TPM Vol. 32, No. S2, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

PSYCHOLOGICAL PREDICTORS OF EMOTIONAL LABOR AND FATIGUE IN DISASTER RESPONSE ENGINEERING TEAMS

DOC. CHETAN KUMAR
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.

DR. NARESH CHANDER SHARMA
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.

DR. CHAND TANDON
PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
EMAIL: chand.tandon@ndimdelhi.org, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7505-8463

Abstract
Responding to disasters puts a team of engineers under physical and emotional strain. They have to work in dangerous environments with little to no predictability and have to engage in emotional labor. This paper looks into how trait resilience, emotional intelligence, neuroticism, and cognitive flexibility affect emotional labor strategies and fatigue in such challenging situations. Using a multisite survey of 126 field engineers and emergency infrastructure specialists from three different regions, this study finds that surface acting greatly increases emotional exhaustion. Furthermore, deep acting ways counteracts stress when mediated by trait emotional intelligence. Also, perceived team support and individual coping style are analyzed as moderators in emotional fatigue trajectories. Using regression modeling alongside cluster-based profiling, three psychological archetypes are identified within response teams, revealing practical strategies for mental health and workforce screening. The paper reinforces psychological preparedness as essential for maintaining performance, personal well-being, and operational functionality in disaster zones. Recommended strategies include emotional and psychological skills training, resilience team-building, and workshops aimed to teach response units to engineers. This work aids in developing strategies aimed to respond to and mitigate emotional fatigue, helping achieve mission success alongside personnel longevity in emergency operations.

Keywords: Emotional labor, psychological predictors, disaster response, engineering teams, emotional fatigue, trait resilience, emotion regulation

INTRODUCTION

Disaster response engineering teams are essential in recovering critical infrastructure and operational safety in extreme environments [6]. These responders face the most difficult encounters including harsh weather and unstable structures alongside dealing with the emotionally traumatized populations. While the focus of disaster preparedness programs tends to be on the technical skills of the responders, the psychological endurance of these engineering teams is far more neglected. Emotion, or the process of managing feelings to conform with role expectations, becomes a vocally registered yet forceful demand predisposed on these workers. Emotion in a disaster area surfaces, either as pretending to show emotion, or deep acting where genuine modifications to inner feelings are performed in order to meet professionally set standards. Both approaches, while necessary, are mentally draining and contribute to emotional burnout and cognitive fatigue [7].

For a long time, occupational psychology has shown that traits like resilience, emotional intelligence, and neuroticism are key in managing stress [15]. For these responders, the emotional aspects and urgency of the decisions is what make these phenomena more essential. However, thevacuum in engineering ethics and in health monitoring after deployment is usually disregarded, this lacking focus is what ends up accumulating crucial psychological stress. This is likely to lower job satisfaction and performance, while increasing the rate of turnover [8].

Also, the relationship between psychological traits, emotional labor methods, and fatigue results has not been specifically measured in the context of disaster engineering [13]. This research is essential because of the increasing occurrence and severity of natural disasters caused by climate change. Knowing what psychological traits anticipate emotional labor selection and its impact on fatigue is useful for developing focused strategies. Such understanding

can be used in selection and training, as well as in the field [14]. Disastrous-response teams must be built with emotionally prepared candidates as much as with experts in the relevant field.

Key Contributions

- Recognizes key psychological traits such as resilience, emotional intelligence, neuroticism, and cognitive flexibility as indicators for emotional labor strategies and fatigue in teams responding to disasters in engineering fields.
- Works on a multi-site study with 126 field engineers and infrastructure specialists, applying regression modeling and cluster profiling to uncover field deployment relevant psychological archetypes.
- Reveals that emotional exhaustion is significantly enhanced by surface acting while deep acting, moderated by resilience and emotional intelligence, is linked to less fatigue.
- Draws attention to the team support perceptions moderation and overarching team climate impact on emotional labor/ fatigue outcomes.
- Describes actionable concepts like psychological screening before deployment, emotion regulation training focused and tailored for specific needs, decompression protocols after missions, and emotion management frameworks in HR and operational strategies.

In Section II, the topics of emotional intelligence, team support, neuroticism, and emotional resilience are described as they pertain to emotional labor in high-stakes disasters. Section III describes the method including the fieldwork conducted at several disaster sites, the instruments used, and the analytic methods including cluster and multidimensional scaling. Section IV provides the results of the empirical studies and describes the emotional fatigue which relates to certain psychological characteristics and delineates three distinct engineer profiles differentiated by how vulnerable they are and how they cope. Section V gives the conclusions and proposes a design which includes a psychological evaluation of candidates during the selection phase, team-based training in emotional regulation, and recovery in structured intervals after missions. Section VI provides the final conclusions highlighting the gaps existing in disaster engineering concerning the psychosocial preparedness and the need to address the team functioning and individual impact within the lifespan of the operation.

II.Psychological Dimensions of Emotional Labor in Engineering Response Teams

2.1 Emotional Labor Under Emergency Conditions

In disaster response engineering, emotional effort goes farther than provide organizational support one must make high-stakes decisions and engage distressed communities helplessly. Surface acting, displaying unfelt emotions, often occurs when an engineer is required to calm and exude self-assurance in overwhelmed, chaotic environments [10]. Deep acting, in contrast, describes the effort to align internal emotions with an emotional expectation, requiring a lot of mental effort. These coping mechanisms, while effective in the short term, are mentally exhausting and the impacts of these strategies are most likely to affect holistic decision-making and trust, cooperation, and team functioning in social interactions during operations [5].

2.2 Trait Resilience and Cognitive Reframing

Trait resilience is described as an individual's capability to recover skills from setbacks while remaining operationally active [2]. Within an engineering response team, resilience allows team members to reconceptualize problems and maintain performance. Resilient individuals engage in deeper emotional acting, thus, reducing emotional dissonance [4]. Research indicates that resilience is both protective against emotional fatigue and helps in sustained engagement in long enduring series of disaster operations [9].

2.3 Emotional Intelligence and Regulation Strategy

Undoubtedly, we have the potential to accurately interpret and handle emotions, both in ourselves and in others, especially within a social context [1]. An individual who has strong emotional intelligence will certainly know how to modulate their emotional responses, and this will, in turn, facilitate deep acting. Those who practice deep acting, within teams that respond to disasters, help in integrating the group, alleviate tension, and manage stress and emotional responses with a great deal of equanimity. This psychology helps in reducing burnout [11].

2.4 Emotional Exhaustion and Neuroticism's Vulnerability

Neuroticism leads to emotional volatility, heightened anxiety, and stress, and is a poor predictor of constructive emotional labor. An engineer with a high neuroticism score will resort to surface acting. This has a link to greater emotional exhaustion, particularly in the chaotic and high-energy environments associated with disasters, where emotional control is critical [12].

2.5 The Team Climate as a Gathered Perceived Social Support

The psychological characteristics of a team, such as mutual support, trust, and open communication, regulates the interplay between emotional labor and fatigue. Teams that promote psychological safety are more able to protect individual vulnerabilities by enhancing the expression of strong emotions and by actively encouraging adaptive responses. Social support influences the relationship between surface and deep acting [3].

III. Profiling Psychological Predictors and Fatigue Patterns in Disaster Engineering Contexts

3.1. Multisite Dataset Collection and Sample Selection

The study design leveraged a sample population of 126 engineering professionals from three different and remote disaster response locations. The professionals ranging from civil engineers, logistics coordinators, to the emergency system analysts were grouped according to their specialization to better facilitate data collection. Psychological resilience, emotional intelligence, neuroticism, and perceived team support were measured alongside emotional labor, indicating a comprehensive evaluation of the participant's psychological attributes using multidimensional metrics.

3.2. Framework and Tools of Measurement for Scaling

All the metrics employed for data collection were reliable and valid, ensuring minimal biased outcome. Emotional intelligence metrics included the Schutte Self-Report EI Test and EI NEO-FFI, while resilience was measured using the 10-item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale. Emotional labor frameworks of surface vs deep acting, as well as emotional fatigue, were measured, respectively, using the Emotional Labour Scale and the Chalder Fatigue Questionnaire. All metrics and variables underwent normalization for better accuracy on cross evaluations.

3.3 Analytical Strategy: Cluster and Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was performed to explore the mediating emotional labor strategies on emotional fatigue. To establish psychological profiles within the engineering teams, hierarchical clustering was conducted. The identified profiles were: 1. Resilient deep actors, 2. Emotionally intelligent balancers, and 3. High-neurotic surface actors. Each cluster's fatigue levels and coping mechanisms showed variation, allowing for specific-tailored intervention strategies.

3.4 Visualizing Predictor Relationships

To depict the closeness of particular psychological characteristics and fatigue outcomes, a multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot was created. The axes depict the overlapping shared variance of the emotional labor behavior with the fatigue symptoms. Of particular note, the closest proximity with emotional exhaustion was surface acting, and fatigue had strong negative distance from resilience, which confirmed the buffering role resilience plays. These visualizations added to the quantitative results with more intuitive spatial representation.

IV. Empirical Patterns of Emotional Labor, Psychological Traits, and Fatigue

4.1 Interdependence of Factors Within the Data

To examine the interplay of psychological factors and fatigue, a multiple regression analysis was conducted. Emotionally intelligent and more resilient individuals exhibited lower fatigue levels when deep acting was a mediating factor ($p < 0.01$). On the contrary, neuroticism along with surface acting demonstrated a strong correlation with emotional exhaustion ($p < 0.001$). Moderated by perceived team support, resilience's protective effect was further intensified, corroborating noted hypotheses. These results support the theory that psychological factors influence fatigue, re-channeling emotional functioning as a labor strategy.

4.2 Cluster-Based Typologies and Behavioral Insights

Based on the cluster analysis, the disaster response engineers exhibited three distinct psychological typologies:

- Cluster A (Resilient Deep Actors): Low on neuroticism, highly resilient, engages in deep acting, experiences minimal fatigue.
- Cluster B (Emotionally Intelligent Balancers): Moderate resilience associated with high emotional intelligence, balanced strategic implementation, experiences moderate fatigue.
- Cluster C (Surface-Acting Neurotics): Characterized by high neuroticism, dominant surface acting, provides low support to the team, experiences severe fatigue.

These profiles are useful as templates for action in pre-deployment psychological screening and personalized interventions for wellness.

4.3 Comparative Fatigue Scores Across Clusters

In clusters A, B, and C, average fatigue scores of 21.3, 30.7, and 42.9, respectively, indicate an increasing linear progression and psychological gradients. Cluster A's fatigue score is classified as low, Cluster B's as moderate and Cluster C as high. This supports the role of psychological factors and the emotion regulation strategy used in determining burnout in extreme engineering contexts.

Table 1: Regression Coefficients and Cluster-Based Fatigue Averages

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	p-Value	Cluster A (Low Fatigue)	Cluster B (Moderate Fatigue)	Cluster C (High Fatigue)
Emotional Intelligence	-0.43	0.004	✓ High	✓ High	✗ Low
Trait Resilience	-0.51	0.002	✓ High	✓ Moderate	✗ Low
Neuroticism	+0.59	0.001	✗ Low	✗ Moderate	✓ High
Surface Acting	+0.62	0.000	✗ Low	✗ Moderate	✓ High
Deep Acting	-0.36	0.009	✓ High	✓ Moderate	✗ Low

Perceived Team Support	-0.34	0.013	✓ Strong	✓ Present	✗ Absent

In Table 1, six psychological characteristics are associated with the emotional exhaustion of disaster engineers. Both emotional intelligence and resilience mitigate fatigue, whereas neuroticism and surface acting exacerbate it. Cluster A displays high scores on protective traits, and Cluster C displays high scores on risk factors like neuroticism. This helps understanding of susceptibility to burnout and coping flexibility in disaster contexts.

V. Strategic Implications for Engineering Team Management

5.1 Psychological Assessment Integration for Deployment Readiness

Incorporating psychological evaluations into disaster response planning can pinpoint people who are likely to experience emotional burnout. Role changes, as well as proactive mental health interventions based on high neuroticism and low resilience during the pre-deployment screening, can enhance operational sustainability.

5.2 Modules for Training Emotion Regulation

Courses featuring deep acting, cognitive restructuring, emotional control, and other relevant topics can be tailored for engineers, as well as simulation-based and hands-on disaster response emotional labor. This approach can help destigmatize mental and emotional strain.

5.3 Foster Positive Supportive Team Dynamics

Cultivating a psychologically safe team climate is an essential. Leadership actions, including encouraging peer support networks, the expression of emotion, and dedicating frontline psychological officers, actively shape team emotional climate. These measures can counteract fatigue by increasing perceived support and emotional disconnect.

5.4 Decompressive Protocols Post Deployment

Psychological debriefs and case reviews alongside individual sessions and reflective team workshops during designated structured periods after high pressure response periods together enhance resiliency. Team narratives of the co-created and performed emotional labor demand structured time and space to metabolize deeply embedded narratives to shift the emotional equilibrium.

CONCLUSION

This research emphasizes the disaster response engineering teams' emotional labor strategies as well as fatigue outcomes in context to psychological traits. It provides empirical analysis on 126 professionals in high-risk areas and identifies protective factors such as resilience and emotional intelligence. Furthermore, the study highlights how neuroticism and surface acting worsen emotional exhaustion. Identification of three psychological profiles creates a practical guide for tailored training, screening, and interventions. With team-oriented emotion regulation strategies in place, mental strain and performance during extended disaster operations can be improved significantly.

Organizations can advance from reactive crisis response strategies to proactive psychological preparedness frameworks by integrating emotional labor into engineering response frameworks. Exploring long-term outcomes and the implementation of biometric fatigue indicators for real-time monitoring is essential. Ultimately, these findings support the need for comprehensive psychological fortification of engineering teams in preparation for disaster scenarios.

REFERENCE

[1] Lidasan, H. L., Ismail, N. A., & Rahman, S. A. (2018). Cooperative's Social Capital and Entrepreneurial Orientation: A Conceptual Framework. International Academic Journal of Innovative Research, 5(2), 26–36. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJIR/V5I1/1810013

[2] Aljazaery, I. A., Fattah, S. A., Nasir, I. S., ALRikabi, H. T. S., Alaidi, A. H. M., & (2025). Developing an advanced framework to recognize suspicious vehicles based on the Internet of Things applications using LOGO Net environment. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, 15(2), 610–621. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2025.I2.042

[3] Poornimadarshini, S. (2025). Cyberfeminism 4.0: The role of social media and digital platforms in shaping contemporary feminist activism. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 25–30.

[4] Tamannaeifar, M. R., & Behzadmoghaddam, R. (2016). Examination of the Relationship between Life Satisfaction and Perceived Social Support. International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 3(1), 8–15.

[5] Nugroho, A. K., Wardoyo, R., Wibowo, M. E., & Soebono, H. (2024). Thresholding Segmentation of Skin Lesions with Modified Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 15(2), 226-239. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2024.I2.015

[6] Amiri, M., & Akkasi, A. (2015). Assessing security challenges in online social networks. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 2(1), 1–10.
[7] Madhanraj. (2025). Predicting nonlinear viscoelastic response of stimuli-responsive polymers using a machine learning-based constitutive model. Advances in Mechanical Engineering and Applications, 1(1), 41–49.
[8] Bhanot, D., Jadhav, Y., Tiwari, G., & Walia, A. (2025). Environmental Implications of Heavy Metal Deposition and Particulate Matter in Coal Mining Ecosystems. Natural and Engineering Sciences, 10(1), 325-339. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1648723
[9] Jamali, M., & Jafari, A. (2014). The effectiveness of group consolation with rational- emotional approach on students' resiliency. International Academic Journal of Social Sciences, 1(1), 42–48.
[10] Prabahar, I. B., Jerome, V. B., Kaviarasu, S. J., Mariadoss, S., Anbarasu, M., & Xavier, S. B. (2024). The Impact of Teacher Leadership Traits on Student Success: A Correlational Study. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 14(4), 141–146. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2024.14.4.22
[11] Sadulla, S. (2025). Effect of Pranayama on lung function in post-COVID rehabilitation among middle-aged adults: A clinical study. Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences, 1(1), 24–30.
[12] Bimal, & Dhamala, K. (2024). Pharmacist-Delivered Interventions on Pain Management: Review and Cluster-Randomized Trial. Clinical Journal for Medicine, Health and Pharmacy, 2(4), 11-20.
[13] Khalikova, R., Jumaeva, F., Nazarov, A., Akmalova, M., Umarova, F., Botirov, E., Khaydarova, L., & Abduraimova, M. (2024). Integrating environmental conservation and sustainability into coal mining education. Archives for Technical Sciences, 2(31), 259–268. https://doi.org/10.70102/afts.2024.1631.259
[14] Sindhu, S. (2024). A blockchain-enabled framework for secure data exchange in smart urban infrastructure. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 31–43.
[15] Nayak, A. (2024). Joint modulation and coding scheme optimization for reliable IoT communications in LEO satellite constellations. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(3), 108–113.
[16] Krishnan, M., & Iyer, S. R. (2024). Protein Concentration from Plant-Based Sources Using Cross-Flow Filtration. Engineering Perspectives in Filtration and Separation, 2(1), 17-20.
[17] Papadopoulos, G., & Christodoulou, M. (2024). Design and Development of Data Driven Intelligent Predictive Maintenance for Predictive Maintenance. Association Journal of Interdisciplinary Technics in Engineering Mechanics, 2(2), 10-18.
[18] Rahman, F., & Prabhakar, C. P. (2025). From synapses to systems: A comprehensive review of neuroplasticity across the human lifespan. Advances in Cognitive and Neural Studies, 1(1), 28–38.
[19] Mehta, I., & Dutta, S. (2024). Intergenerational Cultural Transmission in Rapidly Globalizing Societies. Progression Journal of Human Demography and Anthropology, 2(2), 9-12.
[20] Menon, A., & Rao, I. (2024). Consumer Behavior and Brand Loyalty: Insights from the Periodic Series on Marketing and Social Psychology. In Digital Marketing Innovations (pp. 1-6). Periodic Series in Multidisciplinary Studies.