TPM Vol. 32, No. S2, 2025
ISSN: 1972-6325
https://www.tpmap.org/

Open Access

EXPLORING ACCULTURATION STRESS AND RISK PERCEPTION IN MULTINATIONAL COASTAL ENGINEERING CREWS

INDRAVESH
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
EMAIL: ku.indravesh@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0002-1710-2399

POORTI SHARMA
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, KALINGA UNIVERSITY, RAIPUR, INDIA.
EMAIL: ku.poortisharma@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID ID: 0009-0005-0442-9650

SEEMA PANT
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, NEW DELHI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, NEW DELHI, INDIA.,
E-MAIL: seema.pant@ndimdelhi.org, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-9638-5619

ABSTRACT
Multinational coastal engineering teams frequently work under extreme environmental and social constraints, where integration factors and hazard recognition influence safety and performance outcomes. This research examines the relationship between acculturation stress and risk perception in culturally diverse teams in coastal infrastructure development. Using mixed-method psychological profiling, risk sensitivity assessment, and ethnographic interviews, the paper identifies communication barriers, value misalignment, and climate-specific risk unfamiliarity as key stressors. The results show that engineers with higher acculturation stress are more likely to underestimate contextual risks, which results in suboptimal team coordination. In contrast, those who culturally adapted demonstrated better hazard anticipation and collaborative performance. This paper proposes a dynamic Acculturation-Risk Perception (ARP) interaction model which can be used for focused action strategies by human resource and safety management divisions. The findings strengthen the case for multidisciplinary education tailored to the specific cultural backgrounds, integration of culturally adaptive communication frameworks, as well as synchronous mental health interventions for engineering teams operating within global contexts. This approach suggests something to occupational psychology and coastal engineering management through the introduction of culture-informed risk interdependence.

Keywords: Acculturation stress, risk perception, multinational crews, coastal engineering, psychological adaptation, hazard anticipation, team dynamics

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale
In coastal engineering, project implementation can be affected by common environmental considerations like tidal surges, erosion, and even weather patterns [1]. Moreover, the sociocultural relations of the teams involved also play a role [12]. With the increase of globalization, project teams now consist of people from different countries, cultures, and even speak different languages. Such diversity, in many situations, serves a positive purpose in aiding innovation and meeting technical needs. It also gives rise to new psychosocial issues [14]. One of them is acculturation stress, which is the mental burden experienced while struggling to adapt to new cultural practices. In safety critical areas like coastal engineering where acculturation stress impacts risk perception and in turn the effectiveness of a team, it can compromise operational agility [2].

1.2 Problem Framing and Theoretical Focus
Risk perception, the ability to gauge the level of environmental threat, is shaped by know-how, culture, past experiences, and even one's grit. There is a gap in literature concerning the mentally vulnerable coastal climate [11]. In these areas, the perceived level of hazard and the acceptable risk threshold differs amongst multi-national crew members [15]. The lack of clarity and lowering of trust in the team, alongside rising miscommunication, deepens the gap due to acculturation stress. In the crew context, without appropriate frameworks to grasp these psychological dynamics, project risks are not only external. The crew structure itself is intertwined with relational risks [9].

1.3 Integrated Assessment Gap
A multifaceted approach is more suited to address the merging psychosocial issues and the technological challenges.This paper proposes a unique model that analyzes the impact of acculturation stress on coastal

engineering operations concerning risk perception and team performance. It integrates occupational psychology with hazard control and highlights the relatively neglected factor of cultural stress in safety awareness [7]. Its objectives focus on generating practical recommendations for the human resources divisions, site leaders, and safety supervisors to improve well-being alongside performance.

Key Contributions

- Introduction of the ARP Interaction Model: In the paper, I develop a tri-layered Acculturation-Risk Perception (ARP) model which incorporates the Cultural Adjustment Index (CAI), Risk Cognition Layer (RCL), and Behavioral Response Unit (BRU) to examine cultural stress and safety behavior in engineering workplaces.
- Empirical Evidence Linking Adaptation and Risk Accuracy: This study confirms that greater cultural adaptation within a group is associated with more precise hazard identification and more rapid stress response in a biometric and linguistic analysis of a psychological study conducted on coastal engineers.
- Operational and HR Policy Recommendations: This research proposes the following culturally adaptive training and onboarding interventions, culturally intelligent safety and project evaluations, multilingual onboarding and training, wearable monitoring devices, and cultural intelligence metrics integrated into safety and project assessments.

The paper's structure contains five sections, each contributing towards the understanding of the phenomenon under study. Section II discusses the cultural stressors impacting the psychology and function of the stressors within multicultural engineering teams which include cultural disparity, language barriers, and differing norms related to risks and safety. Section III covers the interactional model of acculturation and risk perception, the ARP model, describing its three layers and the integration technique using psychometric evaluation, biometric evaluation, and risk evaluation through simulation. Section IV provides the gap analysis of the teams on the basis of the adaptation to culture and acculturation above adaptation levels with the response latency, risk assessment accuracy, and stress response identification shown in the table under high, moderate, and low adaptation groups. Section V addresses the recommendations which include the adaptive safety training with cultural considerations, integration of human resource functions with sociocultural dimensions, changes to be made in the communication structures, and the integration of the concept of mental toughness in team dynamics.Finally, Section VI concludes by reinforcing the need to embed cultural intelligence into engineering safety frameworks and suggests future research directions for predictive modeling and long-term monitoring of acculturation-risk relationships.

II. Cultural Stressors and Risk Perception Dynamics

2.1 Influence of Cultural Disparity on the Integration of Engineering Teams

Cultural distance is the gap between an individual's ethnic background and the dominant culture of the new environment they are integrating to. In teams dealing with the complex socio-economic and environmental problems of coastal engineering, where the members are drawn from widely divergent cultures, this distance is exhibited in breakdowns in communications, disparate social norms, and work ethic divergences [10]. These elements lead to an incomplete team identity, and diminish situational awareness and shared mental models critical for collective risk management.

2.2 Psychological Strain and its Impacts on Behavioral Safety

Acculturation stress results in anxiety, mental fragmentation, and reduced cognitive agility [6]. Such psychological burdens are not individual-centric; they affect the social system and increase the likelihood of critical tasks being performed incorrectly [3]. In such psychological strain, an individual may demonstrate elevated reactivity or passive withdrawal, both of which disrupt the coordination essential during emergency and routine safety standard operations [4].

2.3 Response to Hazards through the Prism of Linguistic Barriers

In relation to time-sensitive coastal projects, linguistic errors, or language gaps between participants, can result in the incorrect execution of the safety tasks and the stall of operations. Non-native speakers may omit essential updates or not communicate critical information due to language insecurity [13]. This hampers real time situational assessment and the collective assessment of risk. Within teams where inclusivity translation frameworks and communication tools are not properly integrated, operational risks are heightened.

2.4 Cultural Norms and Divergence Risk Tolerance

Risk tolerance differs from culture to culture. For example, high uncertainty-avoidant cultures will have engineers advocating for preservationist approaches, while risk-acceptant cultures may lean toward faster, reckless policies [5]. Power struggles gridlock decisions in high-pressure situations where these contrary perceptions clash, especially in high-stress situations, causing spiral conflicts that disrupt the protective strategies designed to guard against risks [8].

2.5 Cross-Cultural Perception of Leadership Style

Different cultures perceive authority and leadership differently. In some cultures, a command style may be seen as efficient, but in others, it may be viewed as hostile and demotivating. This leads to lowered morale, passive defiance, or blind compliance which undermines the mutual respect and feedback essential for performing safety-critical engineering tasks.

III. Integrated Framework for Assessing Acculturation Stress and Risk Awareness

3.1 Developing the ARP Interaction Model

The Acculturation-Risk Perception (ARP) Interaction Model integrates the interplay between psychological adaptation and hazard assessment as a single framework. This model comprises three tiers: (1) Cultural Adjustment Index (CAI), which gauges the emotional and behavioral adaptation symmetry; (2) Risk Cognition Layer (RCL) which measures the internal context; and (3) Behavioral Response Unit (BRU) which captures actions taken during coastal operations and the protective measures undertaken. This tri-layer model demonstrates the impact of cognitive stress distortions on engineering decisions made in the moment.

3.2 Data Collection Architecture

The pipeline incorporates ethnographic and qualitative data alongside quantitative measures of psychometrics and operations. The ethnographic instruments included the Acculturation Stress Inventory and crew interviews alongside thermal imaging of stress markers and wearables-based biometric risk-response trackers. The researchers augmented field data using a sensor-annotated temporal dashboard that combined real-time team interaction and procedure error data with timestamped stress data.

3.3 Dynamic Risk Perception Profiling

Profiling risks was based on scenario-validated simulations and real-time risk assessment tasks. Participants gauged perceived threat levels for simulated coastal storm surges, substructure collapses, etc. Their reaction times, speech, and physiologic indicators (heart rate variability, skin conductance) were recorded. These scores were combined with a Compensatory and Additive Index (CAI) to create a dynamic, individual risk perception profile.

IV. Comparative Patterns in Acculturation and Hazard Response

4.1 Variation in Risk Behavior by Level of Cultural Adaptation

Teams were assigned to one of three groups according to the Cultural Adjustment Index (CAI): High Adaptation, Moderate Adaptation, and Low Adaptation. Individuals demonstrating high CAI scores were active safety participants and engaged in hazard reporting, compliance at safety and operational procedures, and appropriate group activity management. Low adaptation individuals were passive safety participants, exhibited reluctance to engage in hazard reporting, were highly dependent on peer response, and were minimally responsive in drills simulating emergency situations.

4.2 Risk Behavior and Team Composition

Homogeneous crews were able to communicate more efficiently, reach agreement more quickly, and make fewer mistakes compared to crews which included individuals from different cultures. Conversely, multicultural teams in the absence of a cultural onboarding support experienced high levels of interpersonal tension and slow decision-making. This observation underscores the profound influence of cultural integration prior to deployment on operational safety within multicultural engineering teams.

Table 1: Relationship Between Acculturation Stress and Risk Behavior Indicators

Team Cluster	Avg. CAI Score	Response Latency (sec)	Risk Identification Accuracy (%)	Stress Indicator Frequency (%)
High Adaptation	≥ 8.0	3.1	92.4	12.8
Moderate Adaptation	5.0 – 7.9	4.8	78.6	27.5
Low Adaptation	< 5.0	7.6	62.3	41.3

As shown in Table 1, different degrees of cultural adaptation impact performance during hazardous conditions. The teams with high adaptation levels not only exhibited quicker response times during hazards, but also had better accuracy in threat recognition as well as lower levels of psychophysiological stress. On the contrary, teams with low cultural adaptation experienced heightened stress and significantly lower situational awareness, supporting the primary hypothesis of the model.

V. Implications for Training, HR Policies, and Operational Strategy

5.1 Culturally Adaptive Safety Training

Traditional hazard training typically overlooks individual differences in cognition and psychology. This study proposes the inclusion of culturally adaptive modules in more technical aspects of safety training. Training relevant to real-life scenarios should incorporate adaptive body language and nonverbal communication, culturally informed decision-making, and background-neutral cooperation exercises that foster trust.

5.2 Strategic HR Support for Crew Integration

Advance acculturation briefings, multilingual onboarding kits, and embedded mental health checkpoints need to be issued in project phases by the HR teams. Other peer-support systems, cultural mediators, and mobile mental health counselling also addressed loneliness and strengthened crew integration, especially in high-stress field work.

5.3 Recalibrating Risk Communication Frameworks

Communication in engineering protocols should not be static. During emergencies, the use of multimodal alerts (visual, auditory, symbolic), culturally validated color codes, and role-based checklists could also minimize the chances of misinterpretation. Adaptive dialogue-based leadership for crew briefings should incorporate responsiveness and feedback-based modification.

5.4 Enhancing Team Decision-Making Under Stress

Psychological resilience, in the safety context, should be regarded as an enduring capability. Decision-making simulations under stress should include the psychological load of time pressure and cultural vagueness. Wearable technology could provide real time data on cognitive load, indicating the optimal moment for team rotation or rest.

5.5 Integrating Cultural Intelligence into the Engineering Management Framework

To augment the risk governance framework, it is vital that organizations also integrate cultural intelligence. By implementing ARP-based measurement systems into performance assessments, site safety audits, and project evaluations, cultural factors are not only taken into consideration but are consistently integrated, thus advanced toward cultivating a safer and more adaptive engineering culture.

CONCLUSION

The study shows that acculturation stress has a marked impact on perception of risk and response behavior in multinational teams within coastal engineering. The ARP Interaction Model is put forward as a specialized model for exploring the impact of acculturation on safety-pertinent operations because it combines psychological profiling with hazard assessment. The findings from the field-based analysis have shown that teams with better cultural adjustment not only have faster response times, but also clearer communications and better situational coordination. More culturally responsive training programs, tailored human resource support structures, and multi-layered communication for expressing risk have emerged as urgent needs in this context. With the increasing scope and diversity of coastal engineering projects, it is vital that cultural intelligence is embedded within organizational safety frameworks. Such studies should be conducted in the future as the longitudinal consequences of these interventions, with the aim of developing dynamic predictive analytics for the acculturation-risk interactions over the life cycles of the project. Psychological safety and the promotion of awareness of other cultures should be pursued as operational imperatives, not just as social priorities, especially in global infrastructure projects.

REFERENCE

[1] Chittipedhi, K., & Sudarsanan, S. (2025). Evaluating the role of mangrove forests in coastal protection and biodiversity enhancement. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies, 5(1), 374–389. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-36

[2] Khyade, V. B. (2019). Impact of Moracin on Feline Fibroblasts Induced Oxidative Stress through Hydrogen Peroxide. International Academic Journal of Innovative Research, 6(1), 119–133. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJIR/V6I1/1910009

[3] Veerappan, S. (2025). Digital Twin Modeling for Predictive Maintenance in Large-Scale Power Transformers. National Journal of Electrical Machines & Power Conversion, 39-44.

[4] Gomez, A., Fallah, M. H., Kulmuradov, D., Sapaev, I. B., Khodjaev, N., & Udayakumar, R. (2025). Resource-aware traffic shaping in high-speed vehicular networks. Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, 15(2), 65–74. https://doi.org/10.58346/JISIS.2025.I2.005

[5] Malhotra, A., & Joshi, S. (2025). Exploring the Intersection of Demographic Change and Healthcare Utilization: An Examination of Age-Specific Healthcare Needs and Service Provision. Progression Journal of Human Demography and Anthropology, 3(1), 8-14.

[6] Velliangiri, A. (2025). Multi-Port DC-DC Converters for Integrated Renewable Energy and Storage Systems: Design, Control, and Performance Evaluation. Transactions on Power Electronics and Renewable Energy Systems, 30-35.

[7] Lin, D., Co, C. B., Zeng, M., & Xu, T. (2024). Efficient Network-based Fault Detection in Elevator Vibration Signals: A Weighted Fusion Approach of Displacement and Acceleration Data. Journal of Wireless Mobile Networks, Ubiquitous Computing, and Dependable Applications, 15(3), 459-473. https://doi.org/10.58346/JOWUA.2024.I3.030

[8] Tamannaifar, M., & Golmohammadi, S. (2014). Comparison of Psychological Well-Being and Job Stress between Teachers of Special and Ordinary Schools in Isfahan City. International Academic Journal of Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management, 1(1), 18–27.

[9] Kavitha, M. (2025). AI-Driven Battery State-of-Health Estimation Using Real-Time Electrochemical Data. Transactions on Energy Storage Systems and Innovation, 1(1), 1-8.

[10] Farahani, F. A., Riseh, H. H., & Kermani, A. N. (2018). The role of cultural taboos on strengthening urban anomalies in Tehran. International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering, 5(1), 12–24. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJSE/V5I1/1810002

[11] Delgosha, M. S., Rokni, A., Seyyedan, S. A., Omrani, L., & Zadeh, E. P. (2017). The Study of the Effect of Hypnosis on the Reduction of Job Stress among Nurses. International Academic Journal of Social Sciences, 4(2), 84–89.

[12] Ramona, P., & Danica, G. (2023). Analysis, Cost Estimation and Optimization of Reinforced Concrete Slab Strengthening by Steel and CFRP Strips. Archives for Technical Sciences, 2(29), 35-48. https://doi.org/10.59456/afts.2023.1529.035P
[13] Kavitha, M. (2025). Breaking the silicon ceiling: A comparative analysis of women's leadership and participation in AI startups across global innovation hubs. Journal of Women, Innovation, and Technological Empowerment, 1(1), 1–6.
[14] Rao, N., & Tiwari, M. (2023). Nature-Based Solutions for Coastal Resilience: Case Studies from Southeast Asia. International Journal of SDG's Prospects and Breakthroughs, 1(1), 8-10.
[15] Choudhary, M., & Deshmukh, R. (2023). Integrating Cloud Computing and AI for Real-time Disaster Response and Climate Resilience Planning. In Cloud-Driven Policy Systems (pp. 7-12). Periodic Series in Multidisciplinary Studies.
[16] MohamadAbbas, H., Chandrasekharan, G., Paranthaman, P., Anvarovna, A. S., Rajapriya, M., & Udayakumar, R. (2025). Smart Contracts for Subscription Management in Information Services. Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services, 15(2), 91–97. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.2.13
[17] Reginald, P. J. (2025). Thermoelastic behavior of gradient porous materials fabricated via additive manufacturing: A multi-scale simulation approach. Advances in Mechanical Engineering and Applications, 1(1), 1–10.
[18] Almudhafar, S. M., Jawad, H. H., & Almayahi, B. A. (2024). Spatial Variation of Salmonella Bacteria for Plants in the Environment of Mishkab District Center. Natural and Engineering Sciences, 9(3), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1606429
[19] Shimazu, S. (2024). Intelligent, Sustainable Supply Chain Management: A Configurational Strategy to Improve Ecological Sustainability through Digitization. Global Perspectives in Management, 2(3), 44-53.
[20] Whitmore, J., & Fontaine, I. (2024). Techniques for Creating, Extracting, Separating, and Purifying Food and Feed Using Microalgae. Engineering Perspectives in Filtration and Separation, 2(4), 28-33.