TPM Vol. 32, No. S6, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

THE GOLD STANDARD IN PATIENT SAFETY: A REVIEW OF PHARMACY-LED, TECHNICIAN-DRIVEN MEDICATION RECONCILIATION

MOHAMMAD ALHASAN SUHAIL[1], IBRAHIM MOHAMMED ALHELALI[2], BADER ATIF ALBARIQI[3], ISMAIL JUBRAN NAMMAZI[4], ALAA HAMDAN TOORI[5], ABDULLAH MOHAMMED AL AQEEL[6], MOHAMMED AHMAD AL FAYI[6], MISFER AL-HASSAN AL-HILALI[6], HAITHAM IBRAHIM MANJAHI[7], ABDULLAH MOHAMMED AL FARYI[8]

[1]SENIOR PHARMACIST, PHARMACY DEPARTMENT, ALQAHAMAH GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALQAHMAH CITY, SAUDI ARABIA
[2]SENIOR PHARMACIST, DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL SUPPLY CHAINS, ALBIRK GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALBIRK CITY, SAUDI ARABIA.
[3]PHARMACIST, PHARMACEUTICAL CARE PATHWAY MANAGEMENT, ASEER HEALTH CLUSTER, ABHA CITY, SAUDI ARABIA
[4]PHARMACIST, IN MEDICAL TRANSPORT OPERATIONS, ASEER HEALTH CLUSTER, ABHA, SAUDI ARABIA.
[5]PHARMACY TECHNICIAN, PHARMACY DEPARTMENT, ALQAHAMAH GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALQAHMAH CITY, SAUDI ARABIA.
[6]PHARMACY TECHNICIAN, IN PHARMACY DEPARTMENT IN ALQAHAMAH GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALQAHMAH CITY, SAUDI ARABIA
[7]PHARMACY TECHNICIAN, IN PHARMACY DEPARTMENT IN ALBIRK GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALBIRK CITY, SAUDI ARABIA.
[8]PHARMACY TECHNICIAN, PHARMACY DEPARTMENT, ALHARAJAH GENERAL HOSPITAL, ALHARAJAH CITY, SAUDI ARABIA.

Abstract
Medication discrepancies at transitions of care represent a critical and pervasive threat to patient safety, contributing significantly to preventable adverse drug events (ADEs). These errors, which occur when a patient's medication regimen is unintentionally altered during admission, transfer, or discharge, can lead to patient harm, increased healthcare utilization, and substantial costs. This review critically evaluates the evidence supporting a collaborative, pharmacy-led, technician-driven model for medication reconciliation (MedRec) as a superior strategy to mitigate these risks. The model is predicated on a strategic division of labor: specially trained pharmacy technicians are responsible for the meticulous collection of the Best Possible Medication History (BPMH), while clinical pharmacists provide oversight, verify the history, and perform the clinical reconciliation and intervention. Key implementation components, including structured technician training, defined team roles, and seamless workflow integration within the Electronic Health Record (EHR), are explored as foundational elements for success. The literature consistently demonstrates that this model yields superior outcomes compared to traditional approaches, including a dramatic increase in the accuracy of medication histories, a significant reduction in medication errors, and a marked improvement in pharmacist operational efficiency. This review synthesizes the clinical, operational, and economic evidence, concluding that the pharmacy-led, technician-driven model is a best practice in modern patient safety, effectively leveraging the unique skills of the pharmacy team to protect patients from medication-related harm.
Keywords: Gold Standard; Patient Safety; Pharmacy-Led; Technician-Driven Medication Reconciliation.

1.0 INTRODUCTION: THE PERSISTENT CHALLENGE OF INACCURATE MEDICATION HISTORIES

1.1 The High Stakes of Medication Discrepancies

Medication errors are a leading cause of preventable patient harm within the global healthcare system, and a substantial portion of these errors originate from inaccurate or incomplete medication histories. Transitions of care—the movement of a patient from one healthcare setting to another, such as from home to hospital, between hospital units, or from hospital to home—are moments of exceptional vulnerability . During these handoffs, miscommunication and

information gaps frequently lead to medication discrepancies, which are unexplained differences in documented medication regimens across different sites of care [1].

The prevalence of these discrepancies is alarmingly high, affecting up to 70% of patients at the points of hospital admission or discharge. While not all discrepancies lead to harm, a significant number have the potential to do so. Studies indicate that nearly one-third of these discrepancies could cause patient harm, contributing to the high rate of adverse drug events (ADEs). ADEs are estimated to occur in 5% to 40% of hospitalized patients and are a major driver of increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. In the United States alone, ADEs are responsible for over 1.5 million emergency department visits and nearly 500,000 hospitalizations each year. The most severe analyses suggest that ADEs, stemming from a variety of causes including prescribing errors and adverse reactions, may represent a leading cause of death, potentially accounting for as many as 250,000 fatalities annually [2].

Among the various types of medication discrepancies, the unintentional omission of a necessary medication is consistently reported as the most frequent error, accounting for 35% to 75% of all discrepancies identified in various studies. This type of error is particularly insidious, as it can deprive patients of essential, life-sustaining therapy for chronic conditions during a period of acute illness, potentially leading to severe clinical deterioration. The sheer scale and potential severity of medication discrepancies underscore the urgent need for a robust, reliable system to ensure medication continuity and safety at every transition of care [3].

1.2 Defining Medication Reconciliation (MedRec)

In response to the dangers posed by medication discrepancies, leading patient safety organizations have championed medication reconciliation (MedRec) as a critical safety process. Authoritative bodies, including The Joint Commission and the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI), define MedRec as the formal process of creating the most accurate and complete list possible of all medications a patient is currently taking and comparing that list against the physician's admission, transfer, and discharge orders . The fundamental goal of this comparison is to identify and resolve any discrepancies, thereby avoiding medication errors such as omissions, duplications, dosing errors, or harmful drug interactions [4].

This process is intended to be comprehensive, encompassing not only prescribed medications but also over-the-counter (OTC) products, vitamins, herbal supplements, and other dietary supplements, as these can also interact with prescribed therapies. The MedRec process is typically broken down into five core steps [5]:

1. Develop a list of the patient's current medications, known as the Best Possible Medication History (BPMH).
2. Develop a list of the medications to be prescribed or ordered in the new setting of care.
3. Compare the medications on the two lists to identify any variations.
4. Make clinical decisions based on the comparison to resolve any discrepancies.
5. Communicate the new, accurate list of medications to the patient, their caregivers, and any subsequent healthcare providers.

This systematic process is not a one-time event but a continuous responsibility that must be performed at every transition of care where medications are ordered or rewritten.

1.3 Limitations of Traditional Models

Historically, the responsibility for obtaining a patient's medication history has fallen to admitting physicians or nurses. While these professionals are essential to patient care, the traditional model of MedRec has proven to be fraught with limitations. The environments where MedRec is often initiated, such as the emergency department, are frequently chaotic and fast-paced, with numerous competing clinical priorities that demand immediate attention. Under such conditions, the time-consuming and detailed work of obtaining a comprehensive medication history is often truncated, postponed, or performed inadequately [6].

Furthermore, the information required for an accurate history is often fragmented. Clinicians must attempt to piece together a coherent medication list from multiple, and often imperfect, sources: the patient's memory, which may be compromised by illness or stress; incomplete medication lists brought from home; records from various outpatient pharmacies; and information from multiple specialist physicians who may not communicate with one another. This lack of a standardized process and a single source of truth contributes to significant variability and inaccuracy. Studies have shown that medication histories collected by non-pharmacy staff have error rates ranging from 27% to 83% [7]. This is not a reflection of individual negligence but rather a systemic failure. The traditional model fails to recognize MedRec as a specialized skill and does not allocate dedicated, trained resources to perform it reliably [7].

This systemic flaw necessitates a fundamental redesign of the process to one that prioritizes accuracy and assigns responsibility to professionals with the requisite expertise and focus.

1.4 The Rationale for a Pharmacy-Led Approach

The central thesis of this review is that the pharmacy department is uniquely positioned to lead and execute a highly reliable MedRec process. This assertion is based on the specialized knowledge, skills, and focus of pharmacy professionals. Pharmacists possess an unparalleled depth of pharmacotherapeutic knowledge, enabling them to identify not only simple discrepancies but also complex drug therapy problems, such as potential drug interactions, inappropriate dosing, or therapeutic duplications [8].

A pharmacy-led model leverages a collaborative approach, strategically dividing labor based on expertise. It

empowers specially trained pharmacy technicians to dedicate their time to the meticulous and structured process of data collection required to compile the BPMH. This frees the clinical pharmacist to perform the higher-level tasks of clinical verification, discrepancy analysis, and communication of therapeutic recommendations to the medical team. This model aligns with formal recommendations from professional bodies like the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP), which advocate for pharmacists to assume a leadership role in interdisciplinary MedRec initiatives to ensure patient safety across the continuum of care [9].

1.5 Scope and Aims of the Review

This narrative review aims to synthesize the published literature on the implementation and impact of collaborative, pharmacy-led, technician-driven MedRec programs. It will explore the evidence base supporting this model, focusing on practical strategies for program development, team role definition, and workflow integration. Furthermore, it will critically analyze the clinical, operational, and economic outcomes associated with these programs, providing a comprehensive, evidence-based framework for healthcare institutions seeking to establish a gold standard for medication reconciliation and enhance patient safety [10].

2.0 Building the Foundation: Program Development and Team Roles

The transition from a traditional, diffuse model of medication reconciliation to a structured, pharmacy-led program requires a deliberate and well-planned approach. The success of such an initiative is not merely a result of reassigning a task but is contingent upon the professionalization of a new, specialized function within the healthcare team. This involves clearly defining collaborative roles, establishing a robust training and competency framework, securing broad institutional support, and strategically prioritizing patient populations to maximize impact. These foundational elements create the necessary infrastructure for a sustainable and effective program [11].

2.1 Defining the Collaborative Roles

A core principle of the pharmacy-led model is the clear delineation of responsibilities between the pharmacy technician and the pharmacist. This division of labor is designed to optimize efficiency and leverage the unique skill sets of each professional, transforming MedRec from an ancillary task into a specialized, high-reliability process [12].

2.1.1 The Pharmacy Technician's Role

In this model, the pharmacy technician evolves from a dispensing-focused role to that of a specialized data collection expert, often with a formal title such as "Medication History Technician" or "Medication Reconciliation Technician". The technician's primary and overriding responsibility is to compile the Best Possible Medication History (BPMH). This is not a passive transcription of a patient-provided list but an active, investigative process involving several key steps. First, the technician conducts a structured interview with the patient or their caregiver, using standardized forms and open-ended questioning techniques to elicit a comprehensive initial list of all medications, including prescription, OTC, and herbal products [13]. Second, they engage in multi-source verification, a critical step where the patient-reported information is corroborated by contacting external sources such as retail pharmacies to confirm refill histories, primary care provider offices, and records from previous healthcare encounters. Finally, the technician is responsible for the meticulous documentation of this verified BPMH into a designated, standardized location within the EHR, ensuring that every entry includes the correct drug name, dose, route, and frequency [14].

2.1.2 The Pharmacist's Role

The pharmacist's role is one of clinical oversight, expert verification, and decisive intervention. They serve as the final clinical authority in the process, ensuring the integrity and safety of the medication list that will be used for all subsequent prescribing decisions. The pharmacist's key responsibilities begin with the verification of the technician-collected BPMH, reviewing it for accuracy, completeness, and clinical plausibility. Following this, they perform the crucial step of clinical reconciliation, where the verified BPMH is systematically compared against the physician's active admission orders to identify and classify every discrepancy. This is where the pharmacist's deep clinical knowledge is paramount. They apply their clinical judgment to resolve complex discrepancies, such as those involving high-risk medications, potential drug-drug or drug-disease interactions, or the need for dose adjustments based on renal or hepatic function. Finally, the pharmacist is responsible for communicating clinically significant, unintentional discrepancies and clear therapeutic recommendations to the prescribing physician or medical team, thereby closing the loop and ensuring that corrective actions are taken to safeguard the patient [15].

To provide a clear operational framework, the distinct responsibilities of the technician and pharmacist can be summarized as follows:

Table 1: Delineation of Roles in a Collaborative Pharmacy-Led Medication Reconciliation Model

Phase of MedRec Process	Pharmacy Technician Responsibilities	Pharmacist Responsibilities
Patient Identification & Prioritization	Identifies newly admitted patients via EHR alerts. May apply risk-scoring tools to flag high-priority cases for expedited review.	Oversees the prioritization process and provides clinical input on complex cases.

Phase of MedRec Process	Pharmacy Technician Responsibilities	Pharmacist Responsibilities
Data Gathering (BPMH Compilation)	Conducts structured interviews with patients/caregivers. Contacts retail pharmacies, provider offices, and prior facilities to verify medication details. Queries state PDMP for controlled substance history.	Serves as a clinical resource for technicians encountering complex medication regimens or patient questions beyond their scope.
BPMH Documentation	Meticulously documents the verified BPMH in the designated section of the EHR, creating the "one source of truth."	Reviews and provides final verification of the technician-documented BPMH for accuracy and completeness before reconciliation.
Clinical Review & Reconciliation	Flags the completed BPMH for pharmacist review. May perform an initial, non-clinical comparison to identify obvious discrepancies (e.g., missing drug).	Performs the definitive clinical reconciliation by comparing the verified BPMH against active inpatient medication orders. Identifies and classifies all discrepancies.
Discrepancy Resolution & Communication	Escalates any identified issues or patient concerns to the pharmacist immediately.	Assesses the clinical significance of each discrepancy. Resolves straightforward issues and formulates clear, evidence-based recommendations for clinically significant discrepancies. Communicates directly with the prescriber to resolve issues and documents the final reconciled plan.

2.2 Essential Training and Competency for Technicians

The advanced responsibilities of a MedRec technician necessitate a formal, structured training program that goes well beyond standard technician education. This training is essential for ensuring consistency, accuracy, and safety. The curriculum for such a program should be built around a set of core competencies, many of which are now codified in certificate programs offered by national organizations like the Pharmacy Technician Certification Board (PTCB) and ASHP [16].

Key training components must include advanced interviewing skills, focusing on the use of open-ended and probing questions, translating medical jargon into patient-friendly language, and employing non-judgmental techniques to assess medication adherence. A solid foundation in pharmacology is also critical, with an emphasis on common brand and generic names, typical dosing for prevalent conditions, and specific knowledge of high-risk medications and common look-alike/sound-alike drug pairs. Technicians must achieve proficiency in navigating all relevant health information systems, including the EHR and external databases. Perhaps most importantly, the training must instill strong critical thinking skills and clear protocols for escalation, ensuring the technician understands precisely when a patient question, a complex medication, or a critical discrepancy requires the immediate intervention of the supervising pharmacist . The availability of standardized certificate programs from organizations such as PTCB, ASHP, the Michigan Pharmacists Association (MPA), and the Texas Society of Health-System Pharmacists (TSHP) provides a clear pathway for institutions to develop and validate these advanced competencies, creating a formally recognized specialty for technicians [17].

3.0 Implementation in Practice: Workflow, Tools, and Overcoming Barriers

Translating the conceptual framework of a pharmacy-led, technician-driven medication reconciliation program into a functional and sustainable daily operation requires meticulous attention to workflow design, the strategic use of technology, and a proactive approach to identifying and mitigating common implementation barriers. The goal is to create a process that is not only accurate but also efficient and seamlessly integrated into the broader continuum of patient care. This requires re-engineering the hospital's medication information supply chain to produce a single, reliable source of truth for every patient's medication regimen [18].

3.1 A Step-by-Step Workflow Model

A standardized workflow is the backbone of a high-reliability MedRec program. While adaptable to institutional needs, a typical and effective model synthesizes best practices and generally follows five distinct steps [19].

Step 1: Patient Identification. The process begins the moment a patient is admitted. In most modern hospitals, this is triggered by an automated notification within the EHR, alerting the pharmacy team to a new admission from the Emergency Department (ED) or another entry point . At this stage, automated risk-scoring algorithms or manual review can be used to flag high-risk patients for prioritization, ensuring that the most vulnerable individuals receive attention first.

Step 2: Technician Data Gathering and Patient Interview. Upon receiving the notification, the designated MedRec technician initiates the BPMH compilation. This begins with a preliminary review of the patient's chart in the EHR to

gather any existing information. The core of this step is the direct patient interaction, where the technician conducts a structured interview with the patient and/or their caregiver. Following the interview, the technician performs the crucial verification process by making phone calls to external sources, such as the patient's retail pharmacy, primary care physician's office, or long-term care facility, to corroborate the collected information.

Step 3: Technician Documentation of the BPMH. Once all information has been collected and verified, the technician synthesizes it into a single, comprehensive list. This list is then meticulously documented in a designated, standardized location within the EHR. This documented BPMH becomes the definitive "one source of truth" for the patient's pre-admission medications, accessible to all members of the care team.

Step 4: Pharmacist Review, Verification, and Discrepancy Identification. The technician's documentation triggers a task or notification for a clinical pharmacist. The pharmacist's first action is to review and verify the technician's work, ensuring the BPMH is accurate and complete. Next, the pharmacist performs the clinical reconciliation by systematically comparing the verified BPMH against the patient's active inpatient medication orders. This rigorous comparison allows the pharmacist to identify and categorize all discrepancies, such as omissions, commissions, or differences in dose, route, or frequency.

Step 5: Pharmacist-Led Resolution and Communication. In the final step, the pharmacist applies their clinical expertise to analyze the significance of each identified discrepancy. They resolve any issues that fall within their scope of practice (e.g., clarifying an ambiguous dosing instruction with a retail pharmacy). For clinically significant, unintentional discrepancies that require a change in prescribing, the pharmacist communicates directly with the medical team. This communication is not merely a notification of an error but a professional consultation that includes a clear description of the discrepancy and a specific, evidence-based recommendation for its resolution, which is then documented in the EHR.

3.2 Leveraging Technology and Information Sources

Modern technology is an indispensable enabler of an efficient and accurate MedRec process. These tools provide access to critical data that supplements the patient interview, helping to fill information gaps and verify patient-reported histories [20].

The Electronic Health Record (EHR) serves as the central nervous system of the workflow. It is the primary platform for receiving admission notifications, accessing prior hospital records, documenting the BPMH, and facilitating communication among the care team. The goal of creating a "one source of truth" medication list is fundamentally dependent on having a robust and well-utilized EHR.

Health Information Exchanges (HIEs) are increasingly vital for ensuring continuity of care. These networks allow for the secure sharing of clinical data between different, unaffiliated healthcare organizations. For MedRec, an HIE can provide invaluable access to medication lists, allergy information, and problem lists from a patient's recent encounters at other hospitals or clinics, providing a more complete picture of their health status [20].

Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs) are state-administered electronic databases that track the dispensing of controlled substances. Accessing the PDMP is a critical step in verifying a patient's history of opioid, benzodiazepine, or other controlled medication use. This helps to identify potential misuse or diversion and ensures the accuracy of prescriptions for this high-risk class of drugs. The most effective implementation involves integrating PDMP data directly into the EHR workflow, which eliminates the need for clinicians to log into a separate system and dramatically increases the likelihood that this vital information will be consulted at the point of care [21].

3.3 Measuring Success: Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

To demonstrate the value of the program and drive continuous quality improvement, it is essential to track a balanced set of key performance indicators (KPIs). These metrics should cover process efficiency, quality and accuracy, and operational impact [22].

Process Metrics [23]:

- Time to MedRec Completion: This measures the average time from a patient's admission to the final pharmacist verification of the reconciled medication list. It is a key indicator of workflow efficiency.
- Percentage of Patients Reconciled: This tracks the proportion of all admitted patients (or of a targeted high-risk cohort) who receive a complete, pharmacy-led MedRec within a predefined timeframe, such as 24 hours of admission. This measures the program's reach and consistency.

Quality and Accuracy Metrics [24]:

- Number and Type of Discrepancies Identified: Systematically tracking the volume and category of discrepancies (e.g., omission, incorrect dose, therapeutic duplication) caught by the pharmacy team provides direct evidence of the program's impact on error detection.
- Rate of Pharmacist Interventions: This metric quantifies the number of clinical recommendations made to prescribers based on the discrepancies found. It serves as a proxy for the clinical value added by the pharmacist's review.
- Intervention Acceptance Rate: Measuring the percentage of pharmacist recommendations that are accepted and implemented by the medical team demonstrates the program's credibility and its influence on prescribing practices .

Efficiency Metrics [25]:

- Pharmacist Time Saved: While challenging to measure directly, this can be estimated by calculating the time a technician spends on BPMH collection versus the time a pharmacist would have spent. This metric is crucial for illustrating the "pharmacist multiplier" effect and justifying the technician role.

4.0 A REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE: CLINICAL, OPERATIONAL, AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES

The theoretical benefits of a pharmacy-led, technician-driven medication reconciliation model are substantiated by a growing body of evidence. Numerous studies have evaluated the impact of these programs, demonstrating significant improvements across a spectrum of clinical, operational, and economic outcomes. This evidence collectively builds a compelling case for the model's superiority over traditional approaches and its role as a cornerstone of modern medication safety initiatives [26].

4.1 Improved Accuracy and Error Reduction

The most direct and consistently demonstrated benefit of this collaborative model is a dramatic improvement in the accuracy of medication histories and a corresponding reduction in medication errors. The specialized focus and multi-source verification process employed by pharmacy teams uncovers significantly more discrepancies than the methods used by other healthcare professionals [27].

Comparative studies highlight this difference starkly. One study found that implementing a technician-driven process resulted in an absolute risk reduction of medication history errors of 50% and a relative risk reduction of 77% when compared to histories collected by non-pharmacy personnel. Another investigation in an emergency department setting reported that medication histories conducted by trained pharmacy technicians were accurate 88% of the time, compared to an accuracy rate of just 57% for those conducted by nurses. This enhanced accuracy translates directly into error prevention. A pragmatic trial evaluating a pharmacist-led MedRec intervention found that it reduced the likelihood of a patient having a clinically important medication error at discharge by an astounding 20-fold. Similarly, a community hospital that implemented a comprehensive pharmacy-led program, involving both pharmacists and technicians, documented a 49% reduction in its overall adverse drug event (ADE) rate [27].

4.2 Impact on Clinical Outcomes

By intercepting medication errors before they can reach the patient, these programs have a direct and positive impact on clinical outcomes. The most immediate effect is the prevention of potential and actual ADEs, which are a major cause of patient morbidity [28].

The evidence linking improved MedRec to broader clinical outcomes, such as hospital readmissions and length of stay, is also compelling, particularly when MedRec is a core component of a pharmacist-led transitions-of-care program. Multiple studies have shown that such programs are associated with a significant reduction in 30-day hospital readmission rates. One comprehensive systematic review of 123 articles found that over 89% of studies evaluating pharmacy-led transitions-of-care services demonstrated a reduction in 30-day readmissions. A randomized controlled trial focusing on elderly patients found that those who received a pharmacist-led MedRec intervention had an almost 70% lower likelihood of hospital readmission or an emergency department visit within 30 days post-discharge. Some studies have also reported associated benefits such as a reduction in the average length of stay for patients receiving these services [28].

4.3 Operational Efficiencies: The Pharmacist Multiplier Effect

A key operational benefit of the collaborative model is the optimization of pharmacy personnel resources, a concept that can be described as the "pharmacist multiplier effect." The traditional model, where a pharmacist performs the entire MedRec process, is effective but resource-intensive, limiting the number of patients who can receive this high-level service. By delegating the time-consuming data collection and documentation tasks to specially trained technicians, the pharmacist is freed to operate at the top of their clinical license [29].

This strategic division of labor allows a single pharmacist to oversee the MedRec process for a much larger cohort of patients than they could manage alone. Their time is redirected from routine data gathering to high-value clinical activities that require their unique expertise: performing the final clinical verification of the BPMH, analyzing and resolving complex drug therapy problems, providing targeted patient education on high-risk medications, and collaborating with medical teams on therapeutic decision-making . This model not only increases the efficiency and reach of the pharmacy department but also enhances the job satisfaction of both pharmacists and technicians by aligning their daily tasks with their respective levels of training and expertise [29].

4.4 Economic Impact and Return on Investment (ROI)

The implementation of a pharmacy-led, technician-driven MedRec program represents a sound financial investment for healthcare institutions. The economic value is primarily derived from the cost-avoidance associated with preventing ADEs and reducing hospital readmissions [30].

The costs of treating a single preventable ADE can be substantial, and by averting these events, MedRec programs generate significant savings. One pilot study estimated that the errors prevented during a one-month period would have cost over $25,000 to manage (primarily from projected ED visits or readmissions), while the pharmacist labor cost to run the intervention was only $816 . Another institution calculated that its robust MedRec program, which was driven by technicians, was saving approximately $1.7 million per year in avoided ADE costs [31].

Formal economic modeling and return on investment (ROI) analyses further support the financial viability of these programs. A discrete-event simulation model concluded that a pharmacist-led MedRec intervention that reduced medication discrepancies by just 10% would be cost-neutral to a hospital payer. Given that most programs achieve far greater reductions, the model projected that a typical intervention reducing discrepancies by 52% would yield a net benefit of $206 per patient . Other analyses have calculated an annualized cost avoidance of over $1.5 million based on reduced 14-day readmission rates . While ROI can vary based on the specific services provided, systematic reviews of clinical pharmacy activities have found median ROIs ranging from $1 to $4.81 for every dollar invested, with some targeted interventions yielding even higher returns . This body of evidence reframes the pharmacy department's role, demonstrating that investing in clinical pharmacy services is not merely an operational expense but a direct investment in the hospital's financial health and patient safety performance [32].

4.5 Impact on Job Satisfaction and Technician Advancement

Beyond the quantitative metrics, the collaborative MedRec model offers significant qualitative benefits, particularly for pharmacy technicians. The creation of a specialized MedRec technician role provides a meaningful opportunity for career advancement, moving technicians from traditional, dispensing-focused functions into a direct, patient-facing clinical support role [33].

This advanced role requires specialized training and a distinct skill set, including patient communication, critical thinking, and investigative data collection. Mastering these skills and seeing the direct impact of their work on patient safety can lead to a profound increase in job satisfaction and professional fulfillment [34]. The establishment of formal career ladders, including positions such as "Medication Reconciliation Technician Supervisor," further solidifies this as a viable and rewarding career path within hospital pharmacy . Furthermore, the development of national certification programs, such as the PTCB's Medication History Certificate, provides formal recognition of these advanced competencies, contributing to the ongoing professionalization of the pharmacy technician workforce and validating their essential contribution to the patient care team [35].

5.0 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

5.1 Synthesizing the Case for a Collaborative Model

The evidence synthesized in this review presents a clear and compelling case for the adoption of a pharmacy-led, technician-driven medication reconciliation model. The persistent and severe risk posed by medication discrepancies at transitions of care, coupled with the well-documented limitations of traditional, non-specialized approaches, necessitates a paradigm shift. The collaborative model, which leverages the data-gathering focus of trained technicians and the clinical oversight of pharmacists, has been shown to be superior across clinical, operational, and economic domains. It dramatically improves the accuracy of medication histories, reduces preventable medication errors and adverse drug events, optimizes the deployment of scarce pharmacy resources, and delivers a strong return on investment. The cumulative weight of this evidence firmly establishes this collaborative practice model as the gold standard for medication reconciliation in the modern healthcare environment.

5.2 Future Horizons

While the current model represents a best practice, the future of medication reconciliation will be shaped by ongoing innovation and expansion. Several key trends are poised to further enhance the effectiveness and reach of these programs.

A primary area of development is the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI). AI-powered tools are emerging that can automate and streamline the initial data-gathering phase of MedRec. These systems can rapidly pull medication histories from disparate external sources, such as community pharmacies and health information exchanges, and intelligently parse the data to flag potential discrepancies for the technician and pharmacist to review . This has the potential to significantly reduce the manual burden of data entry, allowing the pharmacy team to dedicate even more time to direct patient interviews, resolving complex issues, and providing patient education .

Another critical frontier is the expansion of robust MedRec programs into ambulatory and outpatient settings. While much of the focus has been on the inpatient environment, medication safety is a continuum. Establishing similar collaborative pharmacy-led programs in primary care clinics, specialty offices, and other community-based settings is essential for ensuring true continuity of care and preventing errors as patients move across the entire healthcare ecosystem .

Finally, the continued development and adoption of standardized national training and certification for medication reconciliation technicians is paramount. Formalizing the competencies required for this advanced role through nationally recognized programs ensures a consistent, high level of quality and performance across institutions. It also further legitimizes the role of the technician as an indispensable member of the patient-care team and supports the ongoing evolution of the pharmacy profession .

5.3 Final Concluding Statement

The evolution of medication reconciliation from a perfunctory administrative task to a sophisticated clinical process exemplifies a broader transformation within pharmacy practice. Empowering pharmacy technicians to perform

advanced, patient-facing roles under the guidance of clinical pharmacists is not simply a more efficient way to complete a necessary task. It is a fundamental strategy for unlocking the full potential of the pharmacy workforce. This collaborative model, built on a foundation of specialized training, clear roles, and interprofessional respect, is a cornerstone of creating a safer, more reliable, and more effective healthcare system for all patients.

6.0 REFERENCES

1. The Leapfrog Group. (2022). Fact sheet: Medication reconciliation. Retrieved from https://ratings.leapfroggroup.org/sites/default/files/inlineiles/2022%20Medication%20Reconciliation%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023). Medication safety basics. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/medication-safety/data-research/facts-stats/index.html
3. Saljoughian, F. (2023). New analysis suggests adverse drug events are the 3rd leading cause of death in the USA. Practical Neurology. Retrieved from https://practicalneurology.com/news/new-analysis-suggest-adverse-drug-events-are-the-3rd-leading-cause-of-death-in-the-usa/2473820/
4. Al-Musawe, A., & Al-Taie, A. (2019). Medication reconciliation at admission and discharge: An analysis of prevalence and associated risk factors. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280391457_Medication_reconciliation_at_admission_and_discharge_An_an_analysis_of_prevalence_and_associated_risk_factors
5. Mogaka, B. O., Gachuno, O. W., & Obwanga, C. A. (2017). Medication discrepancies during admission at a national referral hospital in Kenya. Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences, 42(4), 364–372. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5575388/
6. Santos, J. S., D'Oliveira, G. D. S. R., Feitosa, K. B. G., Fonteles, M. M. F., Martins, R. R., & Soeiro, O. M. (2021). Unintentional medication discrepancies in a pediatric hospital: a prospective observational study. Pharmacy Practice, 19(2), 2321. Retrieved from https://search.proquest.com/openview/3d66066bc42583d818e1f727a0dd891c/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=36458
7. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2012). MATCH toolkit for medication reconciliation: Introduction. Retrieved from https://www.ahrq.gov/patient-safety/settings/hospital/match/intro.html
8. Cyren Care. (n.d.). The art of gathering medical histories: Limitations and pitfalls. Retrieved from https://blog.cyrencare.com/the-art-of-gathering-medical-histories-limitations-and-pitfalls
9. Gardner-Webb University. (2022). Medication reconciliation education for nurses in senior living: A quality improvement project. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.gardner-webb.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1121&context=nursing-dnp
10. Sen, S., Siemianowski, L., Murphy, M., & McAllister, S. (2014). The role of the pharmacy technician in medication reconciliation. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 71(1), 73–79. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5278913/
11. Johnston, R., Saul, T., & Williams, D. (2017). The role of pharmacy technicians in medication reconciliation. The Journal for Nurse Practitioners, 13(6), e289–e292. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5998476/
12. Patel, B., Attwa, E., Cape, K., Chui, M. A., Crosby, K., & Evans, S. (2022). Pharmacy-led medication reconciliation program reduces adverse drug events and improves satisfaction in a community hospital. HCA Healthcare Journal of Medicine, 3(1), 1–8. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10324798/
13. Pailin, M. F., Meloro, P., & Lang, A. (2017). Impact of a pharmacy technician-centered medication reconciliation program on medication discrepancies and implementation of recommendations. Hospital Pharmacy, 52(7-8), 525–532. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5499346/
14. Pailin, M. F., Meloro, P., & Lang, A. (2017). Impact of a pharmacy technician-centered medication reconciliation program on medication discrepancies and implementation of recommendations. Hospital Pharmacy, 52(7-8), 525–532. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318043525_Impact_of_a_pharmacy_technician-centered_medication_reconciliation_program_on_medication_discrepancies_and_implementation_of_recommendations
15. The Pharmaceutical Journal. (2020). How to perform accurate medicines reconciliation. Retrieved from https://pharmaceutical-journal.com/article/ld/how-to-perform-accurate-medicines-reconciliation
16. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2012). MATCH toolkit for medication reconciliation: Chapter 1. Retrieved from https://www.ahrq.gov/patient-safety/settings/hospital/match/chapter-1.html
17. Patel, B., Attwa, E., Cape, K., Chui, M. A., Crosby, K., & Evans, S. (2022). Pharmacy-led medication reconciliation program reduces adverse drug events and improves satisfaction in a community hospital. HCA

Healthcare Journal of Medicine, 3(1), 1–8. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10324798/

18. Le Lamer, S., Le Tacon, S., Le Jégat, A., Quelven, I., Le Duff, F., & Le Garlantézec, P. (2016). Medication reconciliation based on risk factors in an emergency department. European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy, 23(Suppl 1), A238. Retrieved from https://ejhp.bmj.com/content/23/Suppl_1/A238.1

19. PSNet. (2016). Medication reconciliation to facilitate transitions of care after hospitalization. Retrieved from https://psnet.ahrq.gov/issue/medication-reconciliation-facilitate-transitions-care-after-hospitalization

20. Ozaydin, B., Kose, E., Aksoy, M., & Ergene, O. (2022). Improving medication reconciliation for community-dwelling older adults: A participatory approach. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 70(11), 3295–3305. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9957786/

21. Coen, T. L. (2021). Medication reconciliation in the elderly. UT Tyler Scholar Works. Retrieved from https://scholarworks.uttyler.edu/nursing_msn/141/

22. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2022). Prescription drug monitoring program integration into the electronic health record. Retrieved from https://digital.ahrq.gov/ahrq-funded-projects/prescription-drug-monitoring-program-integration-electronic-health-record

23. Government of Western Australia Department of Health. (n.d.). Medication review reporting template. Retrieved from https://www.health.wa.gov.au/~/media/Files/Corporate/general-documents/safety/PDF/Medication-review-reporting-template.pdf

24. da Silva, A. M., de Lyra, D. P., Jr., & de Oliveira, A. C. (2024). Key performance indicators for pharmaceutical services: A systematic review. Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy, 20(6), 569–579. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11044031/

25. Smith, S. B., & Mango, M. D. (2016). Student-led medication reconciliation and its effects on the identification of medication discrepancies. Pharmacy Practice, 14(4), 828. Retrieved from https://www.pharmacypractice.org/index.php/pp/article/view/634

26. Al-Qudah, R. A., Al-Badaineh, M. A., Al-Ali, F. M., & Al-Smadi, A. M. (2024). Impact of pharmacist-led medication reconciliation service on resolving medication discrepancies and post-discharge health sources use in elderly patients: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS ONE, 19(5), e0320699. Retrieved from https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0320699

27. Schnipper, J. L., Reyes, N., Gorky, J., et al. (2021). Restructuring strategies used to implement a medication reconciliation toolkit. American Journal of Managed Care, 27(7), 295–301. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8193884/

28. Minot State University. (2014). Change project: Medication reconciliation. Retrieved from https://www.minotstateu.edu/nursing/_documents/research/2014/2014_research_change.pdf

29. ASHP. (2018). Medication cost-management strategies for hospitals and health systems. Retrieved from https://www.ashp.org/-/media/assets/policy-guidelines/docs/guidelines/medication-cost-management-strategies-hospitals-health-systems.pdf

30. PSNet. (2016). Medication reconciliation. Retrieved from https://psnet.ahrq.gov/primer/medication-reconciliation

31. González-Bueno, J., González-García, A., & Sevilla-Sánchez, D. (2023). Barriers and facilitators for medication reconciliation in transitions of care: A qualitative study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(11), 5971. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10217807/

32. Sen, S., Siemianowski, L., Murphy, M., & McAllister, S. (2014). The role of the pharmacy technician in medication reconciliation. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy, 71(1), 73–79. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5278913/

33. Smith, Z. R., & Saffo, S. (2016). Improving medication history accuracy by pharmacy technician interviews. P & T: A Peer-Reviewed Journal for Formulary Management, 41(5), 329–331. Retrieved from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4896349/

34. Hart, C., Spies, C., & Cox, L. (2014). A comparison of medication histories obtained by pharmacy technicians versus nurses in the emergency department. P & T: A Peer-Reviewed Journal for Formulary Management, 39(12), 856–860.

35. Knez, L., Režonja, R., Laaksonen, R., & Mrhar, A. (2024). Impact of medication reconciliation on medication errors and healthcare utilization: A pragmatic clinical trial. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 15, 1377781.