TPM Vol. 32, No. S5, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

BACTERIAL BIOFILM CAUSING BOVINE PERIODONTITIS IN LIVESTOCK AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE

M. CHATIYAA[1], INDHUMATHI RAJAGOPAL KANNAN[2], M. S. SWETHA[1], P. SARANRAJ[1]*, ARCHANA BEHERA[3], MUKESH KUMAR DHARMALINGAM JOTHINATHAN[3]*

[1]DEPARTMENT OF MICROBIOLOGY, SACRED HEART COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS), TIRUPATTUR, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
[2]SAVEETHA MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, SAVEETHA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES (SIMATS), SAVEETHA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, TAMIL NADU, INDIA.
[3]DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMISTRY, SAVEETHA MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL, SAVEETHA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES (SIMATS), SAVEETHA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI, INDIA.

Abstract

A decorative colony of microorganisms living inside an extracellular polymeric matrix is called a Biofilm. Microbial cells that are compiled with one another are located in a biofilm. Most bacterial biofilms are harmful in nature. The multifactorial disease known as Bovine Periodontitis is primarily linked to a potentially pathogenic microbiota lives in the oral biofilm of animals. *Porphyromonas gingivalis, Porphyromonas asaccharolytica, Porphyromonas endodontalis, Prevotella intermedia* and *Prevotella melaninogenica* forming oral biofilm that have been linked to indices of Bovine Periodontitis. In addition to facilitating colonization, these interspecies binding interactions may also strengthen dietary connections and intercellular signaling pathways. Bovine Periodontitis is one of the most prevalent conditions that affect the surrounding and supporting tooth structure to be destroyed in Bovine. The term "Periodontitis" is built up of two words, i.e., "periodont" meaning "structure surrounding the teeth" and "itis" meaning "inflammation". When periodontitis is not treated, inflammation spreads to deeper tissues, disrupting bone homeostasis and leading to tooth loss. This illness first affects the gingival tissue. Gingivitis accompanies periodontitis. Periodontitis always begins with gingivitis, even though some cases of gingivitis never proceed to periodontitis. Inflammation of the gingival is the result of non- destructive disease gingivitis.

Keywords: Biofilm, *Porphyromonas* spp., *Prevotella* spp., Bovine Periodontitis, Gingivitis, Livestock and Antibiotic resistance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Bacteria that live in drenched conditions stick themselves to regions by dispensing a glue-like material that is slippery and forms bacterial biofilm. A combination of genetic, biochemical, and mechanical processes mediates the production of biofilms. Bright-field microscopy, Epifluorescence microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, Confocal laser scanning microscopy and Polymerase chain reaction are some of the diagnostic methods that have been utilized to determine the existence of these communities. The fact that biofilms increase the pathogen's pathogenicity has been extensively studied. Multiple medical conditions, including dental caries, cystic fibrosis, perodontitis, urinary tract infections, native valve endocarditis, otitis media, and ocular infections, have been linked to biofilm activity.

In Periodontitis, black-pigmented Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria are rather common, and their presence is frequently followed by discomfort as well as swelling. The majority of *Porphyromonas* spp are linked to the oral microbiota of mammals and participate in inflammatory diseases like periodontitis [1,2]. *Porphyromonas* has been found in hospitals, residences, and other indoor and outdoor spaces that could serve as platforms for the spread of infection between human and animal populations. Rather under ideal conditions, such as in biofilms, the bacteria are shielded in an environment rich in resources and may gain by co-existing with other bacteria to both survive and proliferate [3]. *Prevotella* spp., one of the most abundant genera in the rumen microbiota, exerts an integral part in the cellular breakdown of nitrogen and carbohydrates in ruminants [4,5,6]. *Prevotella* species are strictly

obligatory anaerobic in nature, which makes it challenging for them to grow well under prevalent anaerobic growth conditions on non-selective growth media.

A synergistic microbial community causes Bovine Periodontitis, a polymicrobial transmissible condition that threatens ruminant health, productivity, and welfare. In animal production, it is a vibrant, evolving, recurrent infectious condition that is usually ignored. Throughout the course of the animal's life, it causes progressive changes that are typified by the development of periodontal pockets, gingival recess, mobility, loss of therapeutic insertion, and early tooth decay.

2. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Prevotella melaninogenica*

2.1. *Porphyromonas gingivalis*

2.1.1 General characteristics of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*

Porphyromonas gingivalis is Gram-negative Bacilli with a diameter of 0.3 to 3µm. It is a member of the Porphyromonadaceae family. H. Werner made the initial discovery of it in the 1950s following an unreported oral infection. An optimum pH level of 6.5 to 7.0 and a temperature of 37°C are ideal for the growth of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. It's an obligate anaerobe, with the presence of capsule made of polysaccharide. This type of bacteria is mainly present in the Oral cavity (deep crypts of the tongue and in subgingival dental plague) of livestocks.
After around 7 days of incubation on Blood agar, Porphyromonas gingivalis develops black color colonies with beta hemolysis (under anaerobic conditions), which made the identification process easier.

2.1.2 Pathogenicity of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*

The virulence of the bacteria is driven by the presence of elements such as fimbriae, polysaccharide capsules, hemolysin, hemagglutinin, outer membrane vesicles (OMVs), lipopolysaccharides (LPS), gingipains, collagenase, protease, and superoxide dismutase. *Porphyromonas gingivalis* initially reaches the bovine oral cavity, then it stands out and adheres to readily apparent surfaces. The pathogen's secreted proteolytic enzymes break down extracellular matrix and tissue cell adhesion components. As a result, the mucosa's structural integrity is compromised, making it easier for bacteria to enter the tissue.The bacteria move to the next layer of the extracellular space by aggressively entering tissue cells. Through a process known as transcytosis, the pathogen travels between cells and penetrates the deeper structures.*Porphyromonas gingivalis* rests to the mucosal surfaces of the gingiva and tongue in addition to the mineralized hard tissues of the teeth. Many of the early plague species that support colonization, feeding linkages, and intercellular signaling networks are adhered to by the bacterial cells. Later on, gingivitis and periodontitis are brought on by bacterial growth.

2.2 *Prevotella melaninogenica*

2.2.1 General characteristics of *Prevotella melaninogenica*

Prevotella melaninogenica is Gram negative Bacilli with a diameter of 0.5 -2 µm. It is a member of the Prevotellaceae family. *Prevotella melaninogenica* was originally described as *Bacteriodes melaninogenicus* in 1921 by Wade Oliver and William Wherry at the University of Cincinnati. An optimum pH level of 4.6 -5.0 and a temperature of 37°C are ideal for the growth of *Prevotella melaninogenica*.

2.2.2 Pathogenicity of *Prevotella melaninogenica*

Prevotella melaninogenica employs an assortment of mechanisms in its method of operation that promote its colonization, survival, and possible pathogenicity. The adhesives on the outermost layer of *Prevotella melaninogenica* assist the bacteria adhere to host tissues. In the colonization of mucosal surfaces, particularly in the respiratory tract and oral cavity, adhesion is an essential stage. Biofilms can be formed by the bacterium, just like by many other oral bacteria. Biofilms are intricate formations made up of bacterial cells encased in an extracellular polymeric matrix.
Numerous enzymes that *Prevotella melaninogenica* generates aid in its pathogenesis and persistence. Hemolysins, lipases, and proteases are some of these enzymes. Hemolysins may be implicated in the rupture of blood vessels, whereas proteases can break down host proteins and cause tissue injury. It is feasible for the bacterium to alter the host immunological response. In order to avoid being eliminated by the defenses of the host and to spread an infection, it could affect the local immunological environment.
Anaerobic *Prevotella melaninogenica* is a kind of bacteria whose metabolism is suited for low oxygen settings. As a result of the fermentation of different sugars, byproducts of metabolism such short-chain fats are created. It's metabolic activity may have an impact on the surrounding microbiome and hasten the course of the illness. *Prevotella melaninogenica* frequently interacts with other kinds of bacteria while taking part in polymicrobial communities. These interactions, especially in oral cavities where diverse microbial populations reside, can affect the overall virulence and degree of illnesses.

3. SUBGINGIVAL BIOFILM CORRELATED TO BOVINE PERIODONTITIS

3.1 Development of subgingival Biofilm

The germ-free pellicle is quickly colonized by microorganisms; this process is known as adhesion. Each time a new cell type binds, a surface becomes available for other bacterial species to connect to, a process known as co-

adhesion [7,8]. Additionally, bacterial cells are capable of co-aggregating, or forming multi-species aggregates in suspension [9]. Any sort of cell has one or more types of coaggregation mediators on its surface that operate as receptors (polysaccharides) or adhesins (proteins). Adhesins on one cell type's surface locate and stick to a complementary glycoprotein on the exterior of an alternate cell type during coaggregation [10,11,12]. In the sense that each bacterial cell may only associate to certain coaggregation partners, coaggregation is a unique event. The development of supragingival and subgingival plaque depends on these relationships.

Anaerobic periodontopathogens can populate early biofilms, as demonstrated by the presence of Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria such as Porphyromonas and *Prevotella* spp. in bovine.

3.2 Subgingival biofilm correlated to Bovine Periodontitis

The community of species that exist in mature oral biofilms seems to be quite diverse. Moreover, the diversity of subgingival resident organisms appears to be larger in cases of periodontitis compared to healthy cases. Porphyromonas gingivalis, Porphyromonas asaccharolytica, Porphyromonas endodontalis, Prevotella intermedia, and Prevotella melaninogenica are prominent instances of periodontopathogens. It remains crucial to take into account the possibility that not all bacteria found in periodontitis-affected areas are actively involved in the change from health to illness. It is possible that virulent bacteria cannot colonize a place until the inflammatory process has started and the microflora has changed.

Many microorganisms categorized as periodontopathogens may have the function of maintaining the microflora's imbalance and, consequently, the inflammatory response that other bacteria have triggered. Since interactions with other bacteria may influence an organism's development and gene expression, it appears probable that an organism will not exhibit the same potential for virulence in every bovine cases [13]. As host factors are known to greatly influence disease susceptibility, a microorganism may have much destructive propensity in an individual rather its presence and proliferation in another subject with an opposing heritage could result in an unfavorable immune response [14].

3.3 The relationships that exist between the bacteria in subgingival biofilm

Dental plaque that was distributed to the time of maturity appears to be its peak biofilm community, which can be summarized as an enduring community that is in balance with its surroundings, holds static throughout time, and constitutes an ongoing state in which bacterial cells are constantly decaying and being rejuvenated. The peak biofilm communal microorganisms can interact with one another via transmitting signals from cell to cell, serving as nourishing chains or indulging in other metabolic exchanges that aid the community remain reliable over the years [15].

The unique physiological and the metabolic dependencies of distinct bacterial species may play a major role in determining whether or not an assortment of bacteria will reconstruct itself in an instance that is comparable to its previous ordered state after being interrupted. This is the challenge that periodontal therapy has to tackle. The host has an avenue to heal once the causative elements are removed, but if the livestock was not looked at, the peak community will likely repopulate and harbor species that are similar to those present before to therapy [16].

3.4 Carrier retaliation to Polymicrobial biofilms

It has been demonstrated that the formation of a biofilm alters the gene regulation of bacteria, giving them distinct virulence properties from those of their aquatic rivals. Furthermore, the merging of microorganisms in polymicrobial communities influences the regulation of microbial genes. The majority of research on the response of hosts to oral bacteria, both in vitro and in vivo, has rather focused on isolated bacterial species that have been cultured as a sediment in a fluid laboratory medium under parameters that might not accurately represent the physiological state of oral microbes [17]. The impact of co-infection with multiple species of bacteria on the immune response has been studied in a small number of cases [18]. Furthermore, the majority of laboratory studies on how cells react to distinct microbes has entailed contrasting diagnosed and control cells. These tests have been of great benefit in figuring out how host cells react to a particular microbial stimulus, but they don’t show how cells behave in either condition. The palate mucosa is never completely free of microbes. A convivial polymicrobial biofilm in a state of equilibrium is indicative of medical care, whereas a pathogenic biofilm community’s reaction is indicative of ailments.

Antimicrobial peptides have also been demonstrated to be variably regulated by oral commensals and infectious agents, and to be induced in epithelial cells via distinct routes. It is feasible that organisms in polymicrobial biofilms cause the host to react antagonistically, and that prior exposure to one microbe could influence how the host reacts upon returning to a separate bacterial invasion.

4. EVOLUTION OF GINGIVITIES TO BOVINE PERIODONTITIS

The solid consistency and pink color of normal, healthy gingiva are its distinguishing features. Healthy gingival tissues fill the space underneath the tooth-to-tooth contact areas and are firm and non-bleeding when gently prodded. Theoretically, there should be no histological indication of inflammation in normal gingiva, although microscopic tissue sections rarely show this perfect state. Neutrophils or polymorphonuclear leukocytes make up the vast majority of the leukocyte infiltrate in the gingiva, even in extremely healthy conditions. These leukocytes

are phagocytes, and its primary objective is to eradicate bacteria that have made their way into the gingival crevicular area or gingival pocket from the surrounding tissues.

Chemotactic peptides are chemicals generated by the bacteria that attract neutrophils, driving them to the gingival crevice or periodontal pocket. Additionally, when bacteria injure the epithelial cells, the cells release chemicals known as cytokines, which draw leukocytes—mostly neutrophils—to the crack. Pathogens can be phagocytosed and digested by the neutrophils inside the crevice, which eliminates the germs from the pocket. In certain cases, the neutrophil defense may function effectively, lower the bacterial burden, and be significant in preventing the gingivitis lesion from becoming established. However, the neutrophils and the epithelial cell barrier will not be enough to control the infection if there is an excess of microbial plaque. In these cases, there will be significant inflammation of the gingival tissue, which is referred to as gingivitis in clinical terms. All individuals exhibit diagnostic gingivitis symptoms after 10–20 days of plaque buildup [19]. The symptoms of gingivitis include redness, swelling, and a greater propensity for the gingiva to bleed when gently prodded. Gingival swelling can be reversed at this point if plaque is eliminated by efficient plaque management strategies [20].

The clinical changes in gingivitis are quite mild in the early stages. Nevertheless, microscopic analysis of the tissues indicates distinct histological alterations. The blood vessel network has changed as a result of these modifications, and many capillary beds that would normally be closed are now open. Histopathologically, the early gingivitis lesion shows an immense infiltration of inflammatory cells alongside a small amount of plasma cells. There is neither bone loss nor apical migration of the epithelium along the root in the clinically developed gingivitis lesion.

Diagnostic indicators of periodontitis include deepening pockets, crestal bone loss, and apical migration of epthelium along the root surface or clinical attachment loss. Histopathologically, it resembles chronic gingivitis and has a plasma cell density higher than 50%. Typically, it takes longer than six months for a gingivitis lesion to progress to periodontitis [21]. In addition, only a small portion of livestock go from gingivitis to periodontitis [22]. Periodontitis is a persistent disorder, since bone is nearly impossible to replace once lost. The majority of bovines gradually lose bone that supports their teeth over years.

5. AGE FACTOR IN THE PREVALENCE OF BOVINE PERIODONTAL LESIONS

Out of the 250 Bovine breed that underwent examination, 35 had dental arches with periodontal diseases, and 40 were assessed as periodontally healthy. The study employed logistic regression analysis to assess the correlation between periodontitis and the independent factors, including gender, age, and breed [23].

Animals with periodontitis ranged in age between 1.5 to 16.5 years, whereas those in periodontally healthy condition were on average 2.9 years old (1.4 to 10.6 years). Countless breeds were recognized, with Limousin, Holstein-Friesian, and Aberdeen Angus being the most prevalent.The presence of periodontal lesions was significantly correlated with the animals age.In the 35 affected animals, there was a higher prevalence of periodontal lesions at the right first incisor (28.6%), left maxillary third premolar (28.6%), right mandibular third premolar (40%), and right maxillary third premolar (28.6%).

BREED	FEMALE BREED WITH PERIODONTITIS	MALE BREED WITH PERIODONTITIS	HEALTHY FEMALE BREED	HEALTHY MALE BREED	TOTAL
Aberdeen Angus	5	0	4	2	11
Belgian Blue	2	0	0	0	2
Belted Galloway	0	1	0	0	1
Blonde D' Aquitaine	0	0	1	0	1
British Blue	1	0	1	0	2
British Friesian	6	0	2	0	8
Charolais	0	0	1	1	2
Highland	0	0	0	2	2
Holstein Friesian	7	0	4	0	11
Limousin	6	2	17	1	26
Luing	0	0	1	0	1
Shorthorn	1	1	1	0	3
Simmental	3	0	3	0	6

Table 1: Breed and sex distribution of 76—35 with periodontal lesions and 41 with healthy gums chosen from 250 dairy cows

6. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PERIODONTITIS AND OSTEOPOROSIS

6.1 Osteoporosis

The hallmarks of osteoporosis include diminished bone mass and heightened vulnerability to fracture [24]. The predicament known as osteoporosis contributes to an impairment in the amount and condition of bone, eroding the bone framework and increasing the potential on fracture.

Countless risk factors are common between osteoporosis and periodontal illness, and since both conditions affect the desorption of bone, there is a theory that osteoporosis may slow the advancement of periodontal disease. According to certain assertions, the pace of periodontal alveolar bone loss, which results in periodontal disease, may be owing to this rise in alveolar bone porosity in conjunction with local variables.

It was determined that there is a connection between skeletal and oral osteoporosis and that radiological diagnosis is required [25]. To ascertain the connection between osteoporosis and dental health, the US National Health Institute commissioned special research in 1992. Fluctuations in systemic bone density also correspond to variations in the alveolar bone's height and density in addition to the height of the medical confluence of periodontal tissues.

6.2 The detrimental effect of tooth loss resulting from osteoporosis

The age perplexing outcome is one fundamental factor. In contrast to age-related bone changes, tooth loss in a “younger” age group may be a more reliable marker of dietary factors that can result in surgical procedures. There is minimal findings to decisively figure out that there is a substantial correlation between osteoporosis and tooth loss based on the study designs that were looked at. The number of teeth may have fewer partly because of a minor reason, most likely decreased bone density [26]. Apart from the significant distorting effect caused by aging in terms of osteoporosis, decay of the teeth and oral illness, the conventional reasons for tooth lossover time, obscure comparisons.

6.3 The consequences of Osteoporosis on the destruction of alveolar bone around teeth

For all teeth with the exception of third molars, the periodontal pocket comprehensiveness and clinical anchorage level were measured at six sites per tooth. It appeared that no indication that persistent periodontitis and skeletal mineral makeup of bones were interconnected. It has been extensively researched that partial-mouth periodontal evaluations understate prevalence projections, and it is likely that these discrepancies will have a deleterious effect on any prospective relationship between bone density and the severity of periodontal disease [27].

In recognition of physical differences in the bone cerebral substances at these points, examines that have restricted the range of bone density dimensions to the lumbar spine cannot be compared via radiography measurements of the cortical width of the metacarpal and forearm or depicts of the mandibular alveolar crest [28]. Similar to this, distinctions in the overall number of teeth and sites periodontally assessed therapeutically restrict the comparability of results due to the systematic flaws that are inevitably brought into the research. The financial sector, chronological, and practical restrictions justify the adoption of partial-mouth testing methodologies in large-scale national cross-sectional surveys. However, given the constraints of the evaluated research, it seems that increased periodontal bone loss as determined by radiography and decreased bone mineral density have a fragile relationship. Measurement in medical periodontal markers, however, obscures this association.

6.4 Results of osteoporosis on the emergence of periodontal disease

Quite a few studies have attempted to look at and traversed changes in the course of periodontal disease with both confined and persistent bone loss over time. Nor baseline periodontitis as well as mean diagnostic depth of penetrating or adherence degree, and not the rate at which the change in the density of bone minerals at any neurological site, showed any discernible relationship.

The probing attachment level (PAL) was compared to a number of Anatomy, surgical, and existence parameters, including the bone mineral density of the foot’s heel measured with an ultrasonic bone densitometer. For the purpose of to calibrate the results against the “normal” bone density, the outcomes of the bone mineral density scores in this investigation were recast into an estimation of bone rigidity. The level of PAL at baseline and the number of sites with over 3 mm of PAL on additional tests after three years were employed to determine the rate of progression of periodontitis. It appeared that a lack of association seen between accelerating PAL and any other medical or anatomical parameter.

The inconsistent results of the aforementioned research could be explained by a number of factors. First, the individuals’ advanced age is probably going to have a detrimental effect on how many teeth they currently have, which could indirectly change how periodontal condition summation scores like total tooth loss are interpreted [29,30].

6.5 Medications for osteoporosis and periodontitis

In view of the hormone's anabolic effects on bone, periodic parathyroid hormone therapy is a validated treatment for osteoporosis. Periodic parathyroid hormone treatment prevented rats' alveolar bone loss caused by periodontitis caused by destruction of ligaments in unaltered animals [31,32].

One of the most extensively employed pharmacological classes for dealing with osteoporosis is the bisphosphonate class. It has been found that bisphosphonates prevent periodontal bone loss in both experimental and non-experimental settings. Bisphosphonates were viable in reducing alveolar bone loss in a subgroup of individuals with poor baseline bone mineral density at the outset, which is an intriguing discovery [33]. This implies that enhancing bone mineral density could effectively restrict the loss of periodontal bone. Extended dosage intervals of bisphosphonates have been associated with reduced revascularization and bone production, suggesting that the duration of ingestion may also have an impact [34]. Similarly, alveolar bone loss may be slowed by low dose regimens but not by high dose [35].

The dietary intake of calcium is thought to be an important trigger for developing osteoporosis in the future. Livestock with periodontitis, fed with calcium and vitamin D supplements showed a tendency toward improved periodontal health [36]. Low consumption of calcium or dairy products has also been linked to worse periodontal status. In a similar, bovine animals fed with calcium and vitamin D supplements for three years lost less teeth in a five-year randomized placebo-controlled experiment, even though their periodontal health was equal to that of the controls [37].

6.6 Medications for Periodontitis and osteoporosis

Given the widely known risk of osteonecrosis, the impact of bisphosphonate administration on periodontal care may be of greater relevance in this case. It is recognized that individuals receiving oral bisphosphonates have a minimal chance of developing osteonecrosis, while those using intravenous bisphosphonates have a far greater chance than those using oral dosage [38,39]. Periodontal therapies such as non-invasive, invasive, and implant-based regeneration are not inappropriate; nonetheless, it was noted that initial ligament closure after periodontal surgery is preferred. In a comparable manner, initial tissue repair is advised in cases where extractions cannot be avoided.

7. PREDICTORS OF LONG- TERM PERIODONTITIS RELATED TOOTH LOSS

If left untreated, a severe kind of oral illness called bovine periodontitis can result in tooth loss. Long-term periodontitis-related tooth loss usually results from a series of events that impact the gums and surrounding bone, which are the teeth's supporting structures. Predictors include;

7.1 Tartar and Plaque Formation

If gingivitis fails to be addressed, plaque may crystallize into tartar (calculus). Gum disease can worsen as a result of tartar accumulation that extends below the gum line.

7.2 Periodontal Pockets

Periodontal pockets build up when the gums recede from the teeth as a result of infection and inflammation. More bacteria can grow in the spaces created by these pockets.

7.3 Loss of Bone

It is viable for the bone that supports the teeth to be destroyed by persistent inflammation and infection. The stability of the teeth may be disrupted over time by a significant loss of bone.

7.4 Dental Mobility

Teeth may become movable or loose due to ongoing bone loss. This indicates a serious compromise of the supporting structures.

7.5 Loss of teeth

When periodontitis reaches its late stages, the surrounding bone and gums can no longer support the teeth, which can lead to their loss. Over time, this tooth loss may happen gradually.

]

8. RISK FACTORS OF BOVINE PERIODONTITIS

8.1 Age

Periodontal problems, such as Periodontitis, are more prevalent among older Bovine animals.

8.2 Dental Anatomy

The structure of how the upper and lower teeth correspond to together and the alignment of the teeth can both have an impact on the development of Periodontitis.

8.3 Systemic Health

Overall health issues and disorders that influence the immune system can impact the susceptibility of bovine to periodontitis.

8.4 Genetic makeup

Certain breeds of bovine may have a genetic predisposition to periodontitis and other oral problems.

8.5 Farm management techniques

Handling protocols and housing management, can have an impact on the dental health of a bovine.

8.6 Existence of other illnesses

Periodontal disorders may be more common in bovine that also have other conditions, such as gastrointestinal or respiratory illnesses.

9. ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE

9.1 Antibiotics

A beneficial supplement to alternative therapies for bacterial conditions such as periodontitis, is the intake of antibiotics. Actinomycetes, fungi, and bacteria collectively develop compounds known as antimicrobial agents that inhibit the growth of other kinds of bacteria and can ultimately kill them. Nevertheless, due to widespread use, the term "antibiotics" is frequently used to refer to synthetic or semi-synthetic antibacterial agents such as metronidazole and sulfonamides that cannot be synthesized by microorganisms. The therapeutic introduction of sulfonamide in 1936 marked the beginning of the contemporary age of antibacterial therapy. The development of penicillin, which Alexander Fleming discovered in 1928, marked the beginning of the "golden age" of antibiotics when it was ultimately produced in mass quantities and was made accessible to a limited number of clinical studies in 1941 [40]. The tremendous effectiveness of penicillin in addressing infections caused by bacteria led to a rapid shift in scientific focus toward the development of new antibiotics. A worldwide hunt for antibiotics produced by molds isolated from soil samples was launched by several laboratories, and further breakthroughs were soon to come. Hundreds more antibiotics were subsequently discovered, and many of them have advanced to the point that they can be useful in the treatment of a wide range of illnesses, including periodontitis conditions.

Typically, periodontal therapy pertains to the use of antibiotics such as metronidazole, tetracycline, macrolide, and penicillin. Broad-spectrum antibiotics such as penicillin, tetracycline, and erythromycin are powerful against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. The medication metronidazole is an intriguing therapy for periodontal infections as a result of its potent behavior against gram-negative anaerobic species of bacteria and low rates of resistance.

9.2 Penicillin

Penicillins are the primary β-lactam antibiotics, in addition to cephalosporins. Alexander Fleming noticed in 1928 that lyses of adjoining bacteria were brought on by a mold that had infected one of his colonies when he was researching *staphylococcus* variations. Fleming gave the antibiotic compound the epithet penicillin since the mold was a member of the genera *Penicillium*. Even though a lot of other antimicrobial drugs have been produced since then, penicillins remain one of the most significant classes of antibiotics, and novel variations on the fundamental penicillin nuclei are continuously being created.

The penicillin fundamental framework is made up of an acetyl side chain bonded to a β-lactam ring that is connected to a thiazolidine ring. Penicillin G, the first generation of penicillin, is the sole organic penicillin that is being utilized in clinical settings. After oral administration, it was discovered that penicillin V, the first partially artificial derivative, was more durable than penicillin G. However, both medications are readily metabolized by β-lactamases, which are reducing enzymes generated by bacteria, and have consequence against Gram-negative bacteria. All β-lactam antibiotics, including penicillins, are antibacterial agents. By preventing the formation of the bacterial peptidoglycan cell wall, they destroy vulnerable bacteria [41]. Amoxicillin and ampicillin, two broad spectrum penicillins, permeate through Gram-negative bacterial porin pores much faster than penicillin G. distinct Gram-negative bacteria have distinct number and thicknesses of openings in their outer membranes.

β-lactamase synthesis appears to be the primary mechanism of developing resistance to β-lactam antibiotics in oral cavity bacteria. As amoxicillin and β-lactamase inhibitors like clavulanic acid are combined, for illustration, results in an antibiotic concentration that is resistant to β-lactamase [42, 43]. From 10 months to 3 years upon medication, this combination has demonstrated positive results in the management of chronic and persistent bovine periodontitis [44, 45, 46]. Amoxicillin together with clavulanate exhibited superior antibacterial properties compared to amoxicillin by itself in susceptible strains of *Prevotella intermedia* and *Prevotella micra*.

9.3 Tetracycline

Following an exhaustive search for antibiotic-producing microorganisms in sample soils gathered from various regions of the world, chlortetracycline, the first tetracycline, was approved in 1948 [47]. They are called tratracyclines since they are made up of four connected cyclic rings. The several derivatives linked to this fundamental ring structure have only slightly changed [48]. The most often made use of are minocycline, doxycycline, and tetracycline hydrochloride. Since the three have similar spectra of activity, resistance to one could imply resistance to the others as well. At concentrations that can be obtained medically, tetracyclines are antibacterial drugs [49]. By attaching to the 30S bacterial ribosome and blocking aminoacyl tRNA from reaching the acceptor (A) site on the mRNA-ribosome complex, they suppress the production of bacterial proteins [50, 51]. This prevents an initial group from forming, which is necessary for the synthesis of amino acids in proteins [52].

Tetracyclines may additionally modify the cytoplasmic membrane, which allows nucleotides and other substances to escape the bacterial cell [53]. Tetracycline may prevent protein synthesis in cells of animals at higher dosages. Despite this, the active transport mechanism that allows bacteria to propel tetracyclines across the inner cytoplasmic membrane is absent from cells of animals. Moreover, variations in ribosome sensitivity have a significant role in determining the selective action of these medications. Tetracycline is the partially artificial parent compound of doxycycline and minocycline. Tetracyclines have antibacterial properties, however they can also interfere with collagenase. In cases of periodontal disease, this barrier might impede the breakdown of tissue. Tetracycline must adhere to the ribosome within the bacterial cell as a way to prevent protein synthesis. On the other hand, the analogs of tetracycline, minocycline and doxycycline, exhibit remarkable antibacterial action and can inhibit more than 95% of the collected species, such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia,* and *Prevotella. micra*, at a MIC90. These analogs have a breakpoint of 8 µl/mL.

9.4 Macrolide

Erythromycin was the primary macrolide to be reported and has been widely used in medicine for over 40 years. The structures of the semi-synthetic macrolides clarithromycin and azithromycin are comparable to those of erythromycin. The introduction of a methyl-substituted nitrogen element to the lactone ring sets azithromycin apart from erythromycin, making it a member of a recently discovered macrolide subgroup known as the azalides [54]. In comparison to erythromycin, this modification results in a molecule that remains more acidity resilient, has a prolonged serum lifespan, enhances tissue permeability, and has higher efficacy against gram-negative pathogens [55]. Clarithromycin is accessible in addition to all the macrolide-related drugs being available for oral usage. For individuals that are allergic to penicillin, it is frequently used as a substitute.

Bacterial ribosomes are the primary targets for the macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramin B class of antibiotics. The precise framework of the macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin antibiotics, which block protein synthesis by adhering to ribosomes, is currently being described, despite the general consensus to that effect [56]. According to certain hypotheses, macrolide antibiotics, which have a lactone ring with 12 to 16 members that has been substituted via any number of carbohydrates, prevent the stretching of developing polypeptides by binding to the ribosomal escape tunneling opening. This causes an inhibition event that accumulates toxic peptidyl-transfer RNA and prevents the production of freshly synthesized proteins through 2 separate processes [57].

Both of the medications had comparable rates of resistance; the MIC90 for erythromycin was twice as high as that of clarithromycin.

9.5 Metronidazole

One crucial antibiotic for anaerobic infections is metronidazole [58]. Following the time, this substance has also been crucial in the treatment of dental infections linked to anaerobes [59]. Since metronidazole demands organisms that are susceptible to activate its metabolism, it might be regarded as a prodrug. Four stages are thought to be involved in its system of action: [60]

- Penetration into the bacterial cell
- Diminution of the nitro group
- Detrimental effect of the decreased molecule
- Release of dormant end products.

The primary mechanism by which metronidazole kills microorganisms is thought to be the production of the oxidative intermediary cytoplasmic metabolites [61,62]. The majority of Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria present in the subgingival microbiome appear to be susceptible to metronidazole [63]. Abu-Fanas *et al*. (1991) examined the antibiotic sensitivity of 61 Gram-negative rods, including *P. gingivalis, C. gracilis*, and *F. nucleatum*, that were collected from deeper periodontal spaces. Metronidazole worked well against every isolate [64]. Ninety days after therapy, the average proportion of resistant isolates had dropped to their initial levels after a rise during antibiotic delivery. No child (4 - 5 years old) was found to be hosting metronidazole-resistant anaerobic bacteria by Ready *et al*. (2003) [65]. Similarly, *P. gingivalis* strains were not found to be resistant to this antibiotic by Van Winkelhoff *et al*. (2005) [66]. In the meantime, a laboratory experiment conducted by Rams et al. (2011) examined the resistance of retrieved presumptive periodontal microorganisms from individuals with severe periodontitis to metronidazole. In contrast to 48.7% of those surveyed that carried strains resistant to 4 mg/ml of spiramycin and 62.2% to 8 mg/mL of amoxicillin, 27% of the subjects carried strains resistant to 16 mg/ml of metronidazole [67]. This antibiotic promises to be a viable treatment for periodontitis because of the relatively low prevalence of bacterial resistance to metronidazole and its strong efficacy in killing Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria linked to bovine periodontal diseases.

10. CONCLUSION

Local variations exist in the prevalence of bovine periodontitis; farms in certain areas have a greater number of cases than others. Greater periodontitis in bovine than those in additional domains. Livestock with periodontitis and tooth loss had higher serum IgG antibody titers to microorganisms linked to the disease. Animals with periodontal disease failed much more teeth and weighed less overall. It has been proposed that systemic sickness

and oral pathology are related, either directly through infection and hyperreactive responses or indirectly through tooth loss and malnourishment. Statistics has indicated that there are only slight variations in antibody titers to periodontitis-associated bacteria across individuals with gingivitis or periodontitis. This implies that antibody titers produced as a result of periodontal infection would not offer effective protection against infection or might only offer restricted or transient protection. In fact, when periodontal pocket depth increases, it is expected that the bacterial load will rise in tandem with the severity of periodontal disease. It has been noted that the bacterial pathogenicity in periodontitis can be modulated by intra-species genetic variability. This may help to explain why periodontal diseases are quite common in healthy animals.

REFERENCES

1) Porphyromonas canis gene for 16S rRNA - Nucleotide - NCBI, (n.d.).630 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/AB034799.1 (accessed January 24, 2020).
2) F.E. Dewhirst, E.A. Klein, E.C. Thompson, J.M. Blanton, T. Chen, L. Milella, C.M.F. Buckley, I.J. Davis,638 M.L. Bennett, Z. V. Marshall-Jones, The canine oral microbiome, *PLoS One.* 7 (2012) 1–12.
3) Dragoš A, Kovács ÁT. The peculiar functions of the bacterial extracellular matrix. *Trends Microbiol.* 2017;25(4):257–266. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.010
4) Stevenson, D.M.; Weimer, P.J. Dominance of Prevotella and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*2007, 75, 165–174. [CrossRef]
5) Kim, J.N.; Méndez-García, C.; Geier, R.R.; Iakiviak, M.; Chang, J.; Cann, I.; Mackie, R.I. Metabolic networks for nitrogen utilization in Prevotella ruminicola 23. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 7851. [CrossRef]
6) Wirth, R.; Kádár, G.; Kakuk, B.; Maróti, G.; Bagi, Z.; Szilágyi, Á.; Rákhely, G.; Horváth, J.; Kovács, K.L. The Planktonic Core Microbiome and Core Functions in the Cattle Rumen by Next Generation Sequencing. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 2285. [CrossRef]
7) Bos, R., H. C. van der Mei and H. J. Busscher (1996). Co-adhesion of oral microbial pairs under flow in the presence of saliva and lactose. *JDent Res* 75(2): 809-15.
8) Busscher, H. J., R. Bos and H. C. van der Mei (1995). Initial microbial adhesion is a determinant for the strength of biofilm adhesion. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 128(3): 229-34.
9) Kolenbrander, P. E. (1989). Surface recognition among oral bacteria: multigeneric coaggregations and their mediators. *Crit Rev Microbiol17*(2): 137-59.
10) Cassels, F. J., C. V. Hughes and J. L. Nauss (1995). Adhesin receptors of human oral bacteria and modeling of putative adhesinbinding domains. *J Ind Microbiol* 15(3): 176-85.
11) Cisar, J. O., A. L. Sandberg, C. Abeygunawardana, G. P. Reddy and C. A. Bush (1995). Lectin recognition of host-like saccharide motifs in streptococcal cell wall polysaccharides. Glycobiology 5(7): 655-62.
12) Whittaker, C. J., C. M. Klier and P. E. Kolenbrander (1996). Mechanisms of adhesion by oral bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 50: 513-52.
13) Egland, P. G., R. J. Palmer, Jr. and P. E. Kolenbrander (2004). Interspecies communication in *Streptococcus gordonii-Veillonella atypical* biofilms: signaling in flow conditions requires juxtaposition. *Proc NatlAcad Sci* U S A 101(48): 16917-22.
14) Diehl, S. R., Y. Wang, C. N. Brooks, J. A. Burmeister, J. V. Califano, S.Wang and H. A. Schenkein (1999). Linkage disequilibrium of interleukin-1 genetic polymorphisms with early-onset periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 70(4): 418-30.
15) Rickard, A. H., R. J. Palmer, Jr., D. S. Blehert, S. R. Campagna, M. F. Semmelhack, P. G. Egland, B. L. Bassler and P. E. Kolenbrander (2006). Autoinducer 2: a concentration-dependent signal for mutualistic bacterial biofilm growth. *Mol Microbiol* 60(6): 1446-56.
16) Socransky, S. S. and A. D. Haffajee (2005). Periodontal microbial ecology. *Periodontol* 2000 38: 135-87.
17) Lamont, R. J., D. Oda, R. E. Persson and G. R. Persson (1992). Interaction of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* with gingival epithelial cells maintained in culture. *Oral Microbiol Immunol* 7(6): 364-7.
18) Kesavalu, L., S. Sathishkumar, V. Bakthavatchalu, C. Matthews, D. Dawson, M. Steffen and J. L. Ebersole (2007). Rat model of polymicrobial infection, immunity, and alveolar bone resorption in periodontal disease. *Infect Immun* 75(4): 1704-12.
19) Van der Weijden GA, Timmerman MF, Danser MM, Nijboer A, Saxton CA, Van der Welden U. Effect of pre-experimental maintenance care duration on the development of gingivitis in a partial mouth experimental gingivitis model. *J Periodontal Res* 1994: 29: 168–173.
20) Lo¨e H, Theilade E, Jensen S. Experimental gingivitis in bovine. *J Periodontol* 1965: 36: 177–187.
21) Brecx M, Fro¨licher I, Gehr P, Lang NP. Stereological observations on long term experimental gingivitis in bovine. *J Clin Periodontol* 1988: 15: 621–627.

22) Albandar JM, Brunelle JA, Kingman A. Destructive periodontal disease 30 years of age and older in the United States, 1988–1994. *J Periodontol* 1999: 70: 13–29.
23) Ingham B. Abbatoir survey of dental defects in cull cows. Vet rec. 2001 ;148:739-742
24) Nordin BEC. Redefining osteoporosis. *Calcif Tissue Int* 2008;23: 365–7.
25) Hildebolt CF. Osteoporosis and oral bone loss. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 1997;26:3-15
26) Earnshaw SA, Keating N, Hosking DJ et al. Tooth counts do not predict bone mineral density. *Int J Epidemiol* 1998; 27: 479–83.
27) eck JD, Caplan DJ, Preisser JS, Moss K. Reducing the bias of probing depth and attachment level estimates using random partial-mouth recording. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2006; 34: 1–10.
28) Tezal M, Wactawski-Wende J, Grossi SG, Ho AW, Dunford R,Genco RJ. The relationship between bone mineral density and periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 2000;71: 1492–8
29) Albandar JM, Brunelle JA, Kingman A. Destructive periodontal disease in livestock, 1988–94. *J Periodontol* 1999; 70: 13–29.
30) Slade G, Spencer AJ, Roberts-Thomson KF. Australia's Dental Generations: The National Survey of Oral Health 2004–06. AIHW cat. No. DEN 165. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2007 (Dental Statistics and Research Series No. 34).
31) Barros SP, Silva MAD, Somerman MJ, Nociti FH Jr. Parathyroid hormone protects against periodontitis-associated bone loss. *JDent Res* 2003; 82: 791–5.
32) Marques MR, Dias da Silva MA, Manzi FR, Cesar-Neto JB, Nociti JFH, Barros SP. Effect of intermittent PTH administration in the periodontitis-associated bone loss in ovariectomized rats. *Arch Oral Biol* 2005; 50: 421–9.
33) Jeffcoat MK, Cizza G, Shih WJ, Genco R, Lombardi A. Efficacy of bisphosphonates for the control of alveolar bone loss in periodontitis. *J Int Acad Periodontol* 2007; 9: 70–6
34) Menezes AMA, Rocha FAC, Chaves HV, Carvalho CBM, Ribeiro RA, Brito GAC. Effect of sodium alendronate on alveolar bone resorption in experimental periodontitis in rats. *J Periodontol* 2005; 76: 1901–9.
35) etinkaya BO, Keles GC, Ayas B, Gurgor P. Effects of risedronate on alveolar bone loss and angiogenesis: a stereologic study in rats. *J Periodontol* 2008; 79: 1950–61.
36) Miley D, Garcia MN, Hildebolt CF et al. Cross-Sectional study of vitamin d and calcium supplementation effects on chronic periodontitis. *Journal Periodontol* 2009; 80: 1433–9.
37) Krall EA, Wehler C, Garcia RI, Harris SS, Dawson-Hughes B. Calcium and vitamin D supplements reduce tooth loss in the elderly. *Am J Med* 2001; 111: 452–6.
38) Boonyapakorn T, Schirmer I, Reichart PA, Sturm I, Massenkeil G. Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaws: prospective study of 80 patients with multiple myeloma and other malignancies. *Oral Oncol* 2008; 44: 857–69
39) Woo SB, Hellstein JW, Kalmar JR. Systematic review: bisphosphonates and osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;144: 753–61.
40) Chambers HF, Sande MA. Antimicrobial agents. General considerations. In: Gilman AG, Rall TW, Nies AS, Taylor P. The pharmacological basis of therapeutics. *New York: Pergamon Press*;1996. p. 1026-9.
41) Spratt BG. The mechanism of action of penicillin. *Sci Prog*. 1978;65:101-28.
42) Haggoud A, Reysset G, Azeddoug H, Sebald M. Nucleotide sequence analysis of two 5-nitromidazole resistance determinants from Bacteroides strains and of a new insertion sequence upstream of the two genes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1994;38:1047-51.
43) Magnusson I, Marks RG, Clark WB, Walker CB, Low SB, McArthur WP. Clinical, microbiological and immunological characteristics of subjects with "refractory" periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1991;18:291-9
44) Collins JG, Offenbacher S, Arnold RR. effects of a combinationtherapy to eliminate Porphyromonas gingivalis in refractory periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* 1993;64:998-1007.
45) Haffajee AD, Dibart S, Kent RL Jr, Socransky SS. Clinical and microbiological changes associated with the use of 4 adjunctive systemically administered agents in the treatment of periodontal infections. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1995;22:618-27
46) Haffajee AD, Dibart S, Kent RL Jr, Socransky SS. Factor associated with different responses to periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol*. 1995;22:62
47) Kapusnik-Uner JE, Sande MA, Chambers HF. Antimicrobial Agents: penicillins, cephalosporins, and other beta-lactam antibiotics. In: Gilman AG, Hardman JG, Limbird LE. The Pharmacological basis of therapeutic. New York: Pergamon Press; 1996. P. 1123-53.
48) Walker CB. Selected antimicrobial agents: mechanisms of action, side effects and drug interactions. *Periodontol 2000*. 1996;10:12-28.
49) Schnappinger D, Hillen W. Tetracyclines: antibiotic action, uptake, and resistance mechanisms. *Arch Microbiol*. 1996;165:359-69.

50) Maxwell IH. Studies of the binding of thetracycline to ribosomes in vitro. Mol Pharmacol. 1968;4:25-37.
51) Suarez G, Nathans D. Inhibition of aminoacyl tRNA binding to ribosomes by tetracycline. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1965;18:743.
52) Day LE. Tetracycline inhibition of cell-free protein synthesis.II. Effect of the binding of tetracycline to the components of the system. J Bacteriol. 1966;92 197-203.
53) Pato ML. Tetracycline inhibits propagation of deoxyribonucleic acid replication and alters membrane properties. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1977;11:318-323.
54) Blandizzi C, Malizia T, Lupetti A, Pesce D, Gabriele M, Giuca MR, et al. Periodontal tissue disposition of azithromycin in bovine affected by chronic inflammatory periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol*. 1999;70:960-6.
55) Zuckerman JM, Qamar F, Bono BR. Review of macrolides (azithromycin, clarithromycin), ketolids (telithromycin) and glycylcyclines (tigecycline). *Med Clin North Am*. 2011;95;761-91.
56) Seymour RA, Hogg SD. Antibiotics and chemoprophylaxis. *Periodontol* 2000. 2008;46:80-108
57) Poulsen SM, Kofoed C, Vester B. Inhibition of the ribosomal peptidyl transferase reaction by the mycarose moiety of the antibiotics carbomycin, spiramycin and tylosin. *J Mol Biol*. 2000;304:471-81
58) Falagas Me, Gorbach SL. Clindamycin and metronidazole. *Med Clin North Am*. 1995;79:845-67.
59) Loesche WJ, Syed SA, Laughon Be, Stoll J. The bacteriology of acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis. *J Periodontol*. 1982;53:223-30
60) Müller M. Mode of action of metronidazole on anaerobic bacteria and protozoa. Surgery. 1983;93:165-71.98-Nakae T. Outer-membrane permeability of bacteria. *Crit Rev Microbiol*. 1986;13:1-62.
61) Snega Priya P, Meenatchi R, Pasupuleti M, Namasivayam SK, Arockiaraj J. Harnessing Cyclic di-GMP Signaling: A Strategic Approach to Combat Bacterial Biofilm-Associated Chronic Infections. Current Microbiology. 2025;82(3):118.
62) Lopes BS, Hanafiah A, Nachimuthu R, Muthupandian S, Md Nesran ZN, Patil S. The role of antimicrobial peptides as antimicrobial and antibiofilm agents in tackling the silent pandemic of antimicrobial resistance. Molecules. 2022;27(9):2995.
63) Jayavarsha V, AS SG, Gunasekaran S. Characterization of vancomycin resistant enterococci and drug ligand interaction between vanA of E. faecalis with the bio-compounds from aegles marmelos. Journal of pharmacopuncture. 2023;26(3):247.
64) Abu-Fanas SH, Drucker DB, Hull PS, Reeder JC, Ganguli LA. Identification and susceptibility to seven antimicrobial agents, of 61 gram-negative anaerobic rods from periodontal pockets. *J Dent*. 1991;19:46-50.
65) Ready D, Bedi R, Spratt DA, Mullany P, Wilson M. Prevalence, proportions, and identities of antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the oral microflora. *Microb Drugs Resist*. 2003;9:367-72.
66) Van Winkelhoff AJ, Herrera D, Oteo A, Sanz M. Antimicrobial profiles of periodontal pathogens isolated from periodontal animals in The Netherlands and Spain. J Clin Periodontol. 2005;32:891-8.
67) Rams Te, Dujardin S, Sautter JD, Degener Je, Van Winkelhoff AJ. Spiramycin resistance in periodontal microbiota. *Anaerobe*. 2011;17:201-5