

---

# DIGITAL NARRATIVES IN VIRTUAL REALITY MEDIA

LIU YUANYUAN<sup>1</sup>, MUS CHAIRIL SAMANI<sup>2</sup>, MOHD NOR

SHAHIZAN BIN ALI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PHD, LIU YUANYUAN, FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & HUMANITIES, THE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MALAYSIA. EMAIL: Liuyuan0203@Hotmail.Com

<sup>2</sup>MUS CHAIRIL SAMANI, FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & HUMANITIES, THE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MALAYSIA. CORRESPONDING AUTHOR EMAIL: muschairilsamani@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>MOHD NOR SHAHIZAN BIN ALI, FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & HUMANITIES, THE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF MALAYSIA. EMAIL: shahizan@ukm.edu.my

---

## ABSTRACT

Digital storytelling is a narrative paradigm implemented the digital media ecosystem. Through an in-depth analysis of digital storytelling, this study aims to reveal the application of digital storytelling in virtual reality media. This article explores the relationship between virtual reality media and digital storytelling, and examining four key factors in the application of digital storytelling in virtual reality media. The study also delves into the practical application of digital storytelling in virtual reality media, with particular emphasis on the use of symbols, images, and abstract representational techniques. These literature reviews provide guidance for the development of digital narratives in virtual reality media.

**Key word:** digital narrative, virtual reality media, storytelling

---

## 1.INTRODUCTION

In contemporary digital environment, interactive fiction, interactive film, and virtual reality media interaction are at the forefront of narrative practice. The era of virtual media literacy and public information education has arrived (Livingstone, 2003). In the digital age, virtual reality and new media technologies offer us new opportunities. This article focus on the formation and analytical model of digital narrative structures in virtual reality media. From oral communication and printed literature to electronic media such as film and television, the development of human narrative has always been closely linked to the changes in the media forms they rely on (Baptista, 2006). Digital narrative has emerged as a new communication method and system, representing a new paradigm for storytelling. Digital narrative promotes the practice of interactive storytelling, which is significantly different from traditional narrative methods (Darmstadt, 2004). Digital narrative allows participants to customize the narrative content according to their personal preferences, making the experience more personalized (Ervik, 2017), such as in war stories. Action refers to the reader's influence in the narrative. Digital narratives enable more diverse forms of communication and richer experiences. When people identify with characters in a narrative, they can empathize with their emotions and feelings (Piccolo, 2020). Digital narratives allow people to influence the development of the story (Christian & Koenitz, 2017). For example, in a "choose your own adventure" narrative, readers can take their own path throughout the narrative. Digital storytelling is developing a new digital narrative thinking that aims to pursue more effective and beneficial communication methods.

The development of digital storytelling has significant application value. Firstly, interactivity and immersion are

core features of digital storytelling. The creative process consists of five stages: connection, integration, interaction, transformation, and presentation. Audience participation and interaction are essential throughout this process (Ascott, 2012). Secondly, digital storytelling utilizes semiotics to construct a virtual context. For example, the use of semiotic resources such as text format, layout, color, and dynamics is crucial (Bignell, 1997). Virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and mixed reality (MR) are three of the most popular devices. These technologies transform and deconstruct our physical environment, creating immersive virtual environments (Noordegraaf & Saba, 2013). Finally, spatial storytelling creates a multidimensional viewing experience. This process not only allows viewers to form a relationship with the narrative but also makes viewing no longer a one-way passive experience.

The exploration of digital storytelling has opened up new possibilities for the development of virtual reality media. Digital storytelling, a form of online storytelling conducted through human-computer interaction, has attracted widespread attention for its ability to allow users to take active roles in digital environments. It relies on interaction, with participants interacting with computer programs to produce corresponding outputs (Koenitz, 2013). Immersive interaction is a key form of digital storytelling, and challenging within digital storytelling have become a key strategy for public expectations.

Digital narratives in virtual reality media places higher demands on narrative capabilities. In digital storytelling of virtual reality media, the boundaries between content and experience will gradually blur, even merging completely. The characteristics of digital storytelling in virtual reality media is its ability to construct grand histories, unique universes, and sci-fi futures. Digital storytelling in virtual reality media is a fusion of diversity and multimedia. It can be a combination of games and stories, a blend of content and geography and history, or a fusion of the physical and the virtual. Unlike traditional content scenarios such as novels and films, digital narratives in virtual reality media must be multi-dimensional, utilizing comprehensive media and fostering interconnected and symbiotic relationships.

The digital narratives of virtual reality media can be divided four types:

### **1. Interactive digital narratives**

Interactive digital narratives are important aspect of digital narratives research, refers to a narrative method that allows users to participate in narrative through role-playing, human-computer dialogue, and allows user behavior to change the narrative process or results to enhance user participation experience (Laurel, 1997).

### **2. Immersive digital narratives**

Immersive digital narratives are the distinctive feature of digital narratives research, creating a world that seamlessly connects reality and virtuality. The world must be rich in detail, stimulating various senses such as touchable scenes, sounds, and sights, with a focus on creating "sense of presence" (Bucher, 2017).

### **3. Semiotics narratives**

Semiotic narrative is a visual representation of digital narratives research, combining semiotics and computer theory, simulation is to imitate the source system through a different system. This system model retains certain behavioral characteristics of the source system and responds to specific stimuli (inputs) according to a set of conditions, representations and simulations as ways of describing the world (Frasca, 2004).

### **4. Spatial Narratives**

The key to the context construction of spatial narratives is space. Use the thinking method of space to understand stories, events, and character behaviors, and then deconstruct and reorganize them, and get unique narrative space. Using the change of place as the dominant element of the narrative, narrative context is constructed using spatial language (Farman, 2021).

Digital narratives in virtual reality media constructs a virtual reality world through computer-generated three-dimensional space. By integrating multi-source information, the system simulates interactive three-dimensional scenes and physical behaviors, acting on the audience's visual, auditory, tactile, and force senses to create an

immersive experience. This digital narratives experience undergo structural changes, creating a new contextual experience space for narrative, breaking down the boundaries between the audience and traditional narrative.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEWS

### 2.1 Media ecology

Media ecology views media as an environment, exploring the “interactive and symbiotic relationship between culture, technology, and human communication” (Strategy, 2004). Neil Postman, the founder of the discipline, studied how technology and communication tools control information, and how information structures and biases influence people's perceptions, values, and attitudes (Postman, 1968).

In his speech, Neil Postman defined media ecology as “the study of media as their environment.” This definition marks a significant advancement in media ecology as a discipline. In era of new technological innovation, the fragmentation and deconstruction of language are becoming increasingly evident due to external factors such as the integration of new media and virtual reality, as well as the diversification of information reception and distribution terminals. A series of new ways of thinking, expression, and creation are constantly challenging traditional norms and concepts, requiring a re-examination of some of the most basic common-sense concepts (O'Neill, 2005).

Technology subverts human cognitive models by enabling them to acquire knowledge in virtual spaces. Similarly, within the virtual space of the media ecosystem, we can follow its principles to study the dissemination, monitoring, and control of social responsibility, cultural identity, and media literacy (Mihailidis & Thevenin, 2013). The media ecosystem is a dynamic, organic and holistic operating mechanism, connect people, media and their environment into a network-like structural existence, It also focus on the all-round development of people and deeper social relevance (Postman, 2000).

### 2.2 Virtual reality media

Virtual reality media is the media form that simulates virtual worlds or communities through virtual reality technology. Virtual reality media is not only a virtual technological system but also an intuitive technological system. It leverages various control elements, such as data, metaphor, and public opinion, to regulate social systems (Livingstone, 2003). In this process, virtual reality media imbues the production and use technology with new meanings and ethics, highlighting key social-shaping characteristics such as virtuality, interactivity, the body, mind, and self-discipline. The intuitive expressions in virtual reality media, such as aesthetics, emotion, consciousness, attitudes, and thought, have profoundly influenced the development of multiple aspects of media literacy, touching upon people's identity, culture, education, and aesthetics (Lewis, 2021).

Virtual reality media cultivating people's digital personality. As digital online life becomes a parallel world to real life, digital personality have become a new, active subject. Digital personality refers to the personality structures individuals construct and display in digital environments. They are both related to and distinct from their real personalities. Digital personality are comprehensive representation of the real self, the ideal self, and the potential self, influencing individuals' cognition, emotions, behavior, and values in digital environments (Mossberger, 2007). Digital personas not only influence individuals' personalities, narratives, and values, but also motivate them to select and use different digital media forms, creating and managing diverse digital personality to achieve adjustment and optimization. All real-world representations may be replaced, extended, intertwined, or reconstructed through the influence of digital personality.

### 2.3 Digital narratives in virtual reality media

Storytelling, a uniquely human trait, is a product of our capacity for language and symbolic communication. In the field of media ecology, narrative is viewed not only as language and fundamental social phenomenon, but also as medium of communication (Postman, 1968). With the development of media, storytelling forms have

continuously evolved, and the role of narrative as a context has become increasingly prominent, particularly in the context of social interaction.

Digital narrative is an innovative approach to narrative theory, integrating multiple content elements such as text, images, video, and audio to create a completely new narrative method for presenting stories. Digital narratives not only encompasses traditional narrative forms but also incorporates interactive and internet technologies, significantly expanding the innovative and possibilities of narrative theory (Ferri&Gabriele, 2015). Brenda Laurel is the leading figure in digital narratives research. In her representative work *Computers as Theatre*, she applies poetic theoretical framework to the context of human-computer interaction, constructing a “poetics of interactive form.” Laurel argued that there are many similarities between traditional narrative and computer interaction. The themes, goals, conflicts, frustrations, tensions, and resolutions presented in drama are forms of interaction, much like the multiple sensors, communication links, and displays of contemporary computers (Laurel, 2013). The concept of “action” originates from Janet Murray, another leading scholar in digital narratives research. Action is a sense of empowerment brought about by the ability to act and change the world. Mathias adds “materials suitable for interaction”, such as user-selected language texts, as the material prerequisite for digital interactive narratives to occur (Murray, 1997).

Exploration the digital narratives in virtual reality media can be divided four aspects:

### **2.3.1 .Interactive digital narratives**

Participation is the core element of digital narratives in virtual reality media, and virtual reality media environment is reshaping the meaning of participation. VR media integrates new modes of participation with identity, exploring how identity is formed in virtual media worlds and presenting significant development opportunities within information technology and intelligent digital media platforms (Mihailidis &Thevenin, 2013). virtual reality media also explores how virtual social identities are created in digital worlds and how the self is reshaped within simulated environments. Rethinking civic engagement in virtual worlds can help us better understand the relationship between young people's selves and social identities, going beyond narrow definitions of identity formation and participation (Castells, 2012).

Interactive digital narratives means that the storyline is not fixed but rather changes based on the audience's input into the narrative system. This allows the audience to feel personally involved in the story's progression while conveying the author's ideas (Ferri& Gabriele, 2015). Interactive elements introduce diversity and complexity to the narrative path, placing higher demands on the construction of narrative context. The characteristics of digital media have been further developed and utilized, driving the depth of narrative. Hypertext novels, text adventure games, interactive films, mobile chat novels, and immersive novels demonstrate the potential of combining narrative and interactive media. The introduction of interactive elements cedes some control to the reader, allowing the material arrangement to deviate from a linear pattern to a more complex structure (Koenitz, 2022).

Koenitz's framework and Ryan's analysis of the structure of interactive digital narratives are important theoretical studies. Scholars have also proposed several modeling tools for creating interactive narrative systems. There are several perspectives for defining interactive digital narratives: a process perspective, focusing on key behaviors during the interaction process, such as information exchange and feedback; a feature perspective, interpreting interaction as characteristic of the media; and a perceptual perspective, focusing on how users perceive interaction. Overall, interaction is a novel, expansive, and constantly evolving concept (Lindley, 2004).

The power of interaction lies in the possibility of readers making choices. In reality, the likelihood of readers choosing a particular path is influenced by many factors, the most important of which is context (Nack, 2022). Because context is an abstract and complex system, the Toulmin model, proposed by British philosopher Stephen Toulmin, is a model of argumentation. Some scholars have used the Toulmin model as a narrative measurement model, and it has also been applied to psychological measurement, demonstrating its applicability in narrative discourse research. Its core elements include claim, data, warranty, backing, qualifier and rebuttal (Toulmin, 2003).

Interactive narratives are chains of interactive nodes—a structure composed of a branching network that forms larger narrative, or a structure that generates multiple potential stories based on the audience's choices. These choices trigger a new chain of events. Thus, multiple paths of emotional journeys are designed, and the reward for audience participation is not just a button press and a reaction, but a broader, more multidimensional, and more meaningful experience (Koenitz, 2023).

American scholar Marie-Laure Ryan's book *Layers of User Participation in Digital Narrative Texts* explores the role of users in various types of digital narrative texts from the perspective of user participation, analyzing how behavioral patterns and user participation influence the development and outcomes of digital narratives (Ryan, 2011). In the field of human-computer interaction, we pay particular attention to user “engagement” and advocate drawing on classical drama theory to build positive user experience when human-computer interaction. In her book *Avatars of Story* Ryan proposes the solutions of “text frames” and “interaction models”, also known as the “Theory of Hierarchies of User Participation in Digital Texts”. A text frame refers to a story or discourse hierarchy that covers nearly all narrative possibilities, providing options for user interaction.

When creating different modes within story context, viewers participate in the narrative by taking on roles in virtual world. This allows users to act freely while the system controls the narrative trajectory. For example, the interactive adventure game “Around the World in 80 Days” is based on the classic novel by Jules Verne. In the game, the user assumes the role of a servant, accompanying his master on a journey around the world, starting in London. Upon arriving in each city, the user can explore information, buy and sell goods through relevant text options, and experience different storylines through different choices. As the user completes their global adventure, the system automatically generates a unique user story. Compared to models that alter the narrative path, this model, exemplified by interactive games, significantly increases user freedom of participation. The game's narrative uncertainty inspires users to create their own stories.

In the user-driven narrative model, participants interact with the virtual reality environment by manipulating digital entities, making choices on behalf of their roles, introducing obstacles, constructing facilities, altering environmental conditions, and even triggering events that may reshape the historical trajectory of the virtual world (Porteous & Cavazza, 2015). From an external vantage point, users can observe the world through a panoramic interface, which facilitates a more comprehensive management of narrative development. Unlike scripted storylines, virtual reality allow players considerable autonomy, while still operating within the framework of the designer's overall vision. This framework functions as a dynamic thematic structure, accommodating diverse and spontaneous variations.

In the real-time story generation mode, users embody avatars within the virtual environment, navigating and interacting with it from multiple perspectives. Their decisions directly influence the destiny of both the character and the entire virtual world. Each system execution produces novel outcomes, enabling the avatar to generate unique narrative trajectories. Thus, user engagement becomes the central mechanism of digital storytelling. In this mode, narratives emerge through enacted behavior rather than through explicit narration (Bellini, 2022).

### **2.3.2 Immersive digital narratives**

Immersive digital narratives emphasize the role of bodily states, perceptual processes, and neural-motor systems in shaping human cognition. The theory of embodied cognition conceptualizes cognition as arising from the body's interaction with its environment (Varela, 1991). It posits that cognitive processes are grounded in lived experiences, which are mediated through sensory capacities situated within broader physiological, psychological, and cultural frameworks. In recent years, as media technologies increasingly penetrate human bodily and environmental contexts, and as devices such as VR and AR extend human sensory organs, scholarly debates on cyborg avatars as digital agents in the virtual word have gained notable prominence.

Immersive digital narratives aim to create multisensory emotional experiences, enabling audiences to transition from passive observation to active spatial exploration and to engage deeply with the emotional dynamics of

characters. Lidwell (2003) associates immersion with the notion of flow, a concept originally theorized by Csikszentmihalyi (1975) at the University of Chicago. His research demonstrates that when individuals are fully absorbed in an activity, irrelevant stimuli are filtered out, while intense and fulfilling emotions arise continuously and effortlessly, resembling a “stream of consciousness.” This state, termed flow experience, represents a holistic integration of consciousness and action (Beard & Hoy, 2010). Under such conditions, individuals report subjective feelings of satisfaction, serenity, enjoyment, and inner balance, which together constitute the immersive experience (Parker et al., 2010).

Immersive experience is conceptualized as comprising multiple dimensions, including sensory, emotional, cognitive, behavioral, and relational experiences, with cognitive engagement exerting the strongest influence on experiential value. Within immersive digital narratives, audiences are situated in spaces where the boundaries between live performance and virtual reality are blurred, and narrative empathy serves as a bridge linking virtual events with real-world emotions. Among these dimensions, sensory immersion is particularly emphasized (Wegener & Kidd, 2019). It involves stimulating the five senses—vision, hearing, smell, taste, and touch—so that participants perceive the virtual environment as physically authentic. Immersive technologies facilitate such perception by deploying tools such as panoramic 3D visualization (visual), surround acoustics (auditory), tactile and force feedback systems (haptic), scent reproduction (olfactory), gustatory simulation (taste), and somatosensory replication (kinesthetic).

Immersive digital narratives are designed to deliver multisensory emotional experiences, guiding audiences from passive spectatorship toward active spatial exploration and engagement with characters’ emotional trajectories. According to von Stackelberg (2011), the essential components of immersive storytelling include design, discovery, connection, and narrative development. Firstly, a richly detailed world must be constructed to integrate physical and virtual realities, stimulating multiple senses—sight, sound, and touch, in order to establish a strong sense of presence. Secondly, audiences should be assigned roles that allow them to explore and discover within the narrative, culminating in individualized experiential journeys. Emotional resonance emerges through meaningful connections between participants and characters, with authenticity and sustained involvement serving as critical factors. Finally, adaptive mechanisms, such as adjusting task response times, can be employed to evaluate and enhance the depth of immersion.

Immersive technologies play a crucial role in supporting immersive digital narratives, shaping new formats and models for digital narratives (Viana & Nakamura, 2014). As a result, the integration of technology, application scenarios, and business frameworks is continually evolving. With the rapid advancement of VR, AR, 3D modeling, panoramic photography, and related software and hardware, audiences can engage more deeply with narrative environments. Unlike traditional narratives, immersive storytelling recreates real-world settings through digital simulation, constructing spaces that offer fully immersive experiences (Stiegler, 2017).

Traditional immersive technologies primarily relied on visual and auditory stimuli. However, technological advancements have enabled immersive experiences that incorporate touch, smell, and taste. For instance, Meta has developed tactile gloves that adjust the inflation of internal pads to apply pressure to different areas of the hand, combined with visual and auditory cues, creating the sensation of interacting with physical objects (Egliston & Carter, 2022). Similarly, OVR’s olfactory VR module releases corresponding scents, such as campfires, oceans, soil, or roses, when users approach specific virtual objects. Integrating these multi-sensory technologies into immersive digital narratives enhances the narrative environment, providing richer sensory stimulation and improving audience engagement and perception of the story.

### **2.3.3 Semiotics narratives**

The emerging digital aesthetics and social effects of virtual reality media encompass aspects such as digital form integration, virtual experiences, interactivity, and manipulation. These digital aesthetics are considered integral components of virtual reality media (Olson & Pollard, 2004). Virtual media establishes a distinct mode of

perceiving and processing information compared with traditional media, and audiences' capacities to encode and interpret virtual reality content influence their cognitive abilities (Tewksbury & Althaus, 2000).

The semiotic mechanisms in narratology include representation and simulation. Classical narratology is grounded in representation poetics, with its theoretical foundation—the distinction between “story and discourse”, assuming the story's a priori existence and stability (Fulton, 2005). By contrast, digital narratives rely on simulation poetics, in which the core idea is to replicate the temporal dynamics of the referential world using a simplified world model. Unlike simulation, representation conveys a fixed depiction of the referential world or selected aspects of it (Simanowski, 2011).

Computers represent the most advanced simulation medium currently available. They can not only accurately depict the properties of objects and systems, but also model the control principles of complex systems (Andersen, 1994). While representation is retrospective reproducing past events, simulation is prospective, anticipating changes in system behavior and incorporating uncertainty. For instance, in the classic digital game SimCity, users act as mayors managing urban development. The city's prosperity depends on factors such as taxation, infrastructure, wages, education, and environmental protection, simulating aspects of capitalist production relations in detail (Guenat, 2022).

Semiotic narratives based on the intersection of narratology and semiotics. Greimas proposed that narrative grammar applies to any symbolic text, which inherently contains a plot (Aiello, 1979). Mieke Bal integrated narratology into semiotics, combining literary research with art visual culture studies. By the late 20th century, Bal transitioned from modern to contemporary semiotics and from classical to post-classical narratology, leading Western scholarship in art history, visual culture, and literary studies into new directions. Her concepts of cultural analysis and visual narrative semiotics are considered advanced theoretical approaches (Bal, 2022). Bal's semiotic narrative theory encompasses three dimensions: firstly, applying Peirce's notion of symbols to art history to analyze visual and narrative symbols; secondly, incorporating narratology into semiotics; thirdly, broadening the interdisciplinarity of symbol theory by integrating psychoanalysis into the study of narrative symbols, thereby providing richer theoretical foundations for semiotic narratives.

In Mieke Bal's framework, this concept is referred to as the “event-sign.” Symbol is not merely a static image but possesses continuity and dynamic qualities, reflecting its narrative nature. The emergence and progression of events constitute the semiotic narrative. According to Bal, this involves the perspective and viewpoint of the observer. By choosing a specific vantage point, one generates multiple “sights” between that position and the object of observation. The relationships among these sights form the semiotic narrative, while intersections between different sights mark the occurrence, development, and turning points of events, collectively forming the narrative system of event-signs (Bal, 2013).

“Reader orientation” refers to interpreting a work from the reader's perspective. The symbolic communication chain includes at least four components: encoder, code, decoder, and communication context. Psychoanalysis is encoder-oriented, emphasizing the author's intentions; formal analysis is code-oriented, focus on the form of the code; and reader-response criticism is reader-oriented, highlighting the reader's interpretation (Ferri, 2015). This approach stresses the interpretive role of the reader in information transmission and considers how readers perceive and interpret event symbols.

#### **2.3.4 Spatial Narratives**

The man-made environment can not only be a carrier of media, but it can also become a medium itself (Marvin & Hong, 2017). People perceive space similarly to interpreting images and texts, with spatial cognition involving the simplification of complex three-dimensional spaces into basic representations. Research in environmental psychology indicates that a space's physical layout can affect emotions, behaviors, and social interactions. Additionally, cognitive psychology studies highlight the importance of spatial memory and navigation in human cognitive processes (Gyselinck & Pazzaglia, 2012).

Henri Lefebvre seeks to reconstruct a meta-theory of space, proposing that space is not pre-given but generated, possessing both constructive power and practical reflexivity (Lefebvre, 1974). The spatial environment shapes social relations via three mechanisms: spatial propinquity, the geographic closeness between actors; spatial composition, the arrangement of fixed locations enabling interactions; and spatial configuration, the division of space into subunits through boundaries and pathways (Adler, 2019).

Since the 1960s, a significant narrative trend has been the technological compression of space and time, it means that the tangible uniqueness of physical locations could become less relevant. The immediacy of digital communication appeared capable of diminishing the distinct characteristics of places (Marvin & Hong, 2017). Today, spatial media often blends “virtual” and “real” elements, giving rise to diverse types of spatial media. When viewing virtual reality environments as a media concern, the spatial context plays a key role in shaping cognitive aspects of virtual reality media.

Physical spatial boundaries cannot prevent the transformations driven by communication and media technologies, affecting how people perceive these new environments. This phenomenon is referred to as context collapse. The idea of environmental collapse clarifies how to reinterpret the social effects of media technology and how to facilitate collective engagement and experiences within virtual reality spatial media, significantly influencing individual development (Marvin & Hong, 2017).

Lapenta defines geomedia as platforms that integrate existing electronic media, the Internet, location-based technologies, and augmented reality (AR) technologies to create new forms of digital composite imaging, data linkage, and socially mediated information exchange (Lapenta, 2011). In their book *Code/Space*, Kitchin and Dodge demonstrate how various spaces, including the built environment, have become reliant on computer infrastructures and software to operate effectively (Kitchin & Dodge, 2011).

In virtual reality media, spatial montage refers to the technique of combining and juxtaposing multiple images on a screen interface, integrating two, three, or more visual elements to create contrasts and ambiguities in spatial perception. This approach enables multiple narrative systems, temporal sequences, and spatial experiences to operate independently, challenging the audience’s spatial cognition and sensory capabilities (Betancourt, 2016). Digital media theorist Lev Manovich describes spatial montage as a practice contrasting with cinema’s temporal montage, emphasizing the accumulation and graphic juxtaposition of images on screen rather than their arrangement along time (Manovich, 2001).

## SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The rapid development of virtual reality technologies has reshaped conventional media practices by introducing innovative modes of narrative engagement. In contrast to traditional formats, virtual reality media emphasizes immersion, interactivity, and spatial storytelling, enable participants to navigate and respond to computer-generated environments as though they were physically present. Such experiences rely on perceptual and cognitive processes that create a strong sense of presence, prompting users to react authentically to virtual cues. Consequently, virtual reality media shifts audiences from passive receivers of information to active participants who explore, make choices, and construct individualized narrative experiences.

Interactivity plays a crucial role in intensifying the immersive nature of virtual reality narratives. By allowing participants to assume roles within virtual settings, manipulate virtual objects, and move freely through simulated spaces, virtual reality media cultivates a heightened sense of autonomy and control. Within such contexts, narrative delivery shifts from unidirectional form to a collaborative and co-constructed process. virtual reality narratives design frequently incorporates branching paths and alternative scenarios, enable users to make decisions that shape narrative outcomes. This interactive dimension not only increases engagement but also deepens cognitive and emotional involvement. Moreover, VR media intersects with digital and multimedia tools,

emphasizing multimodality and transmedia integration. For example, interactive adventure games such as “Around the World in 80 Days” exemplify the combination of literary adaptation, interactive gameplay, and virtual exploration. These experiences demonstrate how VR media can blend textual, visual, and interactive modes to create a cohesive, multi-layered narrative environment. The integration of technological, narrative, and sensory elements underscores the importance of designing VR experiences that balance freedom of exploration with narrative coherence.

Virtual reality media illuminates broader implications for cognitive psychology and narrative theory. Audiences should develop new skills to access, interpret, and navigate virtual reality content effectively, including spatial cognition, decision-making, and perceptual awareness. At the same time, virtual reality media challenges people to reconsider traditional frameworks of narrative structure, authorship, and audience reception. The capacity of virtual reality to blur the boundaries between fiction and reality raises problems about the body and psychological impact of immersive experiences, particularly regarding body movement, attention, perception, and emotional response.

In conclusion, virtual reality media represents a paradigm shift in contemporary storytelling, combining immersive technology, spatialized narratives, and interactive engagement to redefine the relationship between audiences and content. Spatial montage, user agency, and multiple modal integration highlight the unique potential of virtual reality media to create dynamic, participatory experiences. While virtual reality media presents challenges in terms of narrative design and cognitive demands, it also offers opportunities for innovation, deeper engagement, and audience participation. Future research and practice should focus on optimizing these experiences to balance immersion, interactivity, and narrative clarity, ensuring that audiences can fully benefit from the digital narratives potential of virtual reality media.

## REFERENCES

1. Livingstone, S. 2003. *The changing nature and uses of media literacy*. London School of Economics and Political Science. London, UK.
2. Reia-Baptista, V. 2006. Narrative structures: From media education to media pedagogy and media literacy. *Nordicom Review*, 27(2), 15–25.
3. Göbel, S., Spierling, U., Hoffmann, A., Iurgel, I., Schneider, O., Dechau, J., & Feix, A. (Eds.). 2004. *Technologies for interactive digital storytelling and entertainment: Second International Conference, TIDSE 2004, Darmstadt, Germany, June 24–26, 2004, proceedings (Vol. 1)*. Springer.
4. Ervik, M. 2017. Factors of immersion in interactive digital storytelling. In S. Arndt, M. Ervik, & A. Perkis (Eds.), *Interactive storytelling: 10th International Conference, ICIDS 2017, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal, November 14–17, 2017, proceedings (pp. 219–226)*. Springer.
5. Piccolo, W. 2019. *Story knots: A collaborative framework for the narrative design of interactive digital narratives*. Politecnico di Milano.
6. Roth, C., & Koenitz, H. 2016. Evaluating the user experience of interactive digital narrative. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Multimedia Alternate Realities (pp. 31–36)*. ACM.
7. Ascott, R. 2012. *The future is now: Art, technology, and consciousness*. Gold Wall Press.
8. Bignell, J. 1997. *Media semiotics: An introduction*. Manchester University Press.
9. Noordegraaf, J., Saba, C. G., Le Maître, B., & Hediger, V. (Eds.). 2013. *Preserving and exhibiting media art: Challenges and perspectives*. Amsterdam University Press.
10. Koenitz, H. 2013. Interactive digital narrative: History, theory and practice. In S. Göbel, U. Spierling, A. De Gloria, & J. Iurgel (Eds.), *Interactive storytelling: 6th International Conference, ICIDS 2013, Istanbul, Turkey,*

- November 6–8, 2013, proceedings (pp. 1–10). Springer.
11. Laurel, B. 1997. *Computers as theatre* (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley.
12. Bucher, J. 2017. *Storytelling for virtual reality: Methods and principles for crafting immersive narratives*. Routledge.
13. Frasca, G. 2004. Simulation versus narrative: Introduction to ludology. In M. Copier & J. Raessens (Eds.), *Level up: Digital games research conference proceedings* (pp. 221–230).
14. Farman, J. 2021. *Spatial media and storytelling: Understanding narrative through place and space*. MIT Press.
15. Strategy, L. 2004. *Media ecology: An introduction*. Routledge.
16. Postman, N. 1968. *Teaching as a conserving activity*. Delacorte Press.
17. O'Neill, S. J. 2005. *Media ecology and the transformation of communication*. Palgrave Macmillan.
18. Mihailidis, P., Thevenin, B. 2013. *Media literacy and the emerging media ecosystem*. Routledge.
19. Postman, N. 2000. *Building a bridge to the eighteenth century: How the past can improve our future*. Knopf.
20. Livingstone, S. 2003. *The changing nature and uses of media literacy*. London School of Economics and Political Science.
21. Lewis, R. S. 2021. *Technology, media literacy, and the human subject: A posthuman approach*. Open Book Publishers.
22. Mossberger, K. 2007. *Digital citizenship: The Internet, society, and participation*. MIT Press.
23. Ferri, G., Koenitz, H. 2015. *Interactive digital narrative: History, theory and practice*. Routledge.
24. Laurel, B. 2013. *Computers as theatre* (2nd ed.). Addison-Wesley Professional.
25. Murray, J. H. 1997. *Hamlet on the holodeck: The future of narrative in cyberspace*. The Free Press.
26. Mihailidis, P., Thevenin, B. 2013. Media literacy as a core competency for engaged citizenship in participatory democracy. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 57(11), 1611–1622.
27. Castells, M. 2012. *Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet age*. Polity Press.
28. Ferri, G., Koenitz, H. 2015. *Interactive digital narrative: History, theory and practice*. Routledge.
29. Koenitz, H. 2022. Interactive digital narrative (IDN)—new ways to represent complexity and facilitate digitally empowered citizens. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*.
30. Koenitz, H. 2010. Towards a theoretical framework for interactive digital narrative. *Interactive storytelling: Third international conference, UK, November 3–5, 2010. Proceedings* (pp. 176–185). Springer.
31. Lindley, C. A. 2004. Narrative, game play and alternative time structures for virtual environments. *Technologies for interactive digital storytelling and entertainment: 2nd international conference, Germany, Proceedings* (pp. 183–194). Springer.
32. Nack, F., Louchart, S., Lund, K., Bellini, M., Georgieva, I., Atmaja, P. W., & Makai, P. 2023. *Interactive Digital Narratives and Interaction*. arXiv preprint.
33. Koenitz, H. 2023. *Understanding Interactive Digital Narrative: Immersive Expressions for a Complex Time*. Routledge.
34. Ryan, M.-L. 2011. *The interactive onion: Layers of user participation in digital narrative texts*. *New Narratives: Stories and Storytelling in the Digital Age* (pp. 35–62). University of Nebraska Press.
35. Porteous, J., Cavazza, M. 2015. Using social relationships to control narrative generation. *Proceedings of the 29th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 13309–13315.
36. Bellini, M. 2022. Interactive digital narratives as complex expressive means. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality*.
37. Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. 1991. *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.
38. Lidwell, W., Holden, K., & Butler, J. 2003. *Universal principles of design*. Rockport Publishers.
39. Csíkszentmihályi, M. 1975. *Beyond boredom and anxiety: Experiencing flow in work and play*. Jossey-Bass.

40. Beard, C., & Hoy, C. 2010. The role of flow in the development of expertise. In *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Education and Management Technology* (pp. 101–105).
41. Parker, J. R., Bind, S., & Strauss, L. 2010. Flow and immersion in video games: The aftermath of a conceptual challenge. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 26(10), 1001–1015.
42. Gröppel-Wegener, A., & Kidd, J. 2019. *Critical Encounters with Immersive Storytelling*. Routledge.
43. Von Stackelberg, P. 2011. *Creating transmedia narratives: The structure and design of stories told across multiple media*.
44. Viana, B. S., & Nakamura, R. 2014. Immersive interactive narratives in augmented reality games. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Design, User Experience, and Usability* (pp. 773–781). Springer.
45. Stiegler, C. 2017. The politics of immersive storytelling: Virtual reality and the logics of digital ecosystems. *International Journal of E-Politics*, 8(3), 3–19.
46. Egliston, B., & Carter, M. 2022. Meta's haptic gloves bring touch to the metaverse. *IEEE Spectrum*.
47. Olson, S. R., & Pollard, T. 2004. The aesthetics of digitalization. *The American Behavioral Scientist*, 47(10), 1390–1410.
48. Tewksbury, D., & Althaus, S. L. 2000. Patterns of Internet and traditional news media use in a networked community. *Political Communication*, 17(1), 21–45.
49. Fulton, H. 2005. *Narrative and Media*. Cambridge University Press.
50. Simanowski, R. 2011. *Digital Art and Meaning: Reading Kinetic Poetry, Text Machines, Mapping Art, and Interactive Installations*. University of Minnesota Press.
51. Andersen, D. F. 1994. *Introduction to computer simulation: A system dynamics modeling approach*. Industrial Engineering and Management Press.
52. Guenat, G. 2022. SimCity and the changing meaning of game. *New Political Science*.
53. Aiello, R. 1979. *Greimas and the semiotics of narrative*. University of Toronto Press.
54. Bal, M. 2022. *Semiotics and art history*. University of Toronto Press.
55. Bal, M. 2013. *Endless Andness: The Politics of Abstraction According to Ann Veronica Janssens*. Bloomsbury.
56. Ferri, P. 2015. Reader-response criticism and the symbolic communication chain. *Journal of Literary Theory*, 9(2), 123–145.
57. Marvin, C., & Hong, Y. 2017. The man-made environment as a medium: Media theory and spatial perception. *Journal of Media Theory*, 8(2), 45–60.
58. Gyselinck, V., & Pazzaglia, F. 2012. From mental imagery to spatial cognition and language. *From Mental Imagery to Spatial Cognition and Language* (pp. 1–10). Psychology Press.
59. Lefebvre, H. 1991. *The production of space*. Blackwell.
60. Adler, P. S. 2019. The role of space in the formation of social ties. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 45, 111–132.
61. Marvin, C., & Hong, Y. 2017. The man-made environment as a medium: Media theory and spatial perception. *Journal of Media Theory*, 8(2), 45–60.
62. Lapenta, F. 2011. Geomedia: On location-based media, the changing status of collective image production and the emergence of social navigation systems. *Visual Studies*, 26(1), 14–24..