

RESOLVING INTERPROFESSIONAL TEAM CONFLICTS IN HEALTHCARE: EVIDENCE, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

DANIA BASEED¹, SARAH ALQURASHI², BUDUR ALNJJAR³,
ABRAR ABDULLAH ALSAIF⁴, HANAN SAIF ALSAIF⁵, GHADAH
MATER ALAMRI⁶, ASMA ODAH ALANAZI⁷, LAMYAA MANEA
ALMUTAIRI⁸, AFNAN AYMAN HIJAZI⁹, HANAN HADI HALMAN¹⁰,
ALANOUD FAHAD ALMUTIRI¹¹, NOUF MUSLIH ALANAZI¹²

¹ PEDIATRIC DENTAL ASSISTANT, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

² PEDIATRIC DENTAL ASSISTANT MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

³ PEDIATRIC DENTAL ASSISTANT MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

⁴ SOCIAL WORKER, SAUDI ARABIA, EMAIL: alsaiifab2@mngaha.med.sa

⁵ SOCIAL WORKER, SAUDI ARABIA, EMAIL: alsaiifha@mngaha.med.sa

⁶ SOCIAL WORKER, SAUDI ARABIA, EMAIL: alamraigh@mngaha.med.sa

⁷ DENTAL ASSISTANT, NGAH, SAUDI ARABIA

⁸ RADIOLOGY TECHNOLOGIST, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

⁹ RADIOLOGY TECHNOLOGIST, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

¹⁰ ULTRASOUND TECHNOLOGIST, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

¹¹ RADIOLOGY TECHNOLOGIST, MINISTRY OF NATIONAL GUARD HEALTH AFFAIRS, SAUDI ARABIA

¹² LABORATORY, NATIONAL GUARD HOSPITAL, SAUDI ARABIA

Accepted: 15-07-2025

Published: 15-09-2025

Abstract

Interprofessional team conflicts in healthcare settings are inevitable and can significantly impact patient care quality, team effectiveness, and provider well-being. This comprehensive review examines the nature, sources, and management of conflicts in interprofessional healthcare teams, with particular attention to evidence-based resolution strategies. Drawing from theoretical frameworks including social identity theory, conflict process models, and psychological safety concepts, we analyze how professional identities, power dynamics, and organizational structures influence conflict patterns. The review identifies key conflict types—task, relationship, and process conflicts—and their differential effects on team functioning. Evidence-based resolution approaches are critically evaluated, including structured communication protocols, team charters, transformational conflict management, and emotion regulation strategies. The COVID-19 pandemic introduced new conflict dimensions in healthcare teams, particularly in intensive care settings, highlighting the need for context-specific approaches. Implementation challenges are discussed, including structural barriers, professional culture influences, and power imbalances. Emerging trends in conflict resolution research point toward promising directions: team mindfulness, transactive memory systems, conflict as learning opportunity, and virtual team conflict management. The article concludes with practical recommendations for healthcare organizations and future research directions that acknowledge conflict as an opportunity for team development rather than merely a problem to eliminate. Effective conflict management requires multilevel approaches addressing individual competencies, team processes, and organizational systems to transform potential destructive conflicts into catalysts for improved collaboration and patient care.

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare delivery has evolved significantly over the past decades, shifting from traditional hierarchical models to collaborative interprofessional team approaches. This transition, while essential for comprehensive patient care, introduces complex dynamics that can lead to interprofessional conflicts. The interprofessional healthcare team—typically comprising physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, physiotherapists, and other specialists—must function cohesively despite members having different professional cultures, values, communication styles, and perspectives (Orchard et al., 2015). When these differences clash, conflict emerges, potentially affecting team performance, patient outcomes, and healthcare provider well-being.

Conflict in healthcare teams is inevitable and, if properly managed, can even be productive. As Jehn (1995) notes, certain types of conflict can generate innovative solutions and deeper understanding among team members. However, unresolved or poorly managed conflict can be detrimental, leading to decreased job satisfaction, higher

turnover rates, and compromised patient safety (Greer et al., 2012). The COVID-19 pandemic has further highlighted these issues, as healthcare teams faced unprecedented stressors including resource scarcity, ethical dilemmas, and rapidly changing protocols (Czyz-Szypenbejl et al., 2022).

This study synthesizes current evidence on interprofessional team conflict resolution in healthcare, examining conflict types, theoretical frameworks, resolution strategies, and emerging research directions. Through a comprehensive analysis of the literature, we aim to provide insights into effective conflict management approaches and identify gaps requiring further investigation. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for developing healthcare systems that not only acknowledge conflict as inherent to team functioning but also possess the capacity to harness it constructively for improved patient care and provider satisfaction.

Understanding Interprofessional Team Conflict

Types and Sources of Conflict

The literature consistently identifies several key types of conflict in healthcare teams. Jehn (1995) provides a foundational classification, distinguishing between task conflict (disagreements about work content), relationship conflict (interpersonal incompatibilities), and process conflict (disagreements about how work should be accomplished). O'Neill et al. (2013) conducted a meta-analysis confirming these distinctions and their varying impacts on team functioning.

In healthcare specifically, common sources of conflict include:

1. **Role boundary issues:** Confusion or disagreement about professional responsibilities and scope of practice (Allen, 2020)
2. **Power imbalances:** Traditional hierarchical structures that impede collaborative decision-making (Marquis & Huston, 2009)
3. **Communication breakdowns:** Misunderstandings stemming from different professional languages and documentation practices (Vivar, 2006)
4. **Resource constraints:** Competition for limited time, space, equipment, or personnel (Czyz-Szypenbejl et al., 2022)
5. **Ethical dilemmas:** Disagreements about patient care decisions, particularly in end-of-life scenarios (Studdart et al., 2003; Breen et al., 2001)

These conflicts manifest differently depending on team composition, organizational culture, and situational factors. Notably, the COVID-19 pandemic introduced new conflict sources in intensive care settings, including ethical challenges regarding resource allocation, visitation policies, and end-of-life care when family presence was restricted (Czyz-Szypenbejl et al., 2022).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS FOR UNDERSTANDING CONFLICT

Several theoretical frameworks help explain the nature and dynamics of interprofessional conflict:

Social Identity Theory: This perspective examines how professional identity influences team dynamics. Turner and Reynolds (2001) explain that healthcare professionals develop strong in-group affiliations during their training, potentially creating "us versus them" mentalities that complicate interprofessional collaboration. Ashforth and Mael (1989) further note that these professional identities can become barriers to effective teamwork when they supersede team identity.

Conflict Process Models: Pondy's (1967) model describes conflict as unfolding through stages: latent conflict (underlying conditions), perceived conflict (awareness), felt conflict (emotional response), manifest conflict (behavioral response), and conflict aftermath (resolution and impact). This process-oriented view helps teams recognize conflict at various stages and intervene appropriately.

Dual Identity Development: Khalili and Orchard (2020) propose that effective interprofessional collaboration requires professionals to develop both a strong professional identity and an interprofessional team identity. This dual identity enables team members to maintain their specialized expertise while effectively functioning within the larger team.

Psychological Safety Framework: O'Leary (2016) emphasizes that team psychological safety—the shared belief that the team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking—is fundamental to constructive conflict management. In psychologically safe environments, team members feel comfortable expressing disagreement without fear of rejection or retaliation.

Understanding these theoretical frameworks provides a foundation for developing effective conflict resolution approaches tailored to healthcare teams' unique characteristics and challenges.

Conflict Resolution Strategies in Healthcare

Evidence-Based Approaches

Research has identified several effective strategies for managing interprofessional conflict in healthcare settings:

Structured Communication Protocols: Hartman and Crume (2014) found that implementing structured communication tools reduces misunderstandings and prevents escalation of conflicts. These protocols create shared language and expectations for difficult conversations.

Team Charters and Performance Strategies: Mathieu and Rapp (2009) demonstrated that teams with clearly defined charters—documents outlining team purpose, values, roles, and conflict management processes—experience fewer destructive conflicts and resolve issues more efficiently.

Transformational Conflict Management: Jameson et al. (2010) advocate for approaches that transform conflict into opportunities for team growth. This involves addressing emotional components of conflict rather than focusing solely on substantive disagreements.

Self-Directed Team Resolution: Appelbaum et al. (1999) describe how teams can develop internal capacity for conflict resolution through collaborative problem-solving approaches that emphasize mutual gains and interest-based negotiations.

Emotion Regulation Strategies: Van den Berg et al. (2014) and Halperin et al. (2014) highlight the importance of emotion regulation in conflict situations. Teaching team members to recognize and manage emotional responses improves conflict resolution outcomes.

Third-Party Facilitation: For more complex conflicts, Johansen (2012) suggests that neutral third parties can help facilitate resolution when team members cannot resolve issues independently. These facilitators may be managers, mediators, or organizational conflict specialists.

The Role of Leadership in Conflict Resolution

Leaders play a crucial role in establishing environments conducive to constructive conflict management. Porter-O'Grady (2004) identifies several leadership approaches that support effective conflict resolution:

1. **Modeling appropriate conflict behaviors:** Leaders who demonstrate respectful engagement during disagreements establish norms for the entire team.
2. **Creating psychological safety:** As described by O'Leary (2016), leaders can foster environments where team members feel safe expressing concerns and disagreements.
3. **Balancing accountability with autonomy:** Siu et al. (2008) found that leaders who establish clear accountability structures while allowing appropriate professional autonomy reduce destructive conflicts.
4. **Developing conflict management competencies:** Sexton and Orchard (2016) emphasize the importance of leaders building team members' self-efficacy for resolving interprofessional conflicts.

Effective leaders recognize that conflict management is not merely about resolving individual disputes but about creating systems and cultures that harness conflict's potential benefits while minimizing its destructive effects.

Challenges in Implementing Conflict Resolution Approaches

Despite substantial literature on conflict resolution strategies, healthcare organizations face significant challenges in implementing effective approaches:

Structural and Organizational Barriers

Healthcare systems often maintain hierarchical structures that impede collaborative conflict resolution. Azoulay et al. (2009) identified organizational factors associated with increased ICU conflicts, including unclear decision-making processes, inadequate staffing, and poor physical environments. These structural issues create conditions where conflicts are more likely to emerge and more difficult to resolve.

Additionally, time constraints in busy healthcare environments limit opportunities for thoughtful conflict resolution. Kayser and Kaplan (2020) note that the fast-paced nature of critical care settings often leads to conflict avoidance rather than resolution, as team members lack time for the dialogue necessary to address underlying issues.

Professional Culture Challenges

Deeply ingrained professional cultures can create barriers to interprofessional conflict resolution. Traditional medical education has emphasized individual expertise over collaborative skills, leaving many healthcare professionals ill-prepared for team conflicts (Greer et al., 2012). Professional socialization processes may even reinforce conflict avoidance as preferable to direct engagement with disagreements.

Baddar et al. (2016) found that healthcare professionals often default to accommodating or avoiding conflict rather than engaging in more collaborative resolution approaches. This tendency reflects both professional socialization and organizational cultures that prioritize harmony over productive engagement with differences.

Interprofessional Power Dynamics

Power imbalances between healthcare professions create additional challenges for conflict resolution. McConnell (1988) describes how moral conflicts in healthcare often reflect differing values between professional groups with unequal decision-making authority. When nurses, for example, disagree with physician decisions but lack organizational power to influence outcomes, conflicts may remain unaddressed or be resolved through power rather than collaboration.

Mohaupt et al. (2012) applied contact theory to understand how interprofessional relationships develop and found that equal status contact is essential for building collaborative relationships. However, healthcare environments rarely provide this equal status, complicating conflict resolution efforts.

Measuring Effectiveness in Conflict Resolution

Evaluating the effectiveness of conflict resolution strategies presents methodological challenges but remains essential for evidence-based practice. Several approaches to measurement have emerged in the literature:

Team Performance Metrics

Costa et al. (2015) examined how team conflict influences team resources, engagement, and performance. Their research suggests that measuring team performance outcomes—including efficiency, error rates, and innovation—provides indirect evidence of conflict resolution effectiveness. Similarly, Lin et al. (2020) demonstrated connections between conflict management approaches and team excellence metrics.

Process-Based Assessment

Tekleab et al. (2009) conducted longitudinal research examining how conflict management processes influence team cohesion and effectiveness over time. This process-oriented approach acknowledges that conflict resolution effectiveness involves not just outcomes but the quality of engagement during conflicts.

Perceptual Measures

Sinha et al. (2016) highlight the importance of team members' perceptions of conflict, noting that asymmetric perceptions (when some members perceive more conflict than others) significantly impact resolution effectiveness. Measuring these perceptions provides insight into how conflicts are experienced and managed within teams.

Mixed-Method Approaches

Lavelle et al. (2022) combined quantitative performance metrics with qualitative assessments of team dynamics to understand how interpersonal conflict affects hospital team performance. This mixed-method approach captures both objective outcomes and subjective experiences of conflict resolution processes.

Emerging Trends and Future Directions

Recent research reveals several promising directions for advancing interprofessional conflict resolution in healthcare:

Team Mindfulness and Resilience

Ni et al. (2021) explored how team mindfulness influences relationship conflict, finding that mindfulness practices help teams manage conflicts more effectively by increasing awareness of interpersonal dynamics and reducing reactive responses. Peñarroja et al. (2022) demonstrated that online affect management interventions can reduce relationship conflict and increase team resilience, suggesting technology-supported approaches to building conflict management capacity.

Transactive Memory Systems

Lavelle et al. (2022) examined how transactive memory systems—shared understanding of who knows what within a team—influence conflict dynamics. Their research suggests that building these shared knowledge systems may prevent conflicts arising from misunderstandings about team members' expertise and roles.

Conflict as a Learning Opportunity

Van Woerkom and van Engen (2009) reframed conflict as a potential learning opportunity, demonstrating that task conflicts, when managed properly, can enhance team learning and performance. This perspective shifts focus from conflict elimination to leveraging disagreements for team development.

Virtual Team Conflict Management

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of virtual healthcare teams, creating new challenges for conflict management. Peñarroja et al. (2022) identified unique approaches for managing virtual team conflicts, emphasizing the need for structured online communication and explicit attention to relationship-building in virtual environments.

Interprofessional Education Innovations

Lui et al. (2020) studied conflict in interprofessional student teams, finding that early educational interventions can establish positive conflict management patterns that persist into professional practice. This research suggests interprofessional education represents a critical opportunity to develop conflict management competencies before entrenched professional identities form.

Recommendations for Practice and Research

Based on the literature reviewed, several recommendations emerge for healthcare organizations seeking to improve interprofessional conflict resolution:

For Healthcare Organizations

1. **Develop comprehensive conflict management systems:** Following Porter-O'Grady's (2004) recommendations, organizations should establish clear processes for identifying, addressing, and learning from interprofessional conflicts.
2. **Invest in interprofessional education:** Bainbridge et al. (2010) emphasize that collaboration competencies, including conflict management, should be systematically developed through education programs that bring together diverse professional groups.
3. **Create psychological safety:** O'Leary (2016) provides evidence that psychological safety is fundamental to constructive conflict engagement. Organizations should assess and address factors that undermine team members' willingness to express disagreement.
4. **Implement team charters:** As Mathieu and Rapp (2009) demonstrated, establishing clear team charters that address conflict processes proactively reduces destructive conflicts and improves resolution outcomes.
5. **Provide conflict coaching resources:** Sexton and Orchard (2016) found that building healthcare professionals' self-efficacy for conflict management improves resolution outcomes. Organizations should offer coaching and skill development opportunities.

For Future Research

1. **Examine longitudinal outcomes:** More studies like Tekleab et al.'s (2009) longitudinal research are needed to understand how conflict resolution approaches influence team functioning over time.

2. **Develop contextually sensitive measures:** As Maltarich et al. (2018) note, conflict manifests differently across contexts. Research should develop and validate measurement approaches that capture the unique characteristics of interprofessional healthcare conflicts.
3. **Explore technology-supported interventions:** Building on Peñarroja et al.'s (2022) work with online interventions, researchers should investigate how technology can support conflict resolution in increasingly virtual healthcare environments.
4. **Examine pandemic impacts:** Czyz-Szypenbejl et al. (2022) identified unique conflict dynamics during the COVID-19 pandemic. Further research should investigate how crisis situations influence interprofessional conflict patterns and resolution approaches.
5. **Investigate implementation factors:** More research is needed on factors that facilitate or impede the implementation of evidence-based conflict resolution approaches in healthcare organizations.

CONCLUSION

Interprofessional team conflict in healthcare presents both challenges and opportunities. When managed effectively, conflict can drive innovation, deepen understanding between professional groups, and ultimately improve patient care. However, unresolved or poorly managed conflict threatens team functioning, provider well-being, and care quality.

This review has synthesized current evidence on conflict types, theoretical frameworks, resolution strategies, and emerging trends. The literature reveals that effective conflict resolution requires multilevel approaches that address individual skills, team processes, and organizational systems. While substantial evidence exists regarding effective strategies, implementation remains challenging due to structural barriers, professional culture factors, and power dynamics in healthcare environments.

Moving forward, healthcare organizations must recognize conflict as an inevitable aspect of interprofessional practice and develop systematic approaches to harnessing its constructive potential while mitigating its destructive effects. Researchers should continue exploring innovative approaches to conflict resolution, particularly in evolving healthcare contexts that include virtual teams, crisis situations, and increasingly complex care environments.

By embracing conflict as an opportunity rather than a threat, healthcare teams can transform disagreements into catalysts for improved collaboration, professional growth, and enhanced patient outcomes. The path toward this transformation requires continued investment in evidence-based practices, organizational systems that support constructive conflict engagement, and educational approaches that prepare healthcare professionals for the complex interpersonal dynamics inherent in contemporary healthcare delivery.

REFERENCES

1. Allen, D.E. (2020). Interprofessional role clarification among licensed health care practitioners in rural and smaller community hospitals. Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Repository, 7029. <https://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd/7029>
2. Appelbaum, S.H., Abdallah, C., & Shapiro, B.T. (1999). The self-directed team: A conflict resolution strategy. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 5(2), 60-77.
3. Ashforth, B.E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20-39.
4. Azoulay, E., Timsit, J.F., Sprung, C.L., et al. (2009). Prevalence and factors of intensive care unit conflicts: The conflicus study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 180(9), 853-860.
5. Baddar, F., Salem, O.A., & Villagrancia, H.N. (2016). Conflict resolution strategies of nurses in a selected government tertiary hospital in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 6(5), 91-95.
6. Bainbridge, L., Nasmith, L., Orchard, C., et al. (2010). Competencies for interprofessional collaboration. *Journal of Physical Therapy Education*, 24(1), 6-11.
7. Breen, C.M., Abernethy, A.P., Abbott, K.H., et al. (2001). Conflict associated with decisions to limit life-sustaining treatment in intensive care units. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 16(5), 283-289.
8. Boell, S.K., & Cecez-Kecmanovic, D. (2014). A hermeneutic approach for conducting literature reviews and literature searches. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 34, 257-286.
9. Costa, P.L., Passos, A.M., & Bakker, A.B. (2015). Direct and contextual influence of team conflict on team resources, teamwork engagement, and team performance. *International Association for Conflict Management*, 8(3), 211-227.
10. Czyz-Szypenbejl, K., Medrzycka-Dabrowska, W., Falcó-Pegueroles, A., et al. (2022). Conflict sources and management in the ICU setting before and during COVID-19: A scoping review of the literature. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(3), 1-14.
11. Greer, L.L., Saygi, O., Aaldering, H., et al. (2012). Conflict in medical teams: Opportunity or danger? *Medical Education*, 46(9), 935-942.

12. Halperin, E., Cohen-Chen, S., & Goldenberg, A. (2014). Indirect emotion regulation in intractable conflicts: A new approach to conflict resolution. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 25(1), 1-31.
13. Hartman, R.L., & Crume, A.L. (2014). Educating nursing students in team conflict communication. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 4(11), 107-118.
14. Jameson, J.K., Bodtker, A.M., & Linker, T. (2010). Facilitating conflict transformation: Mediator strategies for eliciting emotional communication in a workplace conflict. *Negotiation Journal*, 26(1), 25-48.
15. Jehn, K.A. (1995). A multimethod examination of the benefits and detriments of intragroup conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(2), 256-282.
16. Johansen, M.L. (2012). Keeping the peace: Conflict management strategies for nurse managers. *Nursing Management*, 43(2), 50-54.
17. Kayser, J.B., & Kaplan, L.J. (2020). Conflict management in the ICU. *Critical Care Medicine*, 48(9), 1349-1357.
18. Khalili, H., & Orchard, C. (2020). The effects of an IPS-based IPE program on interprofessional socialization and dual identity development. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 34(3), 1-11.
19. Lavelle, M., Darzi, A., Starodub, R., et al. (2022). The role of transactive memory systems, psychological safety and interpersonal conflict in hospital team performance. *Ergonomics*, 65(4), 519-529.
20. Lin, C.P., Liu, C.M., & Liao, W.S. (2020). Being excellent: Predicting team performance based on social cognitive theory and social identification theory. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 31(13), 1363-1380.
21. Lui, H.Y., Wang, I.T., Hsu, D.Y., et al. (2020). Conflict and interactions on interdisciplinary nursing student teams: The moderating effects of spontaneous communication. *Nurse Education Today*, 94, 1-7.
22. Maltarich, M.A., Kukenberger, M., Reilly, G., et al. (2018). Conflict in teams: Modeling early and late conflict states and the interactive effects of conflict processes. *Group & Organization Management*, 43(1), 6-37.
23. Marquis, B.L., & Huston, C.J. (2009). *Leadership roles and management functions in nursing theory and applications* (6th ed). New York, NY: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
24. Mathieu, J.E., & Rapp, T.L. (2009). Laying the foundation for successful team performance trajectories: The roles of team charters and performance strategies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1), 90-103.
25. McConnell, T. (1988). Interpersonal moral conflicts. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 25(1), 25-35.
26. Mohaupt, J., Van Soeren, M., Andrusyszyn, M.A., et al. (2012). Understanding interprofessional relationships by the use of contact theory. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 26(5), 370-375.
27. Ni, D., Zheng, X., & Liang, L.H. (2021). Rethinking the role of team mindfulness in team relationship conflict: A conflict management perspective. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 43(5), 878-891.
28. O'Leary, D.F. (2016). Exploring the importance of team psychological safety in the development of two interprofessional teams. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 30(1), 29-34.
29. O'Neill, T.A., Allen, N.J., & Hastings, S.E. (2013). Examining the "pros" and "cons" of team conflict: A team-level meta-analysis of task, relationship, and process conflict. *Human Performance*, 26(3), 236-260.
30. Orchard, C., & Bainbridge, L. (2015). *Interprofessional collaborative client-centred practice: What does it look like? How do we get there?* New York, NY: Nova Scientific Publishing.
31. Peñarroja, V., González-Anta, B., Orengo, V., et al. (2022). Reducing relationship conflict in virtual teams with diversity faultlines: The effect of an online affect management intervention on the rate of growth of team resilience. *Social Science Computer Review*, 40(1), 388-404.
32. Pondy, L.R. (1967). Organizational conflict: Concepts and models. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 12(2), 296-320.
33. Porter-O'Grady, T. (2004). Constructing a conflict resolution program for health care. *Health Care Management Review*, 29(4), 278-283.
34. Sexton, M., & Orchard, C. (2016). Understanding healthcare professionals' self-efficacy to resolve interprofessional conflict. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 30(3), 316-323.
35. Sinha, R., Janardhanan, N.S., Greer, L.L., et al. (2016). Skewed task conflicts in teams: What happens when a few members see more conflict than the rest? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 101(7), 1045-1055.
36. Siu, H., Spence Laschinger, H.K., & Finegan, J. (2008). Nursing professional practice environments: Setting the stage for constructive conflict resolution and work effectiveness. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 38(5), 250-257.
37. Studdart, D., Burns, J., Mello, M., et al. (2003). Nature of conflict in the care of pediatric intensive care patients with prolonged stay. *Pediatrics*, 112(3), 553-558.
38. Tekleab, A., Quigley, N.R., & Tesluk, P.E. (2009). A longitudinal study of team conflict, conflict management, cohesion, and team effectiveness. *Group & Organization Management*, 34(2), 170-205.
39. Turner, J.C., & Reynolds, K.J. (2001). The social identity perspective in intergroup relations: Theories, themes, and controversies. In R. Brown & S. Gaertner (Eds.), *Intergroup processes*, Blackwell handbook of social psychology (pp. 133-151). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishers.
40. Van den Berg, W., Curseu, P.L., & Meeus, M.T.H. (2014). Emotion regulation and conflict transformation in multi-team systems. *International Journal of Conflict Management*, 25(2), 171-188.

-
41. van Woerkom, M., & van Engen, M.L. (2009). Learning from conflicts? The relations between task and relationship conflicts, team learning and team performance. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 18(4), 381-404.
 42. Vivar, C.G. (2006). Putting conflict management into practice: A nursing case study. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 14(3), 201-206.