TPM Vol. 32, No. S2, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

LINKING PERSONALITY TRAITS AND TEAM COHESION IN UNDERWATER ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTS

DOC. MONIZANUREZ KHAN
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR,
EMAIL: india.ku.monizanurezkhan@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0004-9879-6445

MANISH NANDY
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR,
EMAIL: india.ku.manishnandy@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0003-7578-3505

SONAM PURI
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
EMAIL: sonam.puri@ndimdelhi.org, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2823-3699

ABSTRACT
Underwater engineering work requires very high levels of coordination and psychological alignment because of the high risk, isolation, and confinement of the subsea work environment. This research analyzes the effects of perceived team cohesion among professional divers and underwater technicians alongside individual personality traits, focusing on the Big Five model. Based on survey responses and observational evaluations from three international subsea projects, the analysis shows that certain traits, particularly conscientiousness and agreeableness, positively bolster trust and cooperative behavior. In contrast, high neuroticism associates with greater interpersonal conflict and lower operational coordination. The study also examines how cultural diversity and task complexity jointly shape the described effects. Findings are useful for Human Resource and organizational managers, as well as operational team leaders, to improve mission-specific training and team arrangement for underwater operations. Organizations can improve safety, productivity, and morale among deep-sea engineering teams by incorporating psychological profiling into pre-deployment assessments.

Keywords: underwater teams, personality traits, team cohesion, Big Five model, subsea operations, interpersonal compatibility, psychological profiling

INTRODUCTION

Underwater engineering settings are marked with cumbersome high-stakes processes, intense physical environments, and a demand for meticulous teamwork [1]. Whether performing maintenance on offshore oil rigs, subsea cable installations, or deep-sea structural inspections, teams have to operate in near-complete isolation, which requires strong psychological dynamics for mission success. In contrast to surface engineering teams, underwater crews have to function with minimal verbal communication, heightened physical strain, and increased exposure to a host of environmental stressors [3]. In these environments, interpersonal cohesiveness and unity is a precondition. In these scenarios, interpersonal unity aids in faster and more efficient decision-making and task execution with respect to safety performance.

There is a growing body of work that highlights the importance of considering human elements within high-risk engineering domains. For instance, the human elements of a workplace have been attributed to human stable individual differences under the umbrella of personality [15]. Such stable characteristics include, but are not limited to, trust, conflict resolution, and adaptability. These traits are encapsulated by the “The Big Five” personality model which includes: openness, conscientiousness, extraversion, agreeableness, and neuroticism. Nevertheless, research examining the relationship of such traits with team cohesion in a specific context of underwater engineering is limited [13].

Communication within a group is further complicated by the fact that underwater teams are often made up of individuals from a wide range of cultural backgrounds, which add to the complexity of the communication on conflict resolution and mental models.

Considering the functional collaboration needed of the crew during these operations, the interplay of personality traits that influence teamwork and cohesion becomes essential for refining crew assignment schedules and training regimens [11]. This study aims to address the problem by examining the interaction of personality traits with group relations and activity systems in several contexts within subsea engineering [5][14].

Key Contributions

- Trait-Based Team Optimization: Shows how certain Big Five personality traits like conscientiousness and agreeableness improve team cohesion within underwater engineering teams.
- Contextual Analysis Across Cultures and Task Complexity: Looks at how culturally diverse teams and the levels of task demand affect the functioning of teamwork with traits like extraversion and openness.
- Integrated Observational and Quantitative Framework: Combines self-reporting, video-coded behavioral analysis, and statistical methodologies to evaluate the links of traits and cohesion in subsea teams.
- Applied Recommendations for HR and Training: Proposes definitive methods for role assignment, recruitment, simulation training, and monitoring, increasing psychological resonance and cohesion in extreme environments.

The paper is organized to analyze team cohesion based on personality in relation to underwater engineering tasks. In section II, the major psychological challenges of working underwater are identified along with how personal characteristics, social relations, and team culture impact the functioning of the team. In section III, the research design, which includes the acquisition of participants from various subsea projects around the world, personality testing with the Big Five Inventory, and self-report and observer-based triangulation of cohesion measurement is explained. In section IV, the empirical results are provided which show the relationship between certain personality traits and team cohesion, demonstrating differences among multi-cultural teams compared to mono-cultural teams, as well as between simple and complex tasks. In section V, the results are explained in terms of practical organizational implications which include recommendations on team structure, psychological training design, cross-cultural communication protocols, and application of real-time telemetry systems. In section VI, the operational conclusions are made which recommend the integration of personality assessments and teamwork cohesion measurements into operational and human resource strategies to improve team performance, safety, and psychological health in stressful underwater operations.

II. Psychological Foundations of Team Cohesion in Subsea Workspaces

2.1 Distinct Sources of Stress in Underwater Engineering Situations

Working in underwater engineering subjects workers to environmental challenges like low mobility, limited perception, and communication delays [2]. The degree to which team coordination and understanding is needed is heightened in these conditions. Either form of emotional regulation and steady psychology is crucial, because minor conflicts could lead to serious operational misunderstandings, increase risk, and reduce safety**.**

2.2 Predictive Team Behavior and Relevant Traits

The Big Five framework provides a way to assess how traits of individuals are transformed to team behavior. Individuals with a high level of conscientiousness tend to provide order and reliability in executing tasks like ensure dependability in task execution [4]. The opposite is true for people high in agreeableness, as they foster positive relations between people. Neurotic traits can undermine a person's ability to cope with stress. This negative shift in morale and efficiency can be collectively observed in the team. Therefore, personality profiling can be helpful in determining predictive cohesion and team functioning.

2.3 Role Assignment and Matching Interpersonal Traits

The effect of working in submerged context is that cooperation in such environment is usually intuitive [9]. The opposite can be true, as embracing highly extraverted individuals to communication relay roles can enhance ability to perform the tasks [6]. Applying complementarity of team members' traits increases effective coordination and reduces inter-member conflict and improves psychological safety in task performance.

2.4 The Effect of Altered Cultural Background Diversity on Person Traits

While the effects of a team's cultural diversity are obvious, the underlying processes and blend of personality traits are less well explored [12]. For instance, assertiveness in one culture may be viewed as aggressive in another [8]. Personal traits do not function independently; they are affected by the social and cultural contexts within which the groups function. This underscores the need for cultural calibration in personality profiling.

2.5 Psychological Safety as Mediator of Team Cohesion

Psychological safety, the shared belief that interpersonal risk-taking is acceptable, mediates the impact of personality on team cohesion [10]. Traits such as openness and low neuroticism positively support environments where people are able to express concerns without fear [7]. This promotes constructive collaboration, which is particularly important in the volatile world of underwater engineering.

III. Assessment Strategies for PersonalityCohesion Linkages

3.1. Participant Selection and Team Profiles

Participants were selected from three global underwater engineering projects which included pipeline inspection, structural welding, and deploying sensors. Each team had 5 to 7 members working in different isolated modules for sustained periods. There was diversity in age, culture, and diving experience among the participants, enabling within team comparative trait analysis.

3.2. Personality Profiling Based on the Big Five Inventory

The Big Five traits were assessed with the BFI-44. All participants had neutral score capturing trait sessions to mitigate bias and ensure consistency. These scores were categorized into high, moderate, and low clusters for each trait capturing three distinct groups, which made it possible to analyze correlation with observed cohesive behaviors within operations.

3.3. Observational Metrics of Team Cohesion

Team cohesion was assessed with a combination of self-report and structured observational rubrics, thus creating a blended metric. Self-reported verbal coordination efficiency, conflict count, response synchrony, supporting response count, and mutual supportive behaviors were comprehensive team coordination within and across groups benchmarks. Video and supervisor notes were analyzed using a coding framework by two independent coders.

3.4. Analytical Framework and Triangulation of the Data

The study utilized a multi method approach. Quantitative analysis consisted of correlations computed using Pearson's and ANOVA assessing trait cohesion relations. The multi-source method provided both contextual understanding and psychological insight into complex multi-dimensional factors from an observational data-based inquiry and an analysis of multi-source interviews with subjects.

IV. TraitCohesion Patterns and Cross-Team Comparisons

4.1 Cohesion and The Influence of Distinct Personality Traits

The analysis displayed distinct links between particular personality traits and measured team cohesion. Conscientiousness exhibited the greatest positive association. In terms of cohesion, strategically organized teams displayed a strong positive relationship over time ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$). Agreeableness also exerted significant influence ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.05$). Neuroticism culminated in vigorous conflict alignment, showing high inverse correlation with team cohesion ($r = -0.55$, $p < 0.05$). The mixed effects of extraversion and openness were contingent upon cultural composition and level of task stress.

4.2 Cultural Context and Modulation of Personality Trait Influence

In culturally homogenous teams, the effects of Conscientiousness and Agreeableness played a more significant role. In contrast, among multicultural teams, the influence of Extraversion increased team cohesion but only in conjunction with adequate shared language skills and prior cross-cultural exposure. This supports the notion that the expression of traits, including personality, is context bound rather than universal.

4.3 The Effect of Increased Task Demands

Under high-complexity tasks such as deep-structure welding, teams with high openness and low neuroticism demonstrated increased adaptive cohesion. On the other hand, in routine inspection tasks, strong predictors of team performance became Agreeableness and Conscientiousness. This illustrates the importance of aligning team structure with particular mission objectives.

4.4 Summary of Associations Between Traits and Team Cohesion

In Table 1, the averaged team cohesion scores are arranged according to specific trait profiles and cultural setups, which showcases important relationships and provides a summary comparison.

Table 1. Team Cohesion Scores by Trait Cluster and Cultural Composition

Trait Cluster	High Conscientiousness	High Agreeableness	High Neuroticism	High Extraversion	High Openness
Mono-cultural Teams	8.6	8.2	5.1	7.4	7.1
Multi-cultural Teams	8.2	7.7	4.9	8.1	7.8
High-Complexity Tasks	8.4	7.9	5.0	7.6	8.5
Low-Complexity Tasks	8.7	8.4	5.3	7.2	6.9

According to Table 1, both traits of “conscientiousness” and “agreeableness” help in maintaining team cohesion across almost all conditions, with some fluctuations depending on the team context. “Extraversion” seems to be more useful in multicultural teams, while “openness” improves cohesion in novel task conditions. On the contrary, neuroticism is a risk factor in all contexts, requiring additional support for those who score high in this trait.

V. Implications for Practice and Organizational Strategy

5.1 Team Composition and Deployment Planning

Underwater engineering missions need to consider the alignment between the psychological profiles of individuals and the roles they will be taking. Applying personality tests during recruitment and team assignment helps planners

to understand social interactions and reduce risks of cohesion failure. For example, people scoring high on the conscientiousness trait could be assigned to planning and monitoring roles which improves precision in task execution and team members high in agreeableness may be more suited to coordination-heavy roles. Teams formed based on empirical personality metrics not only minimize organizational risks but also enhance the targeted operational environment which is especially beneficial in prolonged underwater engagements.

5.2 Training Programs for Trait Optimization

Traits of a personality tend to be consistent, but in certain cases, they can be optimized through a purposeful approach. Training focused on micromanaging, emotional regulation, and social interaction can assist highly neurotic individuals in controlling worrying and frustration during long missions. Also, less extroverted individuals can be more coordinated in simulation-based exercises designed to promote assertive communication during high pressure situations. As long as they are coupled with consistent performance evaluation, these approaches promote balanced and cohesive teams. Including psychological factors with technical skills promotes self-sufficiency in teams and improves cohesion.

5.3 Cultural Intelligence and Communication Protocols

Cultural diversity is a common and unique trait of underwater teams. Differences in communication styles, norms, power distance, personal traits, and personality intricacies that have little to do with the human character can become areas of conflict even when there is personal compatibility. To address these issues, companies need to implement culture-specific training, which helps members manage differences with regard to sensitivity and awareness. Uniform communication guidelines including checklists, debrief rituals, and conflict debriefing frameworks can maintain the same communication quality, mitigate information silence and information overload, and streamline the flow of information. By fostering a psychologically safety space to anticipate and accommodate the full range of trait expressions, including the ways they are and are not, team cooperation is enhanced and more easily attained in multinational subsea operations.

5.4 Support Technologies for Real-time Monitoring

New technologies can be leveraged to monitor team cohesion during real-time underwater missions. Wearable technology can monitor stress, heart rate variability, and fatigue, which may indicate some form of psychological discord for either the individual or the team. These devices help remotely monitor workers suffering from burnout or social isolation, especially if they are high in neuroticism and low in openness, when connected to dashboards streamed live to the human resources or mission support personnel. AI systems that specialize in behavior analysis can track the flow of interactions among team members and pinpoint real-time breakdown of cohesion. These technologies, aligned with trait analytics, establish a proactive system to respond to the needs of submerged teams.

5.5 Suggestions for the Policy Level

In the initial stages, any organization seeking long term cohesion should formalize the psychological and cohesion audit into the standing operating procedures of an organizational structure. Such policies may involve systematic psychometric evaluations, interpersonal-related post-mission debriefs, and compulsory training on collaboration driven by personality traits. Moreover, cohesive shifts within an interdisciplinary team should trigger responsive adjustments to the psychological support and profile maintenance systems, demanding tighter collaboration between HR and project managers. Through the integration of safety and performance policies into these psychometric systems, underwater engineering companies not only improve mission performance but also the well-being, retention, and trust of the employees in the team-based systems.

CONCLUSION

This investigation underscores the importance of personality characteristics in fostering team cohesion in the circulation of underwater engineering as a unique and high-pressure domain. Through empirical evaluation of Big Five trait profiles and their interaction with task demands and cultural makeup, this study reveals traits such as conscientiousness and agreeableness which enhance operational harmony. In contrast, neuroticism consistently erodes cohesion. This enhances strategic guidance concerning selection, specific training, and psychological support tailored to subsea environments. Multicultural teams especially illustrate the need for culturally sensitive cohesion strategies, which require to be attuned to the context-dependent expression of traits. Further research is needed on the longitudinal impact of cohesion driven by traits on the mental health and sustainability of missions. Embedding psychological perspectives on trait-based cohesion into human resource and operational policies will improve the safety and synchronization of underwater engineering teams, enabling more effective navigation through technical and relational difficulties.

REFERENCE

[1] Sredić, S., Knežević, N., & Milunović, I. (2024). Effects of Landfill Leaches on Ground and Surface Waters: A Case Study of A Wild Landfill in Eastern Bosnia and Herzegovina. Archives for Technical Sciences, 1(30), 97-106. https://doi.org/10.59456/afts.2024.1630.097S

[2] Kavitha, M. (2024). Carbon-neutral pavement materials using recycled industrial waste and nanotechnology enhancements. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 1–13.

[3] Rahim, R. (2025). Bridging the gender gap in aerospace innovation: A global study of women's roles, barriers, and future directions in space technology. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 12–18.
[4] Khatamova, M., Sultonova, N., Gafforova, M., Botirova, S., Islomov, I., Xanxadjaeva, N., Abdullayev, D., & Adilov, Z. (2025). Historical climate change events and their influence on water resources management. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies, 5(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-01
[5] Kavitha, M. (2025). Breaking the silicon ceiling: A comparative analysis of women's leadership and participation in AI startups across global innovation hubs. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 1–6.
[6] Prasath, C. A. (2025). Comparative analysis of heat transfer enhancement in twisted tape inserts using nano-coated vs. traditional metal surfaces in turbulent flow regimes. Advances in Mechanical Engineering and Applications, 1(1), 23–31.
[7] Khasawneh, Y. J. A., & Khasawneh, M. A. S. (2023). Availability of voice-recognition devices to support visually impaired students in Saudi Arabian universities. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 14(3), 186-193. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2023.I3.014
[8] Dusi, P. (2024). Dynamic metasurface architectures for ultra-efficient spectrum sharing in 6G NTN. Electronics, Communications, and Computing Summit, 2(3), 120–129.
[9] Sathish Kumar, T. M. (2025). Comparative analysis of Hatha Yoga and aerobic exercise on cardiovascular health in middle-aged adults. Journal of Yoga, Sports, and Health Sciences, 1(1), 9–16.
[10] Ganieva, K., Madaminova, D., Karimov, N., Seytasmanova, A., Nashirova, S., Nizomova, M., ... & Khamidova, S. (2024). The Environmental Consequences of Extreme Tourism in Fragile Ecosystems. Natural and Engineering Sciences, 9(3), 233-244. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1609214
[11] Abdullah, D. (2024). Enhancing cybersecurity in electronic communication systems: New approaches and technologies. Progress in Electronics and Communication Engineering, 1(1), 38–43. https://doi.org/10.31838/PECE/01.01.07
[12] Abdullah, D. (2024). Integrating biophilic design in smart urban infrastructure for environmental and public health outcomes. Journal of Smart Infrastructure and Environmental Sustainability, 1(1), 14–22.
[13] Doniyorov, A., Mamatov, A., Safarov, S., Khudayberganov, K., Alisher, S., Iskandarov, S., & Babajanov, L. (2025). Educational programs on environmental engineering for water ecosystem protection in Uzbekistan's schools. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies, 5(1), 669–684. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-60
[14] Surendar, A. (2024). Survey and future directions on fault tolerance mechanisms in reconfigurable computing. SCCTS Transactions on Reconfigurable Computing, 1(1), 26-30. https://doi.org/10.31838/RCC/01.01.06
[15] Mogoui, H. M. (2017). Comparison of personality traits and initial maladaptive schemas of addicts and non-addicts. International Academic Journal of Innovative Research, 4(2), 74–79.
[16] Patel, P., & Dusi, P. (2025). Activation patterns in the prefrontal cortex during moral judgments: Evidence from EEG analysis. Advances in Cognitive and Neural Studies, 1(1), 11–19.
[17] Bikzad, J., Khezri, S., Niknafs, S., & Molaee, V. (2016). Studying the impact of personality traits with productivity in Tejarat Bank employees in Western Azerbaijan province. International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 3(2), 79–83.
[18] Soni, V., & Bhatt, N. (2024). Underwater Object Detection and Recognition Using Yolo (You Only Look Once) Algorithm. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 11(4), 32–36. https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJSE/V11I4/IAJSE1168
[19] Seidan, A., & Zare, A. (2018). The study of the relationship between spiritual intelligence and personality traits of married women in Mahvelat County. International Academic Journal of Social Sciences, 5(1), 77–82. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSS/V5I1/1810007
[20] Mansour, R. (2024). A Conceptual Framework for Team Personality Layout, Operational, and Visionary Management in Online Teams. Global Perspectives in Management, 2(4), 1-7.