significant systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Research priorities in the field of digital mental health emphasize the importance of clinical validation and long-term follow-up [20]. A consensus was issued with recommendations on user engagement and clinical integration, proposing a tiered accreditation model for mental health apps [21]. The “Digital Health Credibility Framework” outlines six conditions (e.g., transparency of algorithms, data security benchmarks) that legitimate mental health interventions must meet [22].

Analytical Note: Why Lifestyle Apps Are Preferred to Therapeutic Tools

The Preference for Lifestyle Applications Over Therapeutic Tools. This analysis examines why numerous entrepreneurs prioritize “well-being” or lifestyle applications over fully therapeutic tools. Reduced entry barriers: Lifestyle applications circumvent medical device classification and the costly process of clinical trials. Accelerated time-to-market: Entrepreneurs can rapidly iterate without requiring Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval or clinical partnerships. Monetization models, such as freemium or subscription models, are increasingly accepted for self-help content. However, it is important to consider scholarship that cautions against this trend, as it may expose users to untested interventions, potentially leading to false reassurance or delayed clinical care [23], thereby compromising overall effectiveness.

2.4 Co-Design in Digital Mental Health

Recent advancements in digital mental health highlight the necessity for participatory design approaches that extend beyond conventional user-centered methods. Co-design is an articulated process involving the “creativity of designers and people not trained in design working together in the design development process,” [24] thereby transforming users from passive recipients into active co-creators. A systematic analysis of 93 popular mental health apps revealed a striking gap in real-world user engagement—median daily active use was only 4%, with peer-support apps showing higher engagement (17%) compared to others. This highlights that co-design, particularly involving end-users in app development, can improve relevance, usability, and sustained uptake in digital mental health interventions [25]. Consequently, integrating co-design into digital mental health interventions can yield more user-relevant, sustainable, and scalable solutions.

2.5 Advancements in Mental Health

Through digital tools, entrepreneurs can provide solutions for communities. In the evolving healthcare landscape, integrating digital technologies has revolutionized shifts in business and opportunities. Digital technology has formed the foundation for revolutionizing healthcare services to enhance their reach and effectiveness.

Mental health disorders are the leading cause of disability worldwide, with over one-third of the world's population affected by mental health conditions in their lifetime [26]. Mental health is an area where technology has changed. Millions of startups have come forward with digital solutions that make it easy to access mental health services and

provide them to millions of people. The convergence of mental health and digital solutions allows entrepreneurs to undertake business.

Digital health technologies have facilitated significant advancements in mental health care through the use of high-powered computing and electronic data collection methods. Implementing these technologies reduces healthcare costs, enhances population health workforce engagement, and improves the quality of care. Digital health can revolutionize how practitioners deliver mental healthcare [27]. In recent decades, the remote monitoring and management of healthcare records have evolved. Despite these challenges, digital health technologies have significantly impacted the healthcare sector. For example, it is vital to incorporate critical concepts, such as crash reports and computerized error logs, into electronic monitoring systems.

Digital health entrepreneurship can advance mental health care by improving population health, increasing the quality of care, reducing costs, and increasing workforce engagement [28].

Digital health interventions such as web-based consultations and Internet cognitive-behavioral therapy are effective and safe for preventing and managing mental health problems in individuals with chronic conditions [29]. Mobile health tools can facilitate early diagnosis and personalized treatment strategies in mental health; however, user engagement and evidence-based cases are crucial for the successful integration of these tools into clinical practice [30]. Digital mental health interventions can be effective for adolescents and young people with mental health problems. However, more rigorous evidence-based studies are needed for widespread adoption and scale-up in low-resource settings [31].

Digital mental health technologies can enhance early identification of conditions and expedite disease treatment and management while empowering patients to make better-informed health decisions. Mental health can be a valuable resource and strength in entrepreneurship, but further research is needed to address unresolved issues and explore new pathways for future investigation [32].

2.6 Challenges

Entrepreneurs face challenges that can hinder business processes, despite the presence of business opportunities. Nevertheless, entrepreneurs possess traits that enable them to overcome challenges and capitalize on opportunities presented in this sector, such as those related to mental health. Collaborative working between clinicians, researchers, industry, and service users is crucial for developing engaging, acceptable, evidence-based, scalable, and sustainable e-therapies for mental health disorders [33].

The integration of mental health digital interventions has experienced several challenges despite efforts to improve mental well-being and health among populations. The challenges include putting together real-time assessments and interventions. It seems there is a scarcity of clinical examples in mental health, despite Just-in-time adaptive interventions showing great potential [33]. Challenges in the development and implementation of studies were also evident. These include ethics and potential harms, accessibility and co-designs, specialized groups, and reporting guidelines. In this case, the methods, standardization of outcomes, and conceptual frameworks require consideration. Challenges in the techniques used to analyze and gather new data need to be addressed. For example, when using machine learning approaches, digital phenotyping, or trans diagnostics. Standardized approaches have not been uniformly applied to determine how advanced analytical methods can simplify the complexity of passive and active phenotyping data, ensuring the effectiveness of clinical models [34].

Additionally, challenges have been encountered in real-world clinical settings. This includes training and education policies within healthcare systems, organizations, and clinical decision-making processes. Finally, there are challenges in designing interventions, which include preventive interventions for people with mental illness and the choice of a placebo. For digital technology to achieve its potential in transforming how we detect, treat, and prevent mental disorders, there is a clear need for continued research involving various stakeholders and rigorous studies that show that these technologies can successfully drive measurable improvements in mental health outcomes (Globally, individuals living with mental disorders are more likely to have access to a mobile phone than mental health care. In this commentary, we highlight opportunities for expanding access to and use of digital technologies to advance research and interventions in mental health, with an emphasis on their potential impact in lower-resource settings.

2.7 Research Gap

Based on the literature review, more focus should be placed on how digital entrepreneurs can work with community members to develop digital solutions and promote social innovation in mental health. Digital entrepreneurs run social innovation programs. There is a need to examine this and develop a mechanism through which the community can work directly and indirectly with digital entrepreneurs. Social network theory and diffusion of innovation theory can help achieve this goal. Therefore, this study aims to understand the role of digital entrepreneurship in enhancing psychological and mental health services, and to examine the positives, challenges, and key areas for improvement in the development of the psychological and mental health services sector.

3. METHODS

3.1 Design

This qualitative study, designed in accordance with the Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Research (COREQ) [35], aimed to investigate the role of mental healthcare services provided through digital media in Saudi Arabia. Recent advancements within the national digital health ecosystem strongly support the relevance of focusing on Saudi Arabia. The Saudi Ministry of Health has developed an advanced telemedicine infrastructure that includes video-based telemental health services, called telepsychiatry, a type of telemedicine that uses video-based communication tools to conduct remote mental health consultations. It is viewed as a component of the specialized care services provided within the broader context of the Kingdom's healthcare digital transformation. By connecting patients with psychiatric specialists in various locations, telepsychiatry helps to bridge geographic barriers and maintain mental healthcare continuity, especially in rural and isolated settings [36]. In addition, the Seha Virtual Hospital initiative has successfully integrated over 170 hospitals through telehealth services, facilitating the provision of specialized psychiatric consultations remotely [37]. Moreover, the National Center for Mental Health Promotion, in collaboration with the Qareboon application, provides anonymous text-based counseling services. This initiative aims to reduce the stigma associated with face-to-face mental healthcare and encourages early help-seeking behaviors in a culturally sensitive manner [38]. These initiatives align with the Ministry of Health's Digital Health Strategy, which outlines national targets such as integrating over 11 million electronic health records and expanding e-consultation features through the Sehhaty application [39]. These developments demonstrate Saudi Arabia's ongoing commitment to enhancing mental healthcare through digital transformation and justify the focus of this study on the Saudi context.

3.1.1 Co-Design Framework for Digital Intervention Development

We employ the "Integrated Co-Design Model" as our foundational framework, which extends the concept of co-design across three distinct phases: (1) Design for Co Design, which involves initial stakeholder mapping and the planning of participatory activities; (2) Blended Co-Design, which integrates synchronous (online workshops) and asynchronous (online feedback) methods; and (3) Sustained Co-Design, which ensures ongoing stakeholder collaboration beyond the initial delivery (IJ Design) [40]. This comprehensive model enabled us to maintain engagement, accommodate diverse collaboration preferences, and refine our digital intervention prototype iteratively. Building upon the Integrated Co-Design concept, the taxonomy of digital mental health interventions served as a direct source of inspiration for creating our semi-structured interview guide, which enables focused conversations that correspond with each solution category.

3.1.2 Taxonomy-Guided Interview Design and Thematic Anchoring

Precise Taxonomy of Digital Mental Health Solutions Building upon the taxonomy of Behavioral Intervention Technologies as outlined [41] and the World Health Organization's classification of digital health interventions [42]. We delineate six distinct categories: Synchronous teletherapy (live video-based sessions) [43], Unguided mobile/self-help applications (mood tracking, mindfulness [44], Web-based interactive cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) modules [45], Virtual reality (VR) exposure tools [46], Experience sampling/diary tools [47], and Chatbot-driven or AI-guided interventions [48]. We employed Braun and Clarke's six-phase framework for thematic analysis-[49] to code responses according to solution type, facilitating the identification of both overarching themes and category-specific insights. Notably, synchronous teletherapy is the most widely used and officially regulated modality among the six categories of digital mental health therapies referenced in our study, according to Saudi Arabia's National Telehealth Guidelines. Our decision to highlight this factor influenced a key topic covered in the interviews.

3.2 Study Population

The study involved MH service providers [psychiatrists (n = 3), psychologists (n = 3)], and eminent MH advocates from civil society organizations [entrepreneurs (n = 3)].

The professional Experience of the participants reflected a diverse yet highly specialized sample. The participants had an average of 12.4 years of professional Experience (SD = 4.9; range = 5–20 years), demonstrating a seasoned sample with deep domain knowledge. This sampling approach aligns with established recommendations for purposive sampling in qualitative research [50] and was guided by the concept of "information power" to justify the adequacy of the sample [51].

All participants reported having hands-on Experience with at least two categories of interventions defined by the Behavioral Intervention Technology taxonomy [52]. These included video-based teletherapy and mobile self-help applications. This breadth of prior Experience ensured that participants' insights were informed by practical engagement with various digital mental health solutions.

Thematic saturation was achieved after nine interviews, which is consistent with empirical guidelines suggesting 12 ± 3 interviews for homogeneous groups [53]. Additionally, this sample's high "information power"—resulting from the focused aim of the study, rich dialogue, and detailed participant profiles—further justified the sample size without compromising analytic depth [54].

This ensures full transparency and allows readers to assess the appropriateness of the sample. These additions provide a clear, reference-backed justification for the participant characteristics and confirm that the sample size is suitable for addressing the qualitative objectives of this study.

Data was collected online using the Zoom platform. The online sessions began with an explanation of the research objectives and the process of obtaining informed consent. Some participants consented to the recording and transcription of the interviews before data collection commenced. Table 1 illustrates the qualitative methods and sample sizes associated with each stakeholder type.

Methods	Stakeholders	Types of organization		Total number (n-)
		governmental organization	private organization	
IDI (in-depth interview)	Mental health service providers (Psychiatrists)	1	2	3
	Mental health service providers (Psychologists)	1	2	3
	Mental health entrepreneurs	-	3	3

3.3 Ethical Considerations

Of the Interviews. Any questions asked are not sensitive or private questions of the stakeholder groups.

3.4 Data Collection Method

The researchers created semi-structured interview guidelines based on the pre-established classification of digital mental health interventions described in Section 3.1.2. The questions were designed to explore participants' views on the role of digital technology in mental healthcare services in Saudi Arabia. For this study, "digital technology" encompassed any communication medium utilizing various encoded machine-readable data formats, including software, digital photographs, videos, web pages, websites, and social media, all of which are governed by the rules and directives concerning digital health practices issued by the Saudi Ministry of Health. These regulations and guidelines encompass topics such as informed consent, privacy standards, and documentation of the provider-patient relationship, as outlined in the National Health Information Center's (NHIC) Telehealth Application Guidelines. The lead author conducted all interviews and discussions, which lasted 50 to 60 minutes each. Data saturation was achieved when no new information emerged from the interviews.

3.5 Data Management

The primary author transcribed and translated the recorded interviews into English. The lead author thoroughly reviewed all documents to ensure the quality and consistency of the research data.

The lead author transcribed and translated the recorded interview discussions into English. The lead author conducted a comprehensive review of all the documents to ensure the quality and consistency of the research data.

3.6 Data Analysis

An inductive thematic analysis approach was used to analyze the data. The authors first became acquainted with the interview data by reading the transcripts. Next, the transcripts were coded manually. The initial thematic codes emerged through an iterative procedure involving several meeting sessions among the authors. The coded data were separated into a data matrix built into Microsoft Word to create the coding frameworks. Coded data were transferred into a datasheet and organized under themes and sub-themes informed by the research objectives. Every level of data analysis was discussed with the primary researcher and the co-author.

4. RESULTS

Most participants from all stakeholder groups were male. Most stakeholders had 10–27 years of Experience providing MH and related services. The key informants of the psychiatrists were mainly male. Four significant themes have emerged (Table 2).

(i) Perceived benefits of digital media in providing MH care, (ii) challenges of MH care services through digital media, and (iii) proposals to improve and develop digital media to enhance MH.

Table 2. Three significant themes

Perceived benefits of digital media in providing MH care	Increasing mental health privacy
	Contributing to increasing the mental health literacy of the population
	Ensuring better service availability and accessibility
	Increasing mental health help-seeking behavior

	Easy access to accurate information allows for the making of high-quality decisions in a short time.
Challenges of providing mental health care services through digital media	Patient Credibility
	Efficiency of the treatment team
	Difficulty in supporting the social aspect of the patient's family during psychotherapy through applications
	The cost of treatment and the lack of or limited insurance coverage for therapy through platforms
	Poor communication and technology

The critical role of digital media in strengthening mental health services	Utilizing methods that enhance data reliability and verify client credibility through AI technologies and other regulatory frameworks increases the effectiveness of digital applications in mental health treatment.
	Systematic and reliable family engagement through digital applications, combined with the design of necessary supportive services, contributes to supporting the patient’s recovery and increasing the effectiveness of digital applications in mental health treatment.
	Train the practicing treatment team on electronic applications and introduce them to the most critical features and services available to maximize benefits. This ensures no part of the session time is spent searching and learning about the digital workflow.
	Legislation and regulations for the use of digital technologies in mental health must be flexible and adaptive, considering the nature of the mental health sector, particularly in the rehabilitation of people with an addiction in all aspects, such as patient-specific regulations, disease, family, healthcare practitioners, entrepreneurs in the industry, and the community.

Source: by the researchers

These were supported by 15 subthemes, as summarized in Fig. 1. (Fig. 1. Perceived benefits, challenges, and roles in mental health care services)




Fig. 1: by the researchers

4.1 Perceived benefits of digital media in providing MH care

The perceived benefits of digital media in providing MH care for both MH service providers (n = 6) and digital entrepreneurs (n = 3) reflected the perceived benefits of digital media in providing MH care across various domains. This is discussed below.

“The presence of many mental health professionals like psychiatrists and psychologists in advertisements and social media influences patients to seek mental healthcare.” Psychiatrist 1

4.1.1 Contributing to increasing the mental health literacy of the population

Most service providers (n=4/6) and entrepreneurs (n=2/3) stated that digital mental health services share various mental health-related information and content on websites, webpages, and social media, facilitating widespread dissemination and awareness. In this regard, one government sector participant said, “You (community members) can quickly get mental health information from the official online platforms of the Ministry of Health, such as the National Center for Mental Health Promotion, and you can easily become aware of your mental health status by visiting the website or calling without the need for a physical visit.” Psychiatrist 1,2 - Psychiatrist 2

One digital entrepreneur noted that: “they had struck a deal to acquire a digital library in mental health and link it to their digital mental health platform to provide accessible digital content to raise community awareness of mental health.” Entrepreneur 1

4.1.2 Increasing mental health privacy

One of the most important benefits of using digital platforms is the high privacy of patients. For example, according to professional 1 (psychiatrists and psychologists),

A. Type of disorder: Some disorders require great confidentiality, such as sexual disorders and people infected with HIV/AIDS.

B. The nature of the patient’s personality and the extent to which it controls their acceptance of psychological treatment. Stigma still prevails among some clients who accept psychological therapy for fear of their social status or society’s view of them as seeking mental health services.

4.1.3 Ensuring better service availability and accessibility

According to the stakeholders, digital MH care plays a significant role in providing better MH care service availability and accessibility.

All psychologists (n = 3) acknowledged the greater accessibility and availability of MH services by using online platforms; this was especially beneficial for people in remote locations in KSA. Citizens outside the country can readily access digital MH care services. One psychologist stated, ‘Anyone outside (main cities) can get online MH services anywhere. Even people from abroad can access KS’s online services. An MH expert desires someone who can understand them without any linguistic and cultural barriers and financial concerns.’ – Psychologist 1.

The psychiatrist also agreed that digital psychological services should be used for special groups such as the elderly, prisoners, and people with disabilities who must stay at home.

One of the entrepreneurs said that even if a provider is unavailable when needed, service users can receive instant help through their MH apps. “People may obtain our services immediately by using our mobile application, and we provide them with the answer to their queries.” - Entrepreneur 1

As professionals, using digital platforms does not entail increasing the costs of renting physical spaces to ensure the therapeutic setting of counseling sessions, which indirectly increases the cost of therapy for our clients and us. Moreover, we set specific schedules for our clients; however, because we are holding the sessions online, clients can join at the right time without being affected by the challenges caused by traffic and distance, which can lead to delays in our schedules. Thus, working via digital platforms helps save time, effort, and costs for both therapists and entrepreneurs. Psychologist - Psychiatrist, Entrepreneur.

4.1.4 Increasing mental health help-seeking behavior

Participants highlighted the enhanced accessibility and availability of digital mental health services, while also noting that the influence of digital media extends beyond service delivery, affecting social perceptions and attitudes toward mental health care. In this context, digital platforms were regarded not only as tools for getting care but also as useful mechanisms that mitigate stigma and increase individuals’ readiness to pursue professional mental health support.

(Entrepreneur 2) noted that “digital media helps reduce social stigma, hence motivating individuals to pursue mental health services and seek professional evaluation and support in a more socially acceptable manner”. A mental health specialist reiterated this perspective, observing that enhanced exposure of specialists on digital platforms diminishes reluctance and normalizes help-seeking behaviors, especially in environments where stigma persists. An expert remarked, “Engaging with mental health professionals via digital platforms motivates individuals to proactively seek professional assistance without the apprehension of stigma” (Specialist3).

4.1.5 Easy access to accurate information, thus making high-quality decisions in a short time

All participants agreed that digital platforms provide more accurate tools and techniques for controlling decision-making, increasing the opportunity to compare data, and obtaining accurate statistics objectively. It also expands the circle of outputs and cognitive information sharing among therapists across various entities.

The contemporary world has witnessed the emergence of digital media and its crucial tools in the global effort to promote mental health, specifically by raising psychological awareness. Additionally, the media fosters mental health by providing information and data that can be used for insightful skills and knowledge used in research to manage health issues. Research has depicted the immense and crucial roles of digital platforms by providing a fundamental gateway in understanding mental health issues and a subsequent roadmap in healthcare for the victims. Widespread use of digital platforms such as mobile devices, intentionally meant to reach people suffering from anxiety and depression, results in mental health awareness since they deliver content that entails scientifically proven

psychological education [55]. Thus, since mobile devices have increasingly reached nearly everyone's hands, using them for mental health awareness and caring steps is efficient.

The crucial role of these platforms in conveying information to the targeted people has been recognized by the WHO. In 2021 [56], the organization acknowledged the role of digital media in conveying information and creating awareness about mental health issues, especially in areas with limited or no psychological counseling and care centers. The platforms are crucial in preventing or treating the menace in its early stages. In the context of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), digital platforms were labeled as crucial instruments in awareness creation among mental health patients, while enhancing lively and more productive engagement in addressing victims' well-being. This situation highlights the importance of platforms, even at the bottom of the social hierarchy.

Nevertheless, the crucial roles played by these platforms in mental health self-assessment have their limitations. The platforms do not provide conclusive clinical diagnoses exclusively. They provide awareness and a gateway for the victims to seek professional evaluation. Most mental health self-assessment apps lack effective psychometric validation, instead serving as preliminary screening tools [57]. Thus, the findings align with the American Psychological Association (APA), which emphasizes that digital assessments are considered aids, not replacements, for clinical diagnostic techniques.

4.2 Challenges of providing mental health care services through digital media

Most stakeholders (n = 9) shared the perceived challenges of the MH care services offered through digital media.

4.2.1 Patient Credibility

The term "Patient credibility" refers to the trustworthiness and validity of the data users provide to a digital mental health (DMH) application, particularly concerning self-reported symptoms, engagement logs, and demographic or biometric information. Ensuring the credibility of these inputs is crucial, as inaccurate or fabricated data can lead to erroneous treatment decisions or flawed analytics. Below are key AI-driven approaches (often combined with regulation and audit requirements) to verify client credibility:

- Biometric identity verification confirms that the individual accessing the application is indeed the registered user, thereby mitigating the risks of account sharing or impersonation. Common techniques employed include facial recognition, voiceprint matching, and fingerprint scanning during the login process. For example, a mobile application utilizing facial recognition demonstrated high verification accuracy in pediatric patient testing, suggesting its potential applicability in healthcare settings [58].
- Anomaly detection through digital phenotyping involves the use of machine learning models to analyze both active data, such as self-report surveys, and passive data, including GPS, accelerometer, and phone usage, collected from smartphones. These models establish a baseline of behavior for each user. Statistical anomaly detection is then employed to identify days or entries that deviate significantly from this baseline, thereby alerting the system to potential input errors or deceptive behavior. Such models have demonstrated the capability to predict changes in symptoms related to mood and anxiety disorders with an area under the curve (AUC) of up to 0.80, several weeks in advance [59], [60].
- Passive sensor triangulation entails the cross-verification of self-reported data with passive sensor data, thereby enabling artificial intelligence to detect inconsistencies. For instance, should a user report high levels of physical activity while accelerometer and GPS data suggest inactivity, a credibility alert would be initiated. This triangulation constitutes a fundamental component of digital phenotyping methodologies utilized in clinical research.
- Automated linguistic analyses in Natural Language Processing (NLP) can effectively identify indicators of low engagement or fabricated responses, such as excessive repetition, sudden shifts in sentiment, or reduced linguistic complexity. Systematic reviews of machine learning approaches to deception detection report accuracy rates exceeding 90% for multimodal models that integrate language and behavior [61].
- AI-powered logging systems are designed to produce cryptographically secure audit trails of all user inputs and system interactions. In conjunction with digital health regulations, such as the Saudi Ministry of Health guidelines on data integrity, these logs facilitate the detection of unauthorized data alterations during compliance audits.

"Patient credibility" involves verifying user identity through biometric methods and validating user-reported information against expected patterns via anomaly detection, sensor triangulation, and natural language processing (NLP) checks. In conjunction with regulatory audit mechanisms, these AI-driven approaches ensure that digital mental health (DMH) interventions are grounded in reliable and authentic user data, thereby optimizing the safety and efficacy of treatment.

It is not easy to verify whether the client using the service through the app is the same person or someone else. Additionally, assessing body language can be challenging. Given that the nature of diagnosing mental illnesses relies more on reading symptoms than signs, unlike physical illnesses, where vital signs are prioritized, this challenge is inherent not only in app-based therapy but also in psychological treatment. However, it becomes more pronounced in app-based settings because of the inability to read body language cues (Psychologists, Psychiatrists).

Furthermore, some disorders are difficult to diagnose without an in-clinic consultation for the same reason, which is the inability to read body language indicators, such as attention-seeking disorders, factitious disorders, and

somatoform disorder symptoms (Psychologist1+2, Psychiatrist1). Self-expression is also less influential in remote therapy. For instance, if the therapist asks the patient, “Are you okay?” The patient may respond affirmatively, which could be a logical answer. However, in an in-person session, I could confirm their body language, hand movements, and posture, thereby reducing the likelihood of misinterpretation because of the lack of a clear visual of the patient that conveys all body language readings (Psychologist 1).

All experts (Psychologists and psychiatrists) agreed that there are negative impacts from the unreliability of the client and the false or misleading data they provide, affecting the quality of therapy. If a patient supplies inaccurate data, the therapist may struggle to correctly understand their condition or provide appropriate treatment, potentially leading to incorrect diagnoses or ineffective treatment recommendations. This could exacerbate the patient’s condition, delay access to proper care, result in exemptions from professional responsibilities, or undeserved government support.

There are several motivations for patients to provide false data, such as:

4.2.1.1 Privacy Concerns

- “Due to anxiety about privacy, patients may worry about the risks associated with sharing accurate information. Privacy concerns can lead to incomplete or inaccurate data, potentially jeopardizing the effectiveness of treatment. If patients are fearful, they may withhold the necessary information for accurate diagnosis and treatment.” (Psychologist 1+2). , (Psychiatrist 1+3)

4.2.1.2 Bias or Manipulation in Responses

- “Patients may provide false data to steer the therapist’s perception in a particular direction or achieve personal goals, such as obtaining a prescription or avoiding a specific diagnosis. Such manipulations can undermine the therapeutic process, resulting in incompatible treatment plans and less effective therapies, as the treatment may not address the patient’s real issues. This can also lead to exemptions from professional responsibilities or result in receiving government support, such as social security or comprehensive rehabilitation”. (Psychologist 2),(Psychiatrist 3)

4.2.1.3 Incomplete Understanding of Assessment Questions

“Misunderstanding questions or assessment forms can result in false data. If patients do not fully grasp what is being asked for any reason, such as the cultural or environmental differences between the patient and therapist, their responses may be inaccurate. This can distort the assessment of symptoms and needs, leading to incorrect treatment plans and methods”. (psychologists).

4.2.2 The competence of the treatment team

Ensuring that a well-qualified mental health treatment team can adhere to global therapeutic standards is a crucial challenge, as patients cannot significantly benefit from digital therapy applications without a competent team, leading to poor outcomes and overall well-being. Key challenges related to the treatment team include the following.

- Team Expertise and Knowledge of Global Therapeutic and Diagnostic Developments: The team must be able to identify the root cause of mental health issues, given the diverse factors influencing them, such as the patient’s personality type (e.g., hysterical, borderline, narcissistic) and the patient’s personal and social environment. (Psychologists, Psychiatrists).

- Conflict of Interest: Regulating the number of cases and the time allocated for each patient is necessary to prevent conflicts of interest, ensuring that increasing the number of patients does not compromise the allotted time per patient per global therapeutic policies and standards. (Psychologist 1+2).

- Weak Performance Measures and Evaluation of the Treatment Team: There are precise standards for determining whether a therapist is competent. Sometimes, patients may be influenced by social media, choosing therapists based on their number of followers or online activities rather than professional merit. (Psychologists),(Psychiatrists)

The initial therapeutic skills are still relevant in both ancient and digital modalities. However, technologically mediated care raises other health issues that require thorough analysis and consideration. According to the literature, practitioners sometimes lack the specific technological knowledge to navigate and comprehend virtual platforms. Additionally, managing and operating therapeutic apps, as well as tracking the victims’ results digitally, pose challenges for them [62]. An important research useful in incorporating updated evidence is Catuara-Solarz et al [63]. They conducted a randomized controlled trial regarding the development of a mental health app. The study showed that patients’ results or outcomes, including sleep, anxiety, and resilience, are largely influenced by how therapists effectively guide their interaction with digital content. This finding strongly supports the argument that therapists’ capabilities in digital mental health encompass not only medical or clinical knowledge but also a digital conceptualization level and platform-specific interaction techniques.

- Likewise, Vollert et al.’s [64] study depicts that the successful intervention of insomnia significantly depends on clinician oversight on the degree and accuracy of using and interpreting data produced by the app. From these instances, it is evident that digital mental health care demands an expanded and comprehensive skill set, which exceeds the general psychotherapy.

- Moreover, previous systematic reviews by Torous et al. [65] highlight loopholes in training and digital incorporation among healthcare personnel and their daily operations. These loopholes represent significant hurdles to the effective implementation and delivery of healthcare services. Subsequently, the section has been revised to clearly

depict our points in the digital mental health context and support them with domain-specific evidence.

4.2.3 Cost of Treatment and Limited or Lack of Insurance Coverage for Digital Platforms

The cost of treatment, lack of insurance coverage, and limited coverage of mental and psychological care through digital platforms are critical issues that affect access to care.

- **High Costs:** “The cost of therapy via digital platforms can be high, making it inaccessible to many individuals, especially those facing financial pressures”. (Psychologist 1),(Psychiatrist 2)
- **Lack of Insurance Coverage:** “Not all health insurance plans cover mental health treatment through digital applications, meaning patients may need to bear the total cost of treatment. In cases where digital therapy is included in some insurance plans, coverage may be limited, requiring patients to pay a significant portion of the costs”. (Entrepreneurs)
- **Impact on Treatment Choices:** “Costs and lack of coverage affect patients’ treatment options, forcing them to choose between effective, suitable therapy and cheaper, less effective alternatives”.(Psychologist 2+3) (Psychiatrist 2)
- **Psychological impact:** “Concerns about costs can worsen mental health symptoms, as patients may feel stressed or unable to access necessary care promptly, exacerbating their condition”. (Psychologists),(Psychiatrists)

4.2.4 Families Unrecovered from Supporting Mental Health Patients

The challenge of supporting the social aspects of a patient’s family during mental health treatment via digital applications is significant. All mental health experts emphasize that an unrecovered family can significantly affect a recovering patient, potentially hindering their progress and affecting their mental health. A recovered mental health patient returning to an unrecovered family can experience several effects, such as:

- **Decline in Emotional Support:** If the family is under psychological stress, they may struggle to provide the necessary emotional support to the recovering patient, which can lead to feelings of isolation. (Psychologists),(Psychiatrists)
- **Increased Tension:** Tense and stressful home environments can negatively impact the recovery of patients and increase their feelings of anxiety or depression. (Psychologist1), (Psychiatrist3)
- **Symptom recurrence:** Family-related stress and pressure can lead to relapses or the reappearance of symptoms in recovering patients. (Psychologist 2).
- **Changes in Family Dynamics:** Lack of recovery within the family can lead to shifts in roles and responsibilities, adding pressure to the recovering patient. (Psychologist 1+3). (Psychiatrist2)
- **Social Impacts:** Stigma or social isolation resulting from a mental health issue within the family may limit the recovery of patients’ opportunities for positive social interaction. (Psychologist1).

Overall, unrecovered families must seek support and treatment to ensure the well-being of all family members, including the recovering patients.

It is clear from analysing these family-related issues in the context of digital mental health that the absence of psychological healing within the family affects patients individually and also represents larger design flaws in digital health interventions. This finding is consistent with an increasing amount of data indicating that the efficacy of digital therapeutic ecosystems is compromised when families are excluded. This viewpoint is supported by the following clarifications based on evidence:

- A thorough scoping review carried out by Welsh et al. [66] reveals a significant lack of digital media specifically designed for “family completion”. This research stressed that the exclusion of family members in the design and subsequent implementation of digital platforms, including personalization, engagement, and privacy challenges, will still be unsettled. Therefore, the phenomenon undermines the effectiveness of the platform.
- Digital health interventions were reviewed by Münchenberg et al [67] for informal caregivers of persons suffering from first-episode psychosis. Their findings revealed that digital equipment offering targeted support to families leads to improved psychological well-being and enhanced caregiving capacity. This finding suggests that family engagement isn’t peripheral but central to the success of digital interventions for mental health.
- The COPE-support randomized clinical trial by Sin et al [68] examined a digital intervention among the family caregivers of individuals suffering from psychosis. Although the major result was not statistically significant in regulating the condition, high-engagement users showed improved or better well-being. The research provides a solid foundation that demonstrates the effectiveness of digital platforms on family caregivers when psychoeducation and peer support are incorporated into the platforms.

The research proves that disregarding the incorporation of family in digital mental health care design is a major oversight. For successful therapeutic outcomes, it is recommended that future digital mental health platforms encompass structured tools for assessing, supporting, and engaging families as active stakeholders in recovery.

4.2.5 Poor Internet Connectivity, Lack of Technological Knowledge, or Limited Awareness of Therapy Applications

Poor Internet connectivity, limited knowledge of technology use, and lack of awareness of digital therapy applications are critical barriers to accessing mental health services via digital platforms. The detailed breakdown is as follows.

- **Poor Internet Connectivity:** Weak Internet can disrupt or interrupt therapy sessions, reducing the effectiveness of digital treatment. This can also lead to user frustration, causing patients to disengage from these applications. (Entrepreneurs)
- **Lack of Technological Knowledge:** Many individuals, especially older people or those with limited technological education, face difficulties using mental health applications or understanding how they work. This lack of technical knowledge can create anxiety about using these applications, thus limiting access to digital mental health services. Some individuals may even avoid trying applications because of fear of technical errors or an inability to navigate the platform. (Psychologist 2+3)
- **Limited Awareness of Digital Therapy Applications:** A lack of awareness about digital mental health applications and their benefits hinders adoption. Many individuals may not realize that these applications are available or may lack information about how they work and their effectiveness in providing mental health support. This lack of awareness can mean that individuals do not consider digital therapy an option, even when traditional services are unavailable or difficult to access. Additionally, there may be skepticism or caution due to doubts about the effectiveness of digital therapy compared to face-to-face treatment. (Psychologist2, Entrepreneur2+3).

4.3 The Critical Role of Digital Applications in Enhancing Mental Health Services

Designing and Implementing New Strategies for Digital Mental Health Services

4.3.1 Utilizing methods that enhance data reliability and verify client credibility through artificial intelligence technologies and other regulations increases the effectiveness of digital applications in mental health treatment.

4.3.2 Innovating systematic and reliable methods and policies for family involvement via digital applications: Developing necessary support services that contribute to patient recovery and increasing the effectiveness of digital applications in mental health treatment.

4.3.3 Train the treatment team to use electronic applications and familiarize them with critical features and services to maximize benefits and avoid wasting time searching and learning about digital work mechanisms.

4.3.4 Ensuring flexibility in the legislation and regulations related to using digital technologies in mental health should be adaptive and continuously evolving based on the nature of the mental health sector, especially in the rehabilitation of people with addiction, considering various aspects such as (patient-specific legislation, disease, family, healthcare provider, sector entrepreneurs, and community). Additionally, insurance companies should enhance their insurance coverage for psychological and mental health treatment through digital platforms, making access to these services more accessible.

4.3.5 Adhering to professional ethics and therapeutic guidelines: This includes maintaining a minimum treatment duration without reduction for financial exploitation, regulating the use of applications during the first visit, setting standards for their use, and avoiding complete reliance on them for diagnosis. The latest global methods were used to evaluate the treatment team's performance indicators.

5 DISCUSSION

This study's findings provide significant insights into the role of digital entrepreneurship in the mental health sector in Saudi Arabia, highlighting the benefits and challenges of digital mental health services. Digital platforms have demonstrated a notable ability to enhance accessibility, confidentiality, and awareness among individuals seeking mental health support. These benefits are critical in regions with limited access to mental health resources, as digital solutions can bridge geographic and social barriers, making mental health services more accessible and adaptable to individual needs.

Incorporating business owners and mental health specialists (psychiatrists and psychologists) into the co-design process enhances digital mental health solutions' contextual relevance, strengthening their financial sustainability and regulatory compliance. The involvement of governments facilitates regulatory harmonisation and supports the development of long-term adoption frameworks, whereas the early engagement of entrepreneurs promotes market readiness and innovation in business models [69]. These benefits of multistakeholder engagement align with the outcomes of participatory design approaches, which have been shown to yield more innovative, contextually grounded, and sustainable digital health solutions through equitable and diversified stakeholder involvement [70].

Strengths of Digital Entrepreneurship in Mental Health

Digital entrepreneurship has facilitated integrating mental health services within easily accessible online platforms, thus ensuring better service availability and increased privacy. Participants emphasized increased mental health literacy, as digital platforms provide accurate and accessible information, empowering users to make informed health decisions [71]. Moreover, digital platforms reduce the need for physical space, making mental health support available even in remote or underserved areas. This aligns with the Diffusion of Innovation Theory, which suggests that technology adoption can increase the reach and impact of health services by addressing accessibility and social challenges.

Challenges in Digital Entrepreneurship in Mental Health

Digital entrepreneurship in the mental health field faces several challenges, including technological ones. Mohammed-Nasir et al. (2023) [72] point out that rapid technological changes make it difficult to develop stable digital solutions, as technology may become outdated before solutions are fully developed. Additionally, mental health professionals are reluctant to adopt technology, which slows the adoption of digital solutions, as noted by Dieguez and Melo (2023) [73]. Moreover, data security issues pose a significant challenge, as digital solutions are prone to cyber-attacks that compromise user privacy [74]. Finally, Thapa and Iakovleva (2023) [75] highlighted the importance of responsible innovation, noting that some entrepreneurs might exploit these applications to collect data for unethical purposes.

Role of Digital Entrepreneurs in Social Innovation and Enhancing Connectivity

Digital entrepreneurs play a crucial role in promoting social innovation in mental health by developing applications that address unique social and health needs. According to the Social Network Theory, digital entrepreneurs can create connections with community members and stakeholders to foster a support network that expands the reach and impact of mental health services. These connections help create socially innovative solutions tailored to the community's specific needs, thus strengthening the mental health care system [10], [11].

This theory also emphasizes the importance of social network density in facilitating the flow of innovation and resource distribution, which can help digital entrepreneurs identify areas that require digital networks and invest resources accordingly.

Opportunities in Digital Entrepreneurship in Mental Health

Digital entrepreneurship presents multiple opportunities in the mental health sector, including improving communication between service providers and mental health managers. Entrepreneurs can leverage this opportunity to develop digital solutions to enhance effective interactions in this field [76]. Similarly, Baig et al. (2022) [77] identified opportunities to benefit from new business models, particularly with technological advancements that contribute to enhanced diagnosis and treatment. According to Kulkov et al. (2023) [78], the healthcare sector's adaptation to digital innovations creates opportunities to add value, such as using digital sensors to expedite diagnosis and improve treatment management.

6 Recommendations

This study highlights the importance of developing strategies to enhance digital mental health services. The recommendations include the following:

- **Enhancing Data Reliability:** Utilizing artificial intelligence to verify client information and improve the reliability of remote mental health services.
- **Training treatment teams:** Provide specialized training to mental health professionals on digital platforms to maximize the effectiveness of virtual mental health sessions.
- **Flexible and Supportive Policies:** Developing flexible regulations that support digital mental health treatments, especially for vulnerable groups and specialized care, such as addiction rehabilitation.
- **Family Involvement:** Introducing structured methods to involve families in the digital treatment process, as family support is essential for mental health recovery.
- **Anti-Stigma Campaigns:** Using digital platforms to combat stigma and misinformation surrounding mental health issues to encourage help-seeking behavior.

Practical and Research Implications

- **Integration with Established Frameworks.** We now examine the Evidence Standards Framework for Digital Health Technologies [79], which categorizes interventions based on risk and evidence requirements, guiding entrepreneurs on the necessity of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or real-world data. We reference the Consensus on app accreditation tiers, highlighting criteria such as data security, clinical integration, and user engagement that entrepreneurs should adopt [80]. We incorporate the Digital Health Credibility Framework, which outlines six benchmarks, including algorithmic transparency and adverse event monitoring, that validate therapeutic apps. We align with the APA Telepsychology Guidelines, which mandate secure platforms, informed consent procedures, and clinician competency standards for teletherapy.
- **Practical Implications for Entrepreneurs and Clinicians:** In accordance with NICE guidelines, it is advisable for entrepreneurs to assess the risk level of their products at an early stage and to plan an appropriate evidence generation strategy, such as determining whether pilot studies or full randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are necessary. **Accreditation Preparation:** Consistent with the recommendations, it is recommended that products be designed from the outset to meet the requirements of "Tier 2" (clinical content) and "Tier 3" (health outcomes). **Credibility Monitoring:** Implementing the six-benchmark framework proposed is essential for ensuring continuous quality improvement and maintaining user safety.
- **Research Implications Consistent with Sample Scope** Given our qualitative sample (n=9), we recommend conducting confirmatory surveys to quantify the adoption of these frameworks among a broader population of digital entrepreneurs and clinicians. We propose implementation studies to evaluate the real-world impact of adhering to these standards on user engagement and clinical outcomes, as advocated. Longitudinal research should investigate

how adherence to accreditation criteria influences market adoption, reimbursement decisions, and patient safety over time [81].

Strengths and Limitations

The primary strength of this study lies in its exploration of the role of digital entrepreneurship within the mental health sector in Saudi Arabia, which provides valuable insights into a largely under-researched area. This study reduced subjective bias by incorporating perspectives from various stakeholders, including digital entrepreneurs, mental health professionals, and community members. It offered a more comprehensive view of the potential impact of digital solutions on mental health. Additionally, the study's focus on specific cultural and socioeconomic factors in Saudi Arabia enhances the relevance of its findings as it accounts for the unique challenges and opportunities within this context.

However, this study had several limitations. First, the study's qualitative design limits the generalizability of its findings to broader populations, as the insights are drawn from a relatively small sample size within a specific region. Second, the rapid evolution of digital technology presents a challenge, as the innovations and tools discussed in the study may quickly become outdated, affecting the applicability of the findings over time. Finally, response bias is possible, as participants may have provided socially desirable responses because of the perceived importance of digital solutions.

7 CONCLUSION

Considering the growing global need for mental health services and the ongoing challenges imposed by current circumstances, the shift to digital mental health care represents a promising solution to meet these needs flexibly and effectively. In Saudi Arabia, this study demonstrated that digital applications play a vital role in enhancing mental health awareness and providing accessible psychological support, particularly in resource-constrained areas. These findings also lay the groundwork for further longitudinal studies to evaluate the effectiveness of digital solutions and expand research to include diverse groups and various geographic regions, thereby contributing to the development of a comprehensive and sustainable mental healthcare system.

We can summarize the study highlights in these points:

- Digital entrepreneurship has emerged as a transformative force in the mental healthcare sector in Saudi Arabia.
- Digital platforms enhance mental health privacy, promote health literacy, and ensure better service availability and accessibility.
- Challenges of digital mental health services include patient credibility, competence of the treatment team, cost, limited insurance coverage, and poor internet connectivity.
- Digital entrepreneurs are critical in promoting social innovation and enhancing connectivity in mental health services.
- Recommendations include designing new digital mental health service strategies, innovating methods for family involvement, and adhering to professional ethics and therapeutic guidelines.
- Integrating digital technology into the mental health system in Saudi Arabia has the potential to provide accessible, available, and affordable care.
- The findings will guide researchers in gathering further evidence to enhance practices and contribute to the development of a comprehensive mental healthcare system.

8 Relevance for Clinical Practice

In settings supported by the latest digital technologies, such as Saudi Arabia, integrating digital technology into the mental health system can provide accessible, available, and affordable care throughout the country. Mental health professionals can adopt digital technology daily to deliver mental health interventions and promote awareness. The findings suggest that mental health care delivered through digital technologies can be integrated alongside traditional mental health services in Saudi Arabia to achieve optimal benefits, and will guide researchers in gathering further evidence to enhance their practices.

Acknowledgment

The researcher would like to thank all stakeholders who participated in this study.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Rahman, I. L., Sholathiah, D. I., Imaniah, S. A., Suryandari, I., Wati, A. P., Murwani, F. D., & Wardana, L. W. (2025). Entrepreneurship in the digital era: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Technology and Education Research*, 3(2), 244–259, doi: <https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJETER>
- [2] Lamine, W., Fayolle, A., Jack, S., & Audretsch, D. (2023). Impact of digital technologies on entrepreneurship: Taking stock and looking forward. *Technovation*, 123, 102823, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2023.102823>
- [3] Paul, J., Alhassan, I., Binsaif, N., & Singh, P. (2022). Digital entrepreneurship research: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Research*, 156, 113507, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.113507>
- [4] J. Antonizzi and H. Smuts, “The Characteristics of Digital Entrepreneurship and Digital Transformation: A Systematic Literature Review,” *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 12066, pp. 239–251, 2020, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44999-5_20.
- [5] S. Si, J. Hall, R. Suddaby, D. Ahlstrom, and J. Wei, “Technology, entrepreneurship, innovation and social change in digital economics,” *Technovation*, vol. 119, p. 102484, Feb. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102484>.
- [6] J. Faludi, “How to Create Social Value Through Digital Social Innovation? Unlocking the Potential of the Social Value Creation of Digital Startups,” *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–18, Oct. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2020.1823871>.
- [7] N. Bachmann, S. Tripathi, M. Brunner, H. Jodlbauer, and A. Piereder, “Cross-Impact Analysis of Entrepreneurial Failure and Business Model Innovation: Navigating the Impact of Societal Perceptions,” *Procedia computer science*, vol. 232, pp. 2639–2653, Jan. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2024.02.082>.
- [8] T. Orrensalo, C. Brush, and S. Nikou, “Entrepreneurs’ Information-Seeking Behaviors in the Digital Age—A Systematic Literature Review,” *Journal of Small Business Management*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 1–46, Aug. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2022.2100896>.
- [9] F. Acikgoz, A. Elwalda, and M. J. De Oliveira, “Curiosity on Cutting-Edge Technology via Theory of Planned Behavior and Diffusion of Innovation Theory,” *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 100152, Apr. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijimei.2022.100152>.
- [10] A. Ghatak, S. Chatterjee, and B. Bhowmick, “Intention Towards Digital Social Entrepreneurship: An Integrated Model,” *Journal of Social Entrepreneurship*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1–21, Oct. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/19420676.2020.1826563>.
- [11] R. Purbasari, E. Munajat, and F. Fauzan, “Digital Innovation Ecosystem on Digital Entrepreneur,” *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–21, Mar. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4018/ijeei.319040>.
- [12] M. Bellaaj, “Why and how do individual entrepreneurs use digital channels in an emerging market? Determinants of use and channel coordination,” *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol. ahead-of-print, no. ahead-of-print, Aug. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijocem-08-2020-0882>.
- [13] C. Bonina, K. Koskinen, B. Eaton, and A. Gawer, “Digital platforms for development: Foundations and research agenda,” *Information Systems Journal*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 869–902, Jan. 2021, Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/isj.12326>
- [14] M. Bellaaj, “Why and how do individual entrepreneurs use digital channels in an emerging market? Determinants of use and channel coordination,” *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, vol. ahead-of-print, no. ahead-of-print, Aug. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijocem-08-2020-0882>.
- [15] T. Orrensalo, C. Brush, and S. Nikou, “Entrepreneurs’ Information-Seeking Behaviors in the Digital Age—A Systematic Literature Review,” *Journal of Small Business Management*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 1–46, Aug. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2022.2100896>.
- [16] F. Acikgoz, A. Elwalda, and M. J. De Oliveira, “Curiosity on Cutting-Edge Technology via Theory of Planned Behavior and Diffusion of Innovation Theory,” *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 100152, Apr. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijimei.2022.100152>.
- [17] D. C. Mohr, K. R. Weingardt, M. Reddy, and S. M. Schueller, “Three Problems With Current Digital Mental Health Research . . . and Three Things We Can Do About Them,” *Psychiatric Services*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 427–429, May 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600541>.
- [18] J. Firth et al., “The efficacy of smartphone-based mental health interventions for depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials,” *World Psychiatry*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 287–298, Sep. 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20472>.
- [19] S. C. Pan and T. C. Rickard, “Transfer of test-enhanced learning: Meta-analytic review and synthesis,” *Psychological Bulletin*, vol. 144, no. 7, pp. 710–756, Jul. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000151>.
- [20] C. Hollis et al., “Identifying research priorities for digital technology in mental health care: results of the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership,” *The Lancet Psychiatry*, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 845–854, Oct. 2018, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366\(18\)30296-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30296-7).

- [21] J. Torous, J. Nicholas, M. E. Larsen, J. Firth, and H. Christensen, “Clinical review of user engagement with mental health smartphone apps: evidence, theory and improvements,” *Evidence Based Mental Health*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 116–119, Jun. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2018-102891>.
- [22] J. Löchner, P. Carlbring, B. Schuller, J. Torous, and L. Sander, “Digital interventions in mental health: An overview and future perspectives,” *Internet Interventions*, vol. 40, no. 100824, p. 100824, Apr. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2025.100824>.
- [23] D. C. Mohr, K. R. Weingardt, M. Reddy, and S. M. Schueller, “Three Problems With Current Digital Mental Health Research and Three Things We Can Do About Them,” *Psychiatric Services*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 427–429, May 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600541>.
- [24] E. B.-N. Sanders and P. J. Stappers, “Co-creation and the new landscapes of design,” *CoDesign*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 5–18, 2008, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15710880701875068>.
- [25] A. Baumel, F. Muench, S. Edan, and J. M. Kane, “Objective User Engagement With Mental Health Apps: Systematic Search and Panel-Based Usage Analysis,” *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 21, no. 9, p. e14567, Sep. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/14567>.
- [26] Z. Steel et al., “The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980–2013,” *International Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 476–493, Mar. 2014, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu038>.
- [27] J. Prescott, *Digital Innovations for Mental Health Support*. University of Bolton, 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7991-6>.
- [28] W. Charles, S. Wulfovich, and A. Meyers, “Digital Health Entrepreneurship,” *Convergence Mental Health*, pp. 375–388, Jan. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780197506271.003.0025>.
- [29] M. Sasseville et al., “Digital health interventions for the management of mental health in people with chronic diseases: a rapid review,” *BMJ Open*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. e044437, Apr. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044437>.
- [30] B. Spadaro, N. A. Martin-Key, and S. Bahn, “Building the digital mental health ecosystem: opportunities and challenges for m-Health innovators (Preprint),” *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 23, no. 10, Jan. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/27507>.
- [31] S. Lehtimäki, J. Martic, B. Wahl, K. T. Foster, and N. Schwalbe, “Evidence on digital mental health interventions for adolescents and young people: A systematic overview,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. e25847, Nov. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/25847>.
- [32] I. Hatak, “Mental health in entrepreneurship,” Edward Elgar Publishing eBooks, Jan. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781839104145.00062>.
- [33] A. Meyers, *Digital Health Entrepreneurship*. Springer Nature, 2023.
- [34] R. Waller, N. Lothian, and O. S. Moghraby, *Digital Mental Health*. Cambridge University Press, 2023. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009052023>.
- [35] A. Tong, P. Sainsbury, and J. Craig, “Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups,” *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 349–357, 2007, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042>.
- [36] National Health Information Center, “Telehealth Application Guidelines,” 2023. Available: <https://nhic.gov.sa/standards/Telehealth/Telehealth-Application-Guidelines.pdf>
- [37] Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, “Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia,” Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia, 2022. <https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Ministry/Projects/Pages/Seha-Virtual-Hospital.aspx>
- [38] Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, “Digital Health Strategy,” 2018. Available: <https://www.moh.gov.sa/Ministry/vro/eHealth/Documents/MoH-Digital-Health-Strategy-Update.pdf>
- [39] Ministry of Health. (2018). *Digital health strategy update*. Ministry of Health, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Retrieved July 2025, from <https://www.moh.gov.sa/Ministry/vro/eHealth/Documents/MoH-Digital-Health-Strategy-Update.pdf>
- [40] J. Kerr et al., “Integrated co-design: A model for co-designing with multiple stakeholder groups from the ‘fuzzy’ front-end to beyond project delivery,” *International Journal of Design*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.57698/v16i2.06>.
- [41] D. C. Mohr, K. R. Weingardt, M. Reddy, and S. M. Schueller, “Three Problems with Current Digital Mental Health Research . . . and Three Things We Can Do About Them,” *Psychiatric Services*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 427–429, May 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600541>.
- [42] World Health Organization, “WHO | Classification of digital health interventions v1.0,” WHO, 2019. <https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/mhealth/classification-digital-health-interventions/en/>
- [43] J. H. Shore et al., “Best Practices in Videoconferencing-Based Telemental Health April 2018,” *Telemedicine and e-Health*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 827–832, Nov. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2018.0237>.
- [44] J. Firth et al., “The efficacy of smartphone-based mental health interventions for depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials,” *World Psychiatry*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 287–298, Sep. 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12199-017-0600-0>

<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20472>.

- [45] G. Andersson and N. Titov, “Advantages and limitations of Internet-based interventions for common mental disorders,” *World Psychiatry*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 4–11, Feb. 2014, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20083>.
- [46] G. Riva, R. M. Baños, C. Botella, F. Mantovani, and A. Gaggioli, “Transforming Experience: The Potential of Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality for Enhancing Personal and Clinical Change,” *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, vol. 7, no. 164, Sep. 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2016.00164>.
- [47] I. Myin-Germeys et al., “Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: new insights and technical developments,” *World Psychiatry*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 123–132, May 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20513>.
- [48] A. A. Abd-Alrazaq, A. Rababeh, M. Alajlani, B. M. Bewick, and M. Househ, “Effectiveness and Safety of Using Chatbots to Improve Mental Health: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis,” *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 22, no. 7, p. e16021, Jul. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/16021>.
- [49] V. Braun and V. Clarke, “Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology,” *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77–101, 2006, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>.
- [50] M. Q. Patton, “Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods,” SAGE Publications Ltd, 2015. <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/qualitative-research-evaluation-methods/book232962>
- [51] K. Malterud, V. D. Siersma, and A. D. Guassora, “Sample Size in Qualitative Interview studies: Guided by Information Power,” *Qualitative Health Research*, vol. 26, no. 13, pp. 1753–1760, Jul. 2015
- [52] D. C. Mohr, K. R. Weingardt, M. Reddy, and S. M. Schueller, “Three Problems With Current Digital Mental Health Research and Three Things We Can Do About Them,” *Psychiatric Services*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 427–429, May 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201600541>.
- [53] G. Guest, A. Bunce, and L. Johnson, “How Many Interviews Are enough? an Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability,” *Field Methods*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 59–82, Feb. 2006, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903>.
- [54] K. Malterud, V. D. Siersma, and A. D. Guassora, “Sample Size in Qualitative Interview studies: Guided by Information Power,” *Qualitative Health Research*, vol. 26, no. 13, pp. 1753–1760, Jul. 2015, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732315617444>
- [55] J. C. Moring, L. M. Koch, A. Cherrington, A. L. Peterson, and P. A. Resick, “Cognitive Processing Therapy for PTSD and Bipolar Disorder Comorbidity: A Case Study,” *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice*, vol. 29, no. 2, Nov. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2020.10.004>.
- [56] World Health Organization, “Guidance on community mental health services: Promoting person-centred and rights-based approaches,” www.who.int, 2021. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240025707>
- [57] D. Bakker, N. Kazantzis, D. Rickwood, and N. Rickard, “Mental Health Smartphone Apps: Review and Evidence-Based Recommendations for Future Developments,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. e7, Mar. 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/mental.4984>.
- [58] B. Jeon et al., “A Facial Recognition Mobile App for Patient Safety and Biometric Identification: Design, Development, and Validation,” *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. e11472, Apr. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/11472>.
- [59] A. Cohen et al., “Digital phenotyping data and anomaly detection methods to assess changes in mood and anxiety symptoms across a transdiagnostic clinical sample,” *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, May 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.13712>.
- [60] B. Jeon et al., “A Facial Recognition Mobile App for Patient Safety and Biometric Identification: Design, Development, and Validation,” *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. e11472, Apr. 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/11472>.
- [61] Alex Sebastião Constâncio, Denise Fukumi Tsunoda, Helena, J. Martins, and Deborah Ribeiro Carvalho, “Deception detection with machine learning: A systematic review and statistical analysis,” *PLOS ONE*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. e0281323–e0281323, Feb. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281323>.
- [62] M. Pisciotta, L. M. Denneson, H. B. Williams, S. Woods, A. Tuepker, and S. K. Dobscha, “Providing mental health care in the context of online mental health notes: advice from patients and mental health clinicians,” *Journal of Mental Health*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 64–70, Nov. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2018.1521924>.
- [63] S. Catuara-Solarz et al., “Efficacy of ‘Foundations’, a Digital Mental Health App to Improve Mental Well-Being, during COVID-19: A Proof-of-Principle Randomised Controlled Trial (Preprint),” *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, vol. 10, no. 7, Jun. 2021, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/30976>.
- [64] F. G. Rollin, K. J. Mould, and D. Colon Hidalgo, “Racial disparities in cardiovascular outcomes are windows into structural racism, not genetics,” *Sleep Medicine*, vol. 105, p. 85, May 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2023.03.010>.
- [65] J. Torous, K. Jän Myrick, N. Rauseo-Ricupero, and J. Firth, “Digital Mental Health and COVID-19: Using Technology Today to Accelerate the Curve on Access and Quality Tomorrow,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. e18848, Mar. 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/18848>.
- [66] E. T. Welsh, J. E. McIntosh, A. Vuong, Z. C. G. Cloud, E. Hartley, and J. H. Boyd, “Design of Digital Mental

- Health Platforms for Family Member Cocompletion: Scoping Review,” *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, vol. 26, p. e49431, Jul. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/49431>.
- [67] P. S. Münchenberg, Dinara Yessimova, Dimitra Panteli, and T. Kurth, “Digital Health Interventions for Informal Family Caregivers of People With First-Episode Psychosis: Systematic Review on User Experience and Effectiveness,” *JMIR Mental Health*, vol. 11, pp. e63743–e63743, Nov. 2024, doi: <https://doi.org/10.2196/63743>.
- [68] J. Sin et al., “Effect of digital psychoeducation and peer support on the mental health of family carers supporting individuals with psychosis in England (COPE-support): a randomised clinical trial,” *The Lancet Digital Health*, vol. 4, no. 5, Apr. 2022, doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2589-7500\(22\)00031-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2589-7500(22)00031-0).
- [69] V. Vineis, G. Perelli, and G. Tolomei, “Beyond Predictions: A Participatory Framework for Multistakeholder Decision-Making,” arXiv (Cornell University), Feb. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2502.08542>.
- [70] P. Wacnik, S. R. Daly, and A. Verma, “Participatory design: a systematic review and insights for future practice,” *Design Science*, vol. 11, Jan. 2025, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/dsj.2025.10009>.
- [71] J. W. Acharibasam and R. Wynn, “Telemental Health in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review,” *International Journal of Telemedicine and Applications*, vol. 2018, pp. 1–10, Nov. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/9602821>.
- [72] Ramat Mohammed-Nasir, Kazeem Adeola Oshikoya, and I. A. Oreagba, “Digital Innovation in Healthcare Entrepreneurship,” *Medical Entrepreneurship*, pp. 341–372, Jan. 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6696-5_22.
- [73] T. Dieguez and L. Melo, “Digital Health Challenges in Social Entrepreneurship: Insights and Best Practices,” *European Conference on Management Leadership and Governance*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 541–548, Nov. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.34190/ecmlg.19.1.1941>.
- [74] Dieguez, T., & Melo, L. (2023). Digital Health Challenges in Social Entrepreneurship: Insights and Best Practices. *European Conference on Management Leadership and Governance*.
- [75] R. K. Thapa and T. Iakovleva, “Responsible innovation in venture creation and firm development: the case of digital innovation in healthcare and welfare services,” *Journal of Responsible Innovation*, pp. 1–27, Feb. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23299460.2023.2170624>.
- [76] H. Truong and C. S. McLachlan, “Analysis of Startup Digital Mental Health Platforms for Enterprise: Opportunities for Enhancing Communication between Managers and Employees,” *sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 7, p. 3929, Jan. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14073929>.
- [77] U. Baig, B. M. Hussain, I. Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, and S. Davidavicius, “Digital Entrepreneurship: Future Research Directions and Opportunities for New Business Model,” *sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 9, p. 5004, Apr. 2022, doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095004>.
- [78] I. Kulkov et al., “Technology entrepreneurship in healthcare: Challenges and opportunities for value creation,” *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 100365, Apr. 2023, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2023.100365>.
- [79] NICE, “NICE | the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence,” NICE, 2025. <https://www.nice.org.uk/>
- [80] J. Torous, J. Nicholas, M. E. Larsen, J. Firth, and H. Christensen, “Clinical review of user engagement with mental health smartphone apps: evidence, theory and improvements,” *Evidence Based Mental Health*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 116–119, Jun. 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/eb-2018-102891>.
- [81] S. Balduzzi, G. Rücker, and G. Schwarzer, “How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial,” *Evidence Based Mental Health*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 153–160, Sep. 2019, doi: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31563865/>